

# GRAPHS WITH ODD DISTANCES BETWEEN CUT AND NON-CUT VERTICES

K. O. Antoshyna<sup>1,2</sup>, S. O. Kozerenko<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Mathematics NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine

<sup>2</sup>Kyiv School of Economics, Kyiv, Ukraine

*kantoshyna@imath.kiev.ua, kozerenkoserhiy@ukr.net*

A vertex  $u$  in a finite connected graph  $G$  is called a cut vertex if its removal results in a disconnected graph  $G - u$ . A graph is biconnected provided it does not contain cut vertices. In [1], connected graphs with even distances between their non-cut vertices (NCE-graphs) and graphs with these odd distances (NCO-graphs) were considered.

Here we consider graphs with parity conditions on distances between pairs of vertices, where one is a cut vertex and the other is a non-cut vertex. At first, we observe that biconnected graphs are precisely the graphs in which the distances between cut and non-cut vertices are even. Indeed, if  $G$  has at least one cut vertex  $u$ , there would be a non-cut vertex  $v$  adjacent to  $u$  (as  $G$  is connected). The situation becomes more intriguing when considering graphs with these distances being odd.

A graph is called a CNCO-graph if it has odd distances between pairs of its vertices with different cutness. Clearly, biconnected graphs are also CNCO-graphs. A graph is called a CE-graph if it has even distances between cut vertices.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a connected, but not a biconnected graph. Consider the following three statements:*

1.  *$G$  is a CE-graph and an NCE-graph;*
2.  *$G$  is a CNCO-graph;*
3.  *$G$  is a CE-graph having dominating set of its cut vertices.*

*Then the next implications hold:  $1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3$ .*

If we restrict ourselves to the class of bipartite graphs, then the three statements from Theorem 1 become equivalent.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $G$  be a connected, but not a biconnected bipartite graph. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1.  *$G$  is a CE-graph and an NCE-graph;*
2.  *$G$  is a CNCO-graph;*
3.  *$G$  is a CE-graph having dominating set of its cut vertices.*

It is also easy to characterize CNCO-graphs with a unique cut vertex.

**Corollary 2.** *A graph  $G$  is a CNCO-graph with a unique cut vertex if and only if  $G \simeq K_1 + H$  for a disconnected graph  $H$  (here  $+$  denotes the graph join operation).*

A signed graph is a pair  $(G, \sigma)$ , where  $G$  is a graph, and  $\sigma$  is a sign function that assigns one of two signs  $\sigma(e) \in \{+, -\}$  to each edge  $e$  of the graph  $G$  (see [5]). Edges  $e$  with  $\sigma(e) = +$  are called positive, and with  $\sigma(e) = -$  are called negative.

For a graph  $G$ , it is natural to consider a sign function  $\sigma_c : E(G) \rightarrow \{+, -\}$ , where  $\sigma_c(uv) = +$  if  $u$  and  $v$  have the same cutness (are both cut or non-cut vertices), and  $\sigma_c(uv) = -$  provided  $u$  and  $v$  have different cutness. We refer to the value  $\sigma_c(e)$  as to the cut-sign of an edge  $e$ . It is clear that the resulting signed graph is balanced (see [3]): each cycle in it has an even number of negative edges. In such a context, CNCO graphs can be characterized as follows.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Then  $G$  is a CNCO-graph if and only if every induced  $P_3$  in  $G$  has the edges with the same cut-sign.*

It is also can be observed that in a CNCO-graph, the set of cut vertices must be independent. However, we can still unveil some of the structure of this set using the following construction. For a connected graph  $G$ , its 2-distance graph is the graph  $D_2(G)$  having the same vertex set  $V(D_2(G)) = V(G)$  and the edge set  $E(D_2(G)) = \{uv : d_G(u, v) = 2\}$  (here  $d_G(u, v)$  denotes the usual graph distance between  $u$  and  $v$ ). We note that the paper [4] contains the more general definition of  $k$ -distance graphs, and the paper [2] presents a characterization of 2-distance graphs.

One can easily show that the set of cut vertices in a CNCO-graph  $G$  induces a connected subgraph in its 2-distance graph. Indeed, assume  $u, v \in V(G)$  are two cut vertices in  $G$ . Then the distance  $d_G(u, v)$  must be even. If  $d_G(u, v) = 2$ , then  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent in  $D_2(G)$ . Otherwise, let  $d_G(u, v) \geq 4$ . Fix a shortest path  $u - x_1 - x_2 - \dots - v$ . As  $d_G(u, x_2) = 2$ , the vertex  $x_2$  is also a cut vertex. Thus, any two cut vertices from  $G$  are joined by a path in  $D_2(G)$ .

The next result shows that there are no other restrictions on the structure of the subgraph induced by the cut vertices of a CNCO-graph  $G$  in its 2-distance graph  $D_2(G)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *For any connected graph  $H$ , there exists a CNCO-graph  $G$  such that the subgraph of  $D_2(G)$  induced by the cut vertices in  $G$ , is isomorphic to  $H$ .*

#### *Acknowledgements*

The authors are deeply grateful to Armed Forces of Ukraine for their unwavering daily heroism in safeguarding Ukraine. Kateryna Antoshyna was supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (1030291, K.O.A.).

1. Antoshyna K., Kozerenko S. Graphs with odd and even distances between non-cut vertices. *Opuscula Math.*, 2025, 45, No. 1, 5–25.
2. Ching R. P., Garces I. J. L. Characterizing 2-distance graphs. *Asian-Eur. J. Math.*, 2019, 12, No. 1, 1950006 (10 pages).
3. Harary F. On the notion of balance of a signed graph. *Michigan Math. J.*, 1953/1954, 2, No. 2, 143–146.
4. Harary F., Hoede C. and Kadlecsek D. Graph-valued functions related to step graphs. *J. Comb. Inf. Syst. Sci.*, 1982, 7, 231–246.
5. Zaslavsky T. Signed graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 1982, 4, 47–74.