

\$(p, q)\$-PSEUDOSPECTRUM AND \$(p, q)\$-CONDITION SPECTRUM

M. Z. Kolundžija¹

¹University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Department of Mathematics,
 Višegradska 33, 18000 Niš, Serbia

mkolundzija@pmf.ni.ac.rs, milica.kolundzija@gmail.com

We introduce the \$(p, q)\$-pseudospectrum and \$(p, q)\$-condition spectrum of an element in Banach algebra, and show their properties for an element represented as a block matrix.

Let \$\mathcal{A}\$ be a complex Banach algebra with the unit 1. We use \$\mathcal{A}^{-1}\$, \$\mathcal{A}^\bullet\$, and \$\sigma(a)\$ to denote the set of all invertible and idempotent elements in \$\mathcal{A}\$, and the spectrum of \$a\$, respectively.

We can represent an element of Banach algebra in a block matrix form as follows.

Let \$u \in \mathcal{A}^\bullet\$. Then we can represent an element \$a \in \mathcal{A}\$ as \$a = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}_u\$, where \$a_{11} = uau\$, \$a_{12} = ua(1 - u)\$, \$a_{21} = (1 - u)au\$, \$a_{22} = (1 - u)a(1 - u)\$. Note that \$u\mathcal{A}u\$ and \$(1 - u)\mathcal{A}(1 - u)\$ are Banach algebras with the units \$u\$ and \$1 - u\$, respectively. In the following text, we will consider diagonal matrix representation

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}_u. \tag{1}$$

An element \$a \in \mathcal{A}\$ is outer generalized invertible, if there exists some \$b \in \mathcal{A}\$ satisfying \$b = bab\$. Such \$b\$ is called the outer generalized inverse of \$a\$. In this case \$ba\$ and \$1 - ab\$ are idempotents corresponding to \$a\$ and \$b\$. The set of all outer generalized invertible elements of \$\mathcal{A}\$ will be denoted with \$\mathcal{A}^{(2)}\$.

The outer generalized inverses with prescribed idempotents were defined in [1] as follows:

Let \$a \in \mathcal{A}\$ and \$p, q \in \mathcal{A}^\bullet\$. An element \$b \in \mathcal{A}\$ satisfying \$bab = b, ba = p, 1 - ab = q\$, will be called a \$(p, q)\$-outer generalized inverse of \$a\$, written \$a_{p,q}^{(2)} = b\$. If \$a_{p,q}^{(2)}\$ exists, then it is unique. The set of all outer generalized invertible elements of \$\mathcal{A}\$ with prescribed idempotents \$p, q \in \mathcal{A}^\bullet\$ will be denoted with \$\mathcal{A}_{p,q}^{(2)}\$.

The pseudospectrum and the condition spectrum were defined and studied in [3] and [4]:

- Pseudospectrum [4]: Let \$\varepsilon > 0\$. The \$\varepsilon\$-pseudospectrum of an element \$a \in \mathcal{A}\$ is defined as \$\Lambda_\varepsilon(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid a - z \notin \mathcal{A}^{-1} \text{ or } \|(a - z)^{-1}\| \geq \varepsilon^{-1}\}\$.

- Condition spectrum [3]: Let \$0 < \varepsilon < 1\$. The \$\varepsilon\$-condition spectrum of an element \$a \in \mathcal{A}\$ is defined as \$\sigma_\varepsilon(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid a - z \notin \mathcal{A}^{-1} \text{ or } \|(a - z)^{-1}\| \cdot \|a - z\| \geq \varepsilon^{-1}\}\$.

We generalize the pseudospectrum and the condition spectrum by defining the \$(p, q)\$-pseudospectrum and the \$(p, q)\$-condition spectrum as follows:

Definition 1. (\$(p, q)\$-pseudospectrum) Let \$\varepsilon > 0\$. The \$(p, q)\$-\$\varepsilon\$-pseudospectrum of an element \$a \in \mathcal{A}\$ is defined as \$\Lambda_\varepsilon(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid a - z \notin \mathcal{A}_{p,q}^{(2)} \text{ or } \|(a - z)_{p,q}^{(2)}\| \geq \varepsilon^{-1}\}\$.

Definition 2. (\$(p, q)\$-condition spectrum) Let \$0 < \varepsilon < 1\$. The \$(p, q)\$-\$\varepsilon\$-condition spectrum of \$a \in \mathcal{A}\$ is defined as \$\sigma_{(p,q)-\varepsilon}(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid a - z \notin \mathcal{A}_{p,q}^{(2)} \text{ or } \|(a - z)_{p,q}^{(2)}\| \cdot \|a - z\| \geq \varepsilon^{-1}\}\$.

Now, we state the auxiliary results.

Lemma 1. *Let x be as in (1), $p_1, q_1 \in (u\mathcal{A}u)^\bullet$ and $p_2, q_2 \in ((1-u)\mathcal{A}(1-u))^\bullet$ and let $p = p_1 + p_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q = q_1 + q_2 \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $x \in \mathcal{A}_{p,q}^{(2)}$ if and only if $a \in (u\mathcal{A}u)_{p_1,q_1}^{(2)}$ and $b \in ((1-u)\mathcal{A}(1-u))_{p_2,q_2}^{(2)}$. If $x \in \mathcal{A}_{p,q}^{(2)}$, then $x_{p,q}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{p_1,q_1}^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & b_{p_2,q_2}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}_u$.*

As a corollary, we have the result for the invertibility of an element represented as in (1).

Lemma 2. *Let x be as in (1). Then $x \in \mathcal{A}^{-1}$ if and only if $a \in (u\mathcal{A}u)^{-1}$ and $b \in ((1-u)\mathcal{A}(1-u))^{-1}$. If $x \in \mathcal{A}^{-1}$, then $x^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1} \end{bmatrix}_u$.*

Therefore, it holds $\sigma(x) = \sigma(a) \cup \sigma(b)$ for the spectrum of an element x represented as in (1).

We investigate whether the similar property holds for the (p, q) -pseudospectrum and the (p, q) -condition spectrum. It turned out that this property depends on the norm used in Banach algebra for the elements represented as in (1).

Theorem 1. *Let x be as in (1) with the norm $\|x\|_\infty = \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $p_1, q_1 \in (u\mathcal{A}u)^\bullet$ and $p_2, q_2 \in ((1-u)\mathcal{A}(1-u))^\bullet$ and let $p = p_1 + p_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q = q_1 + q_2 \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\Lambda_{(p_1,q_1)-\varepsilon}(a) \cup \Lambda_{(p_2,q_2)-\varepsilon}(b) = \Lambda_{(p,q)-\varepsilon}(x)$.*

Theorem 2. *Let x be as in (1) with the norm $\|x\|_1 = \|a\| + \|b\|$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $p_1, q_1 \in (u\mathcal{A}u)^\bullet$ and $p_2, q_2 \in ((1-u)\mathcal{A}(1-u))^\bullet$ and let $p = p_1 + p_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q = q_1 + q_2 \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\Lambda_{(p_1,q_1)-\varepsilon}(a) \cup \Lambda_{(p_2,q_2)-\varepsilon}(b) \subset \Lambda_{(p,q)-\varepsilon}(x)$.*

The following theorem holds whether we consider the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ or the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$.

Theorem 3. *Let x be as in (1), $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $p_1, q_1 \in (u\mathcal{A}u)^\bullet$ and $p_2, q_2 \in ((1-u)\mathcal{A}(1-u))^\bullet$ and let $p = p_1 + p_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q = q_1 + q_2 \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\sigma_{(p_1,q_1)-\varepsilon}(a) \cup \sigma_{(p_2,q_2)-\varepsilon}(b) \subset \sigma_{(p,q)-\varepsilon}(x)$.*

The next example shows that the converse inclusion is not true in the previous theorem.

Example 1. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u \in \mathcal{A}^\bullet$ such that $\|u\| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$ and $\|1-u\| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$. Let $x = \begin{bmatrix} (\varepsilon^2 + z)u & 0 \\ 0 & (\varepsilon + z)(1-u) \end{bmatrix}_u \in \mathcal{A}$ relative to the idempotent $u \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $z \in \sigma_{(1,0)-\varepsilon}(x)$, but $z \notin (\sigma_{(u,0)-\varepsilon}((\varepsilon^2 + z)u) \cup \sigma_{(1-u,0)-\varepsilon}((\varepsilon + z)(1-u)))$.

The new result is Theorem 2, while the other results presented here were published in the author's previous paper [2].

1. D. S. Djordjević and Y. Wei, *Outer generalized inverses in rings*, Comm. Algebra 33 (2005), 3051–3060.
2. M. Z. Kolundžija, *(p, q) -outer generalized inverse of block matrices in Banach algebras*, Banach J. Math. Anal. 8 (1) (2014), 98–108.
3. S. H. Kulkarni and D. Sukumar, *The condition spectrum*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 74 (2008), no. 3–4, 625–641.
4. L. N. Trefethen and M. Embree, *Spectra and pseudospectra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005.