

DECAY OF SOLUTIONS OF A COUPLED SYSTEM IN A STAR-SHAPED DOMAIN WITH LINEAR BOUNDARY AND INTERNAL FEEDBACKS

H. Kasri¹

¹University of science and technology houari boumediene, El Alia Babezzouar, Algiers, Algeria
h.kasri@hotmail.com, hkasri@usthb.dz

We consider a parabolic/hyperbolic coupled system of two partial differential equations (PDEs), which features a suitable boundary and internal dissipation term. The system consists of the elasticity equation and the heat equation in a bounded domain Ω . We proved the exponential decay result with an estimation of the decay rates. Our result is established using the multiplier method [1].

let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^3 with a boundary $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ of class C^3 such that $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$. The model is given by:

$$\begin{cases} u'' - \mathbf{div}\sigma(u) + \xi\nabla\theta + a(x)u' = 0, & \text{in } Q = \Omega \times]0, \infty[, \\ \theta' - \Delta\theta + \beta\mathbf{div}u' = 0, & \text{in } Q, \\ \theta = 0, & \text{in } Q, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Our notations in (1) are standard: $u' = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$, $u'' = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}$, $u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the displacement vector at $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega$ and t is the time variable and $\theta = \theta(x, t)$ represent the temperature. $\sigma(u) = (\sigma_{ij}(u))_{i,j=1}^3$ is the stress tensor given by $\sigma(u) = 2\alpha\varepsilon(u) + \lambda\mathbf{div}(u)I_3$, where λ and α are the Lamé coefficients, I_3 is the identity matrix of \mathbb{R}^3 and $\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T) = [\varepsilon_{ij}(u)]_{i,j=1}^3$ is a 3×3 symmetric matrix. From now on, a summation convention with respect to repeated indexes will be use. Also, in system (1) $a(x) \in L^\infty$ is a nonnegative coefficient of the damping term such that $a(x) \geq a_0 \geq 0$, the coupling parameters ξ and β are supposed to be positive and ρ, η are positive constants.

We complement system (1) with initial conditions

$$u(., 0) = u_0, u'(., 0) = u_1, \theta(., 0) = \theta_0, \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (2)$$

and Wentzell boundary conditions (see [3])

$$\begin{cases} u''_T + \sigma_S(u) - c^2\overline{\mathbf{div}_T\sigma_T^0(u)} + l(x)u_T + bu'_T = 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ u''_\nu + \sigma_\nu(u) + \sigma_T^0(u) : \partial_m\nu + l(x)u_\nu + bu'_\nu = 0, & \text{on } \Sigma, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $a = a(x)$ and $b = b(x)$ be two nonnegative functions belongs to $C^1(\Gamma)$, $\sigma_T^0(u) : \partial_m\nu = \text{tr}(\sigma_T^0(u) \cdot \partial_m\nu)$, with

$$\sigma_T^0(u) = 2\alpha\varepsilon_T^0(u) + \frac{2\lambda\alpha}{\lambda + 2\alpha}\text{tr}(\varepsilon_T^0(u))I_2,$$

and “tr” means the trace of a matrix. As usual $\nu = \nu(x)$ denotes the unit normal vector at $x \in \Gamma$ pointing the exterior of Ω .

We have the following existence and uniqueness result (see [2]):

Theorem 1. *The problem (1 – 2 – 3) is well posed in the space \mathcal{H} . In particular: for all $(u_0, u_1, \theta_0, u_{1|\Gamma}) \in D(\mathcal{A})$, the problem (1) has a unique (strong) solution which satisfies $(u, u', \theta, u'_{|\Gamma}) \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{H}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, D(\mathcal{A}))$. Moreover, for all $(u_0, u_1, \theta_0, u_{1|\Gamma}) \in \mathcal{H}$, the problem (1 – 2 – 3) has a unique (weak) solution satisfying $(u, u', \theta, u'_{|\Gamma}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{H})$.*

The main result of this work is the following theorem

Theorem 2. *Let (u, θ) be the weak solution of the coupled system (1 – 2 – 3), then there exist two positive constants M and ω such that*

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \mathcal{E}(0)e^{1-\omega t}.$$

We begin by proving the following Lemma.

Lemma 1. *Suppose $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$ is a smooth vector field on Ω of class $W^{1,\infty}(\overline{\Omega})^3$. Then, for every strong solution (u, θ) of (1) we have the following identity:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & - \left[\int_{\Omega} \underbrace{2(q : \nabla u)}_{M(u)} \cdot u' dx \right]_0^T + \int_{Q_T} \mathbf{div} q (\sigma(u) : \varepsilon(u) - |u'|^2) dx dt \\ & - 2 \int_{Q_T} \sigma(u) \cdot (\nabla q \cdot \nabla u) dx dt - \xi \int_{Q_T} \nabla \theta \cdot M(u) dx dt - \int_{Q_T} l(x) u' \cdot M(u) dx dt \\ & + \int_{\Sigma_T} \mathbf{div}_T q_T (\sigma_T^0(u) : \varepsilon_T^0(u)) d\Gamma dt + \int_{\Sigma_T} q \cdot \nu (|u'|^2 + \alpha |\partial_\nu u_T|^2 + (2\alpha + \lambda) |\partial_\nu u_\nu|^2) d\Gamma dt \\ & - \int_{\Sigma_T} q \cdot \nu [2\alpha \varepsilon_T^0(u) + \lambda \text{tr}(\varepsilon_T^0(u) i_2)] : \varepsilon_T^0(u) d\Gamma dt - \int_{\Sigma_T} q \cdot \nu (\alpha |\overline{\partial_T u_\nu} - (\partial_T \nu) u_T|^2) d\Gamma dt \\ & - 2 \int_{\Sigma_T} \sigma_T^0(u) : (\pi \partial_T u_T \pi + u_\nu \partial_T \nu) (\pi \partial_T q_T \pi) d\Gamma dt - 2 \int_{\Sigma_T} \partial_T u_\nu (\sigma_T^0(u) (\partial_T \nu)_{q_T}) d\Gamma dt \\ & + 2 \int_{\Sigma_T} (\sigma_T^0(u) : \partial_T \nu) \overline{u_T} (\partial_T \nu)_{q_T} d\Gamma dt - 2 \int_{\Sigma_T} (\mathcal{R} : \sigma_T^0(u)) : (u_T \otimes q_T) d\Gamma dt \\ & - 2 \int_{\Sigma_T} (a u_T + b u') (\pi \partial_T u_T \pi + u_\nu \partial_T \nu)_{q_T} d\Gamma dt - 2 \int_{\Sigma_T} (a u_\nu + b u') (\partial_T u_\nu - \overline{u_T} \partial_T \nu)_{q_T} d\Gamma dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_T = [0, T] \times \Omega$, $\Sigma_T = [0, T] \times \Gamma$, \mathcal{R} is the curvature tensor, once contravariant and three times covariant such that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\kappa\rho\varrho}^\mu = \Gamma_{\tau\kappa,\rho}^\mu - \Gamma_{\rho\kappa,\tau}^\mu + \Gamma_{\rho\varrho}^\mu \Gamma_{\tau\kappa}^\varrho - \Gamma_{\tau\varrho}^\mu \Gamma_{\rho\kappa}^\varrho,$$

with $1 \leq \kappa, \rho, \varrho, \mu \leq 2$ and $\Gamma_{\lambda\eta}^\theta$ are the Christoffel symbols (see for instance [?, ?]). For the sake of conciseness, we have used the notation $q : \nabla u = (q \cdot \nabla u_1, q \cdot \nabla u_2, q \cdot \nabla u_3)$ and $q_T : \nabla_T u = (q_T \cdot \nabla_T u_1, q_T \cdot \nabla_T u_2, q_T \cdot \nabla_T u_3)$, where q_T is the tangential component of q and $\mathbf{div}_T q_T$ is the tangential divergence of q_T .

1. Komornik V. Exact Controllability and Stabilization: The Multiplier Method. — RAM 36, Masson, Paris, 1994.
2. Pazy A. Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 44. — Springer-Verlag, 1983, 279 p.
3. Wentzell A. D. On boundary conditions for multi-dimensional diffusion processes. Theor. Probab. Appl, 1959, 4, 164–177.