

**Dmytro R. Popovych**

(Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine)

*E-mail:* deviuuss@gmail.com

Contractions of Lie algebras are a kind of limit processes between orbits of such algebras. In 1953, Inönü and Wigner studied special contractions of Lie algebras as a part of broader study of contractions of Lie groups and their representations. These contractions were generalized by Doebner and Melsheimer in 1967. A rigorous general definition of contractions of Lie algebras was given by Saletan in 1961. He also studied contraction whose matrices are first-order polynomials with respect to contraction parameters. Since then, a number of conjectures about various ways of realizing contractions of Lie algebras had accumulated in the literature.

**Definition 1.** Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n < \infty$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{F})$  denote the set of all possible Lie brackets on  $V$ . Given  $\mu \in \mathcal{L}_n$  and  $U \in C((0, 1], \text{GL}(V))$ , define the family of  $\mu_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_n$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , by  $\mu_\varepsilon(x, y) := U_\varepsilon^{-1}\mu(U_\varepsilon x, U_\varepsilon y) \forall x, y \in V$ . If for any  $x, y \in V$  there exists the limit  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \mu_\varepsilon(x, y) =: \mu_0(x, y)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (V, \mu_0)$  is a well-defined Lie algebra and is called a *contraction* of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = (V, \mu)$ . The procedure  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$  providing  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  from  $\mathfrak{g}$  is also called a *contraction*. If a basis of  $V$  is fixed, the parameter matrix  $U_\varepsilon = U(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , is called the *contraction matrix* of the contraction  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$ .

**Definition 2.** The contraction  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$  is called a *Inönü–Wigner (IW) contraction* if its matrix  $U_\varepsilon$  can be represented in the form  $U_\varepsilon = AW_\varepsilon P$ , where the matrices  $A$  and  $P$  are nonsingular and constant (i.e., they do not depend on  $\varepsilon$ ) and  $W_\varepsilon = \text{diag}(\varepsilon^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \varepsilon^{\alpha_n})$  for some  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . The  $n$ -tuple of exponents  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is called the *signature* of the generalized IW-contraction  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$ . A *simple IW-contraction* is a generalized IW-contraction with signature consisting of zeros and ones.

The following assertion, which stood as a conjecture for a long time, was proved in [5].

**Theorem 3.** *Any generalized IW-contraction is equivalent to a generalized IW-contraction with an integer signature (and the same associated constant matrices).*

One of these conjectures was that any contraction of Lie algebras can be realized as a generalized IW-contraction. This is true for contractions between three-dimensional real or complex Lie algebras. Consider four-dimensional real Lie algebras defined, up to antisymmetry of Lie bracket, by the following nonzero commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} 2A_{2.1}: & \quad [e_1, e_2] = e_1, [e_3, e_4] = e_3; \\ A_1 \oplus A_{3.2}: & \quad [e_2, e_4] = e_2, [e_3, e_4] = e_2 + e_3; \\ A_{4.1}: & \quad [e_2, e_4] = e_1, [e_3, e_4] = e_2; \\ A_{4.10}: & \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_1, [e_2, e_3] = e_2, [e_1, e_4] = -e_2, [e_2, e_4] = e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hereafter we use the Mubarakzyanov's nomenclature for low-dimensional Lie algebras, and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\dots}$  denotes the complexification of the algebra  $A_{\dots}$ . All contractions of four-dimensional real Lie algebras were realized in [1, 2] via generalized IW-contractions except two contractions,  $2A_{2.1} \rightarrow A_1 \oplus A_{3.2}$  and  $A_{4.10} \rightarrow A_1 \oplus A_{3.2}$ . Since the complexifications of the algebras  $2A_{2.1}$  and  $A_{4.10}$  are isomorphic, there was only one exception for the complex case,  $2\mathfrak{g}_{2.1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{3.2}$ .

**Theorem 4 ([4]).** *(i) There exists a unique contraction between four-dimensional complex Lie algebras,  $2\mathfrak{g}_{2.1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{3.2}$ , that is not equivalent to a generalized IW-contraction.*

(ii) *Precisely two contractions between four-dimensional real Lie algebras,  $2A_{2,1} \rightarrow A_1 \oplus A_{3,2}$  and  $A_{4,10} \rightarrow A_1 \oplus A_{3,2}$ , cannot be realized as generalized IW-contractions.*

Combining the results of [1, 2, 4] also yields the following assertion.

**Theorem 5** ([4]). *Any generalized IW-contraction between four-dimensional complex (resp. real) Lie algebras is equivalent to one with parameter exponents in  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . The exponents in  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  suffice for all such contractions except  $2A_{2,1} \rightarrow A_{4,1}$ ,  $A_{4,10} \rightarrow A_{4,1}$  and  $\mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus A_1 \rightarrow A_{4,1}$  in the real case and  $2\mathfrak{g}_{2,1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{4,1}$  in the complex case, where the minimal tuple of exponents is  $(3, 2, 1, 1)$ .*

**Definition 6.** The contraction  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$  is called *diagonal* if its matrix  $U_\varepsilon$  can be represented in the form  $U_\varepsilon = AW_\varepsilon P$ , where  $A$  and  $P$  are constant nonsingular matrices and  $W_\varepsilon = \text{diag}(f_1(\varepsilon), \dots, f_n(\varepsilon))$  for some continuous functions  $f_i: (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Theorem 7** ([5]). *Any diagonal contraction is equivalent to a generalized IW-contraction with an integer signature.*

Consider the  $n$ -dimensional ( $n \geq 5$ ) solvable real Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{a} := A_{5,38} \oplus (n-5)A_1$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_0 := A_{2,1} \oplus A_{2,1} \oplus (n-4)A_1$  whose nonzero commutation relations are exhausted, up to antisymmetry of Lie bracket, by the following:

$$\mathfrak{a}: [e_1, e_3] = e_3, [e_2, e_4] = e_4, [e_1, e_2] = e_5, \quad \mathfrak{a}_0: [e_1, e_3] = e_3, [e_2, e_4] = e_4.$$

**Theorem 8** ([3]). *The Euclidean norm of any contraction matrix that realizes the contraction of the algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  to the algebra  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  approaches infinity at the limit point. The same is true for the complex counterpart of this contraction.*

**Definition 9.** A realization of a contraction with a matrix-function that is linear in the contraction parameter is called a *Saletan (linear) contraction*.

**Theorem 10** ([6]). *Up replacing the algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  with isomorphic ones, every Saletan contraction  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$  is realized by a matrix of the canonical form*

$$E^{n_0} \oplus J_\varepsilon^{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus J_\varepsilon^{n_s}, \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad E^{n_0} \oplus J_0^{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus J_0^{n_s} + \varepsilon E^n,$$

where  $n_0 + \dots + n_s = n$ ,  $E^m$  is the  $m \times m$  identity matrix, and  $J_\lambda^m$  denotes the  $m \times m$  Jordan block with an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Hence any Saletan contraction can be realized by a matrix of the form  $AS_\varepsilon B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are constant nonsingular matrices and the matrix-valued function  $S_\varepsilon$  is in the above canonical form. The tuple  $(n_0; n_1, \dots, n_s)$ , where  $n_1, \dots, n_s$  constitute a partition of the dimension  $n - n_0$  of the Fitting null component relative to  $U_0$  and  $n_0 \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ , is called the *signature* of this Saletan contraction.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Maryna Nesterenko, Roman Popovych. Contractions of low-dimensional Lie algebras. *J. Math. Phys.*, 47(12) : 123515, 45 pp., 2006.
- [2] Rudwig Campoamor-Stursberg. Some comments on contractions of Lie algebras, *Adv. Studies Theor. Phys.*, 2(18) : 865–870, 2008.
- [3] Dmytro R Popovych. Contractions with necessarily unbounded matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl*, 458 : 689–698, 2014.
- [4] Dmytro R Popovych, Roman O Popovych. Lowest-dimensional example on non-universality of generalized Inönü–Wigner contractions. *J. Algebra* 324(10), : 2742–2756, 2010.
- [5] Dmytro R Popovych, Roman O Popovych. Equivalence of diagonal contractions to generalized IW-contractions with integer exponents. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 431(5–7) : 1096–1104, 2009.
- [6] Dmytro R Popovych. Canonical forms for matrices of Saletan contractions. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 621 : 012012, 10 pp., 2015.