Transformation Operators for Integrable Hierarchies with Additional Reductions

Yurij SIDORENKO

Franko National University of Lviv, Lviv, Ukraine E-mail: matmod@franko.lviv.ua

New integrable reductions of the modified Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (mKP) hierarchy was obtained. We solve the so-called \mathcal{D} -Hermitian constrained mKP (\mathcal{D} HcmKP) hierarchy by using the dressing transformation technique. The dressing (transformation) operator for the \mathcal{D} HcmKP hierarchy is defined, and multicomponent derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation was integrated as an example.

1 Introduction

We consider Lax-Zakharov-Schabat equations

$$\beta U_t - \alpha V_y + UV - VU = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\alpha \partial_y - U, \ \beta \partial_t - V] = 0,$$

$$\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \partial_y := \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \partial_t := \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

(1)

in the algebra ζ of the microdifferential operators (MDO) [1].

$$U, V \in \zeta := \left\{ L = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{n(L)} a_i \mathcal{D}^i : a_i = a_i(x, y, t); \ i, n(L) \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},\tag{2}$$

where MDO U, V satisfy additional constraints, which are concretely defined in the following section, and coefficients a_i are, in general, smooth $(N \times N)$ matrix-valued functions of $x, y, t, \in \mathbb{R}$. In the algebra MDO ζ (2) operation of multiplication is induced by the generalized Leibnitz rule

$$\mathcal{D}^{n}f := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{j} f^{(j)} \mathcal{D}^{n-j}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \qquad \mathcal{D}^{m}(f) := \frac{\partial^{m}f}{\partial x^{m}} = f^{(m)}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{+},$$
(3)

where

$$\binom{n}{j} := \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-j+1)}{j!}, \qquad \mathcal{D}^n \mathcal{D}^m := \mathcal{D}^{n+m}, \qquad n, m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and f is the operator of multiplication by function f(x, y, t), which belongs to the same functional space as the coefficients of microdifferential operators $L \in \zeta$ do. Lie's commutator in algebra ζ is defined as [U, V] := UV - VU, and Hermitian-conjugated operator $L^* := \sum_{i=-\infty}^{n(L)} (-1)^i \mathcal{D}^i a_i^*$, $a_i^* = \bar{a}^\top, (\alpha \partial_y)^* := -\bar{\alpha} \partial_y, (\beta \partial_t)^* := -\bar{\beta} \partial_t.$

2 Reduction of \mathcal{D} -Hermitian conjugation

Definition 1. We say that an operator $L \in \zeta$ is \mathcal{D} -Hermitian (\mathcal{D} -skew-Hermitian) if

$$L^* = \mathcal{D}L\mathcal{D}^{-1} \quad (L^* = -\mathcal{D}L\mathcal{D}^{-1})$$

Definition 2. We say that an integral operator $W \in \zeta_{<1} := \left\{ L_{<1} := \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} u_i \mathcal{D}^i \right\}$ is \mathcal{D} -unital if $W^{-1} = \mathcal{D}^{-1} W^* \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 1. Let $L^* = \mu \mathcal{D}L\mathcal{D}^{-1}$, $\mu = \pm 1$, and $W^{-1} = \mathcal{D}^{-1}W^*\mathcal{D}$. Then $\hat{L}^* = \mu \mathcal{D}\hat{L}\mathcal{D}^{-1}$, where $\hat{L} := WLW^{-1}$.

Proof.
$$\hat{L}^* := (WLW^{-1})^* = (W^{-1})^* L^*W^* = \mu \mathcal{D}W\mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{D}L\mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathcal{D}W^{-1}\mathcal{D}^{-1} = \mu \mathcal{D}\hat{L}\mathcal{D}^{-1}.$$

Lemma 2. Let h_i , g_i be smooth $(N \times K)$ matrix-valued functions of real variable $x \in \mathbb{R}$, i = 1, 2; $A = (a_{mn}) = \text{const} \in \text{Mat}_{K \times K}(\mathbb{C})$ and $a \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$. Then

$$h_1 \mathcal{D}^{-1} g_1^{\top} h_2 \mathcal{D}^{-1} g_2^{\top} = h_1 \left(A + \int_a^x g_1^{\top} h_2 dx \right) \mathcal{D}^{-1} g_2^{\top} - h_1 \mathcal{D}^{-1} \left(A + \int_a^x g_1^{\top} h_2 dx \right) g_2^{\top}$$

Proof. By direct calculation from the Leibnitz rule (3) for n = -1 we obtain:

$$\begin{split} h_{1}\mathcal{D}^{-1}g_{1}^{\top}h_{2}\mathcal{D}^{-1}g_{2}^{\top} &= h_{1}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}(g_{1}^{\top}h_{2})^{(i)}\mathcal{D}^{-i-2}g_{2}^{\top}, \\ h_{1}\left(A + \int_{a}^{x}g_{1}^{\top}h_{2}dx\right)\mathcal{D}^{-1}g_{2}^{\top} &= h_{1}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\left(A + \int_{a}^{x}g_{1}^{\top}h_{2}dx\right)^{(i)}\mathcal{D}^{-i-1}g_{2}^{\top} \\ &= -h_{1}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\left(A + \int_{a}^{x}g_{1}^{\top}h_{2}dx\right)^{(i)}\mathcal{D}^{-i-1}g_{2}^{\top} \\ &= h_{1}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\left(A + \int_{a}^{x}g_{1}^{\top}h_{2}dx\right)^{(i)}\mathcal{D}^{-i-1}g_{2}^{\top} \\ &= h_{1}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}(g_{1}^{\top}h_{2})^{(i)}\mathcal{D}^{-i-2}g_{2}^{\top}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 3. Let $C^* = -C = \text{const} \in \text{Mat}_{K \times K}(\mathbb{C})$, $\varphi = \varphi(x)$ be a matrix $(N \times K)$ function and $\varphi \in L_2(-\infty, s) \ \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $w_0^{-1} = w_0^*$, where

$$w_0 := I - \varphi \left(C + \int_{-\infty}^x \varphi^* \varphi_x dx \right)^{-1} \varphi^* := I - \varphi \Omega^{-1} \varphi^*.$$
(4)

Proof.

$$w_{0}^{*} = I - \varphi \Omega^{*-1} \varphi^{*} = I - \varphi \left(\varphi^{*} \varphi - C - \int_{-\infty}^{x} \varphi^{*} \varphi_{x} dx \right)^{-1} \varphi^{*},$$

$$w_{0} w_{0}^{*} = I - \varphi \left[\left(C + \int_{-\infty}^{x} \varphi^{*} \varphi_{x} dx \right)^{-1} + \left(\varphi^{*} \varphi - C - \int_{-\infty}^{x} \varphi^{*} \varphi_{x} dx \right)^{-1} - \left(C + \int_{-\infty}^{x} \varphi^{*} \varphi_{x} dx \right)^{-1} \varphi^{*} \varphi \left(\varphi^{*} \varphi - C - \int_{-\infty}^{x} \varphi^{*} \varphi_{x} dx \right)^{-1} \right] \varphi^{*}$$

$$= I - \varphi \Omega^{-1} \left[I + (\Omega - \varphi^{*} \varphi) \Omega^{*-1} \right] \varphi^{*} = I.$$

$$(5)$$

Theorem 1. Let $W := w_0 + \varphi \Omega^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \varphi_x^*$ (see conditions of Lemma 3). Then $W^{-1} = \mathcal{D}^{-1} W^* \mathcal{D}$ (i.e. W is a D-unital operator).

Proof.

1.
$$W = I - \varphi \Omega^{-1} \varphi^* + \varphi \Omega^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \varphi_x^* = I - \varphi \Omega^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \varphi^* \mathcal{D},$$
$$W^* = I - \mathcal{D} \varphi \mathcal{D}^{-1} \Omega^{*-1} \varphi^*,$$
$$\mathcal{D}^{-1} W^* \mathcal{D} = I - \varphi \mathcal{D}^{-1} \Omega^{*-1} \varphi^* \mathcal{D} = w_0^{-1} + \varphi \mathcal{D}^{-1} \left(\Omega^{*-1} \varphi^* \right)_x.$$

2.
$$W\mathcal{D}^{-1}W^*\mathcal{D} = \left(w_0 + \varphi\Omega^{-1}\mathcal{D}^{-1}\varphi_x^*\right) \left(w_0^{-1} + \varphi\mathcal{D}^{-1}(\Omega^{*-1}\varphi^*)_x\right)$$
$$= I + w_0\varphi\mathcal{D}^{-1}\left(\Omega^{*-1}\varphi^*\right)_x + \varphi\Omega^{-1}\mathcal{D}^{-1}\varphi_x^*w_0^{-1} + \varphi\Omega^{-1}\mathcal{D}^{-1}\varphi_x^*\varphi\mathcal{D}^{-1}\left(\Omega^{*-1}\varphi^*\right)_x, \quad (6)$$

and using Lemma 2, the definitions of Ω and Ω^* (4)–(5) by direct calculation we obtain that the sum of integral operators in (6) is equal to zero, i.e. $W\mathcal{D}^{-1}W^*\mathcal{D} = I$.

3 Lax equation invariant under reductions of \mathcal{D} -Hermitian conjugation

In this paper we restrict ourselves by the scalar cases (N = 1) of the algebra (2).

We consider the modified Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (mKP) hierarchy [2]

$$\alpha_n \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t_n} = -\left(Z\mathcal{D}^n Z^{-1}\right)_{<1} Z, \qquad \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t_1 := x, \tag{7}$$

where integral operator Z is given by

$$\zeta_{<1} \ni Z = z_0 + z_1 \mathcal{D}^{-1} + z_2 \mathcal{D}^{-2} + \cdots \quad (z_0^{-1} \text{ exists}).$$
 (8)

With the use of the MDO $L := ZDZ^{-1} := L_{mKP} = D + U_0 + U_1D^{-1} + U_2D^{-2} + \cdots$, system (7) can be rewritten in the form of the Lax representation

$$\alpha_m L_{t_m} = [B_m, L] := B_m L - L B_m,\tag{9}$$

where $B_m := (L^m)_{>0}, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The mKP hierarchy (7) can be transformed into Zakharov–Schabat equations

$$\alpha_n B_{m_{t_n}} - \alpha_m B_{n_{t_m}} + [B_m, B_n] = 0, \qquad m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \alpha_m, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (10)

Note that the subscripts mean partial differentiations with respect to the indicated variables (evolutionary parameters t_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$). If we eliminate U_0, U_1, U_2, \ldots from (9), the remaining equations for the function $U := U_0$ in (9) (or in (10)) for $t_1 := x$, $t_2 := y$, $t_3 := t$ would represent the mKP equation

$$\alpha_3 U_t = \frac{1}{4} U_{xxx} - \frac{3}{2} U^2 U_x + \frac{3}{4} \alpha_2^2 \partial_x^{-1} U_{yy} + \frac{3}{2} \alpha_2 U_x \partial_x^{-1} U_y, \tag{11}$$

where $\partial_x^{-1} f := \int^x f dx$, and its hierarchy flows.

W. Oevel and W. Strampp have also introduced so-called constrained modified Kadomtsev– Petviashvili (cmKP) [3], apart from the cKP (constrained KP) hierarchy [4, 5, 6, 7] (see, also [8]). The Lax operator of the cmKP hierarchy is defined by

$$L_{\rm cmKP} = \mathcal{D}^n + u_{n-1}\mathcal{D}^{n-1} + \dots + u_1\mathcal{D} + u_0 + \mathcal{D}^{-1}s,$$
(12)

or

$$L_{\mathrm{cmKP}} := (L_{\mathrm{mKP}}^n)_{\geq 0} + \mathcal{D}^{-1}s,$$

and the hierarchy flows are described by

$$\alpha_m \frac{\partial L_{\rm cmKP}}{\partial t_m} = \left[\left(L_{\rm cmKP}^{m/n} \right)_{>0}, \ L_{\rm cmKP} \right], \qquad \alpha_m s_{t_m} = - \left(L_{\rm cmKP}^{m/n} \right)_{>0}^* (s). \tag{13}$$

$$L_{\mathcal{D}\mathrm{Hcm}\mathrm{KP}} := L_n = \mathcal{D}^n + u_{n-1}\mathcal{D}^{n-1} + \dots + u_1\mathcal{D} - V, \tag{14}$$

where $\zeta_{<1} \ni V$ is \mathcal{D} -Hermitian (\mathcal{D} -skew-Hermitian) integral degenerated Volterra operator, defined as

$$V = \boldsymbol{q}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{D}^{-1}\boldsymbol{q}^*\mathcal{D} = (\boldsymbol{q}\mathcal{M}\boldsymbol{q}^*) - \boldsymbol{q}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{D}^{-1}\boldsymbol{q}_x^*, \quad \text{if} \quad n = 2k,$$

where $\mathcal{M}^* = \mathcal{M}$, (or $V = i \boldsymbol{q} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \boldsymbol{q}^* \mathcal{D}$, if n = 2k - 1); $\boldsymbol{q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_l), k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, and additional reduction for operator L_n :

$$L_n^* = \mu \mathcal{D} L_n \mathcal{D}^{-1}, \qquad \mu = \pm 1.$$

In this case, the \mathcal{D} -unital operator Z := W (the definition of integral operator W see below in Theorem 1) is the transformation (dressing) operator for mKP hierarchy (7)–(10). We now work out a few examples of restrictions of the mKP hierarchy connected with \mathcal{D} -Hermitian Lax operators of the form (14). We consider the evolution equations

$$\alpha_m L_{n_{t_m}} = [B_m, L_n], \tag{15}$$

where $L_n := L_{\mathcal{D}\text{Hcm}\text{KP}}$ (14), and B_m are fractional powers m/n of L_n ; $n, m, \in \mathbb{N}$. The "basic root" $L_n^{\frac{1}{n}} = \mathcal{D} + a_0 + a_{-1}\mathcal{D}^{-1} + \cdots$ is calculated by requiring $(L_n^{\frac{1}{n}})^n = L_{\mathcal{D}\text{Hcm}\text{KP}}$. This leads to straightforward recursive scheme for the coefficients a_0, a_{-1}, \ldots of $L_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$, from which these coefficients can be calculated as differential expressions of $u_{n-1}, u_{n-2}, \ldots, u_1, q, q^*$. Higher fractional powers $L_n^{m/n}$ of L_n are then calculated as powers $L_n^{m/n} = (L_n^{1/n})^m$ of this "basic root". By construction, the first question with m = 1 in the hierarchy (14) is given by $L_{n_{t_1}} = [\mathcal{D}, L_n] = \frac{\partial L_n}{\partial x}$, so that the first time variable t_1 may be identified with the underlying space variable x.

4 Some examples of equations from the \mathcal{D} HcmKP flow

Let us n = 1. For $L_1 = \mathcal{D} - i \boldsymbol{q} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \boldsymbol{q}^* \mathcal{D}$ the first nontrivial equations in (15) are given by $(\alpha_2 = i)$

$$i\boldsymbol{q}_{t_2} = \boldsymbol{q}_{xx} - 2i\boldsymbol{q}\mathcal{M}\boldsymbol{q}^*\boldsymbol{q}_x,\tag{16}$$

which are the first equations in the multicomponent modified nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchy discussed in [9].

n = 2. For $L_2 = \mathcal{D}^2 + iu\mathcal{D} - q\mathcal{M}\mathcal{D}^{-1}q^*\mathcal{D}$ we obtain

$$i\boldsymbol{q}_{t_{2}} = \boldsymbol{q}_{xx} + i\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{q}_{x}, \qquad u_{t_{2}} = 2(\boldsymbol{q}\mathcal{M}\boldsymbol{q}^{*})_{x}, \qquad \alpha_{2} = i,$$

$$\boldsymbol{q}_{t_{3}} = \boldsymbol{q}_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2}i\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{q}_{xx} - \left(\frac{3}{8}\boldsymbol{u}^{2} + \frac{3}{2}\boldsymbol{q}\mathcal{M}\boldsymbol{q}^{*} - \frac{3}{4}i\boldsymbol{u}_{x}\right)\boldsymbol{q}_{x},$$

$$u_{t_{3}} = \frac{1}{4}u_{xxx} + \frac{3}{8}\boldsymbol{u}^{2}\boldsymbol{u}_{x} - \frac{3}{2}(\boldsymbol{q}\mathcal{M}\boldsymbol{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{u})_{x}, \qquad \alpha_{3} = 1.$$

$$(17)$$

This represents the modified KdV hierarchy coupled with its eigenfunctions. The system (17) is the new multicomponent integrable model of Yajima–Oikawa type [9, 10]. The next higher flow in this hierarchy has the following form ($\alpha_4 = -i$):

$$u_{t_4} = 2 \left[\boldsymbol{q} \mathcal{M} \boldsymbol{q}_{xx}^* + \boldsymbol{q}_{xx} M \boldsymbol{q}^* + (\boldsymbol{q} \mathcal{M} \boldsymbol{q}^*)^2 \right]_x, \qquad i \boldsymbol{q}_{t_4} = \left(L_2^2 \right)_{>0} (\boldsymbol{q}).$$
(19)

5 Method of integration of the Lax equation from the \mathcal{D} HcmKP hierarchy

There are many mathematical and physical problems associated with the \mathcal{D} HcmKP hierarchy. However, the most important one, may be, is finding the soliton solutions for the equations from this hierarchy. We have shown (see Lemma 1) that the \mathcal{D} -unital M \mathcal{D} O W transforms \mathcal{D} -Hermitian operator L into \mathcal{D} -Hermitian operator \hat{L} by the dressing transformation $L \to WLW^{-1} := \hat{L}$. Now, we want to extend the previous results to the equations from the \mathcal{D} HcmKP hierarchy.

Theorem 2. Let $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_K)$, $K \in \mathbb{N}$ be a smooth fast decreasing on the $-\infty$ complex value K-component vector-function of variable $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and an evolution parameter $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy additional conditions:

a) φ be a solution of the equation $i\varphi_{t_2} = \varphi_{xx}$, b) $\varphi_x = \varphi \Lambda$, where $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_K) = \text{const}; \lambda_j := \lambda_{j_1} + i\lambda_{j_2} \in \mathbb{C}; \lambda_{j_1} > 0, j = \overline{1, K}$. Then the vector-function

$$\boldsymbol{q} := \varphi \Omega^{-1} = \varphi \left(C + \int_{-\infty}^{x} \varphi^* \varphi dx \Lambda \right)^{-1}$$
(20)

is a solution of the mNSE (16) with the matrix $\mathcal{M} = -i(C\Lambda + \Lambda^*C)$, where $C^* = -C$ is a skew-Hermitian $(K \times K)$ complex matrix.

Proof. The proof is constructed by direct calculation. Using the lemmas we get

$$L_0 := \mathcal{D} \to L := W \mathcal{D} W^{-1} = \mathcal{D} - \varphi \Omega^{-1} (C \Lambda - \Lambda^* C^*) \mathcal{D}^{-1} \Omega^{*-1} \varphi^* \mathcal{D},$$

$$M_0 := i \partial_{t_2} - \mathcal{D}^2 \to M := W M_0 W^{-1} = (L^2)_{>0},$$
 (21)

and from the trivial equation $[L_0, M_0] = 0$ we obtain that [L, M] = 0.

Corollary 1. Let $K \ge l \in \mathbb{N}$ and matrix $C = \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{diag} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\lambda_{11}}, \dots, \frac{\mu_l}{\lambda_{l1}}, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{K \times K}(i\mathbb{R})$. Then the function $\boldsymbol{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_l)(x, t_2)$, where $\boldsymbol{q} := \varphi \Omega^{-1}$ and

$$q_j = (-1)^{K-j} \frac{\left| \begin{array}{c} \Omega_{(j)} \\ \varphi \end{array} \right|}{\Omega}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, l$$
(22)

is a solution of the *l*-component mNSE

$$i\boldsymbol{q}_{t_2} = \boldsymbol{q}_{xx} - 2i\sum_{j=1}^l \mu_j |q_j|^2 \boldsymbol{q}_x.$$
 (23)

Here $\Omega_{(j)}$ is obtained from Ω by deletion of *j*-line and $|\Omega| := \det \Omega$. In order to prove (22) we use the well-known algebraic equality for framed determinant

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega & \varphi^* \\ \varphi & \alpha \end{pmatrix} := \begin{vmatrix} \Omega & \varphi^* \\ \varphi & \alpha \end{vmatrix} = \alpha \det \Omega - \varphi \Omega^c \varphi^*, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{C},$$
(24)

where Ω^c is the matrix of cofactors, and then

$$q_j = \varphi \Omega^{-1} e_j^T, \qquad e_k := (e_{k_1}, e_{k_2}, \dots, e_{k_K}), \qquad e_{k_m} = \delta_k^m.$$

10

For l = 1 we obtain from the formula (22) the K-soliton solution for the scalar mNSE [11, 12]

$$q = (-1)^{K+1} \frac{\left| \begin{array}{c} \Omega_{(1)} \\ \varphi \end{array} \right|}{\left| \Omega \right|}, \qquad \Omega = (w_{mn}), \qquad m, n = \overline{1, K},$$

$$\varphi_m = \varphi_{m_0} \exp\left\{\lambda_m x - i\lambda_m^2 t_2\right\}, \qquad \varphi_{m_0} = \text{const},$$

$$w_{mn} = \frac{i\mu}{2\lambda_{11}} \delta_1^{mn} + \frac{\lambda_n}{\overline{\lambda}_m + \lambda_n} \bar{\varphi}_{m0} \varphi_{n0} \exp\left\{\left(\overline{\lambda}_m + \lambda_n\right) x + i\left(\overline{\lambda}_m^2 - \lambda_n^2\right) t_2\right\},$$

$$iq_{t_2} = q_{xx} - 2i\mu |q|^2 q_{x}.$$
(25)

In particular, if K = 1, then the previous formulas represent a one-soliton solution for the (25):

$$q = \frac{2\lambda_{11}\varphi_0 \exp\{\lambda x - i\lambda^2 t_2\}}{i\mu + |\varphi_0|^2 \exp\{2\lambda_{11}x + 4\lambda_{11}\lambda_{12}t_2\}},$$

where $\varphi_0 := \varphi_{10}, \lambda := \lambda_1 = \lambda_{11} + i\lambda_{12} := \operatorname{Re} \lambda + i \operatorname{Im} \lambda.$

6 Conclusion

In conclusion, we hope that the method of integration of Lax equations with \mathcal{D} -Hermitian reductions presented here will be generalized to other nonlinear models from the \mathcal{D} HcmKP hierarchy (and in the matrix case too). Similar generalizations for Hermitian reductions in cKP hierarchy [7, 8] were considered in the papers [13, 14], but these results were obtained using the methods from the article [15].

- [1] Dickey L., Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems, Advanced Series in Math. Phys., 1991, V.12.
- [2] Kupershmidt B.A., Mathematics of dispersive water waves, Commun. Math. Phys., 1988, V.99, 51-80.
- [3] Oevel W. and Strampp W., Constrained KP-hierarchy and bi-Hamiltonian structures, Commun. Math. Phys, 1993, V.157, 51–81.
- [4] Konopelchenko B., Sidorenko Yu. and Strampp W., (1+1)-dimensional integrable systems as symmetry constraints of (2+1)-dimensional systems, *Phys. Lett. A*, 1991. V.151, 17–21.
- [5] Sidorenko Yu. and Strampp W., Symmetry constraints of the KP-hierarchy, *Inverse Problems*, 1991, V.7, L37–L43.
- [6] Cheng Yi., Constrained of the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili hierarchy, J. Math. Phys., 1992, V.33, 3774–3787.
- [7] Sidorenko Yu. and Strampp W., Multicomponents integrable reductions in Kadomtsev–Petviashvili hierarchy, J. Math. Phys., 1993, V.34, N 4, 1429–1446.
- [8] Samoilenko A.M., Samoilenko V.H. and Sidorenko Yu.M., Hierarchy of equations Kadomtsev–Petviashvili with nonlocal constraints: multidimensional generalizations and exact solutions of reduced systems, Ukr. Math. J., 1999, V.51, N 1, 78–97.
- [9] Sidorenko Yu.M., On the reductions in noncanonical hierarchy of integrable systems, in Group and Analitic Methods in Mathematical Physics, Kyiv, Institute of Mathematics, 2001, V.36, 262–268.
- [10] Yajima N. and Oikawa M., Formation and interaction of Sonic–Langmur solitons: inverse scattering method, Progress Theor. Phys., 1976, V.56, N 6., 1719–1739.
- [11] Chen H.H., Lee Y.C. and Liu C.S., Integrability of nonlinear Hamiltonian systems by inverse scattering transform, Phys. Scr., 1979, V.20, N 3, 490–492.
- [12] Sydorenko Yu.N., Hamiltonian structure of some two-component systems, J. Soviet. Math., 1989, V.46, N 1, 1657–1666.
- [13] Berkela Yu., Exact solutions of matrix generalizations of some integrable systems, in Proceedings of Fourth International Conference "Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics" (9–15 July, 2001, Kyiv), Editors A.G. Nikitin, V.M. Boyko and R.O. Popovych, Kyiv, Institute of Mathematics, 2002, V.43, Part 1, 296–301.
- [14] Berkela Yu.Yu. and Sidorenko Yu.M., The exact solutions of some multicomponent integrable models, Mat. Studii, 2002, V.17, to appear.
- [15] Sidorenko Yu.M., Method of integration of the Lax equations with nonlocal reductions, Proceedings of NAS of Ukraine, 1999, N 8, 19–23.