## On Four Orthogonal Projections that Satisfy the Linear Relation $\alpha_1 P_1 + \alpha_2 P_2 + \alpha_3 P_3 + \alpha_4 P_4 = I, \ \alpha_i > 0$

Stanislav KRUGLYAK  $^{\dagger}$  and Anatolii KYRYCHENKO  $^{\ddagger}$ 

<sup>†</sup> National Academy of Security Service of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine

<sup>‡</sup> Kyiv National University of Building and Architecture, 31 Povitroflotsky Prosp., Kyiv 03037, Ukraine E-mail: AAKirichenko@rambler.ru

In the article we investigate the sets of orthogonal projections which satisfy the linear relation  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i P_i = I$ ,  $\alpha_i > 0$ , up to unitary equivalence. A problem of unitary classification of four projections that satisfy the linear relation  $\alpha_1 P_1 + \alpha_2 P_2 + \alpha_3 P_3 + \alpha_4 P_4 = I$ ,  $\alpha_i > 0$  is considered in [1–4]. We present a new method for solving this problem that is based on functors of Coxeter, which are analogous to those introduced in [5].

Let  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}} = \mathbb{C}\langle p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n | p_i^2 = p_i = p_i^*, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i p_i = e \rangle$  be a \*-algebra, where the vector  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n), \alpha_i > 0, i = 1, \ldots, n; A = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ . We study its representations, up to unitary equivalence, in the category of Hilbert spaces. Define  $\Sigma_n$  as a set of  $\vec{\alpha}$  such that the category of representations  $\operatorname{Rep} \mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  is not empty.

1. Let us consider some properties of  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$ .

**Lemma 1.** If  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_n$  then  $A \ge 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\pi$  be a representation of the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$ :  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \pi(p_i) = I$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i (I - \pi(p_i)) = (A - 1)I$ . Since the operator at the left hand-side is positive then  $A \ge 1$ .

**Lemma 2.** If A = 1 then  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_n$  and the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  has (up to unitary equivalence) only one irreducible representation  $\pi : \pi(p_i) = 1$ .

**Proof.** If 
$$A = 1$$
 then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i (I - \pi(p_i)) = 0$  and for all  $i = 1, ..., n$ :  $\pi(p_i) = I$ .

**Definition 1.** The algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  and the vector  $\vec{\alpha}$  are called reduced if there exists such a number  $i_0$  that for all representations  $\pi$  of the algebra we have  $\pi(p_{i_0}) = 0$  or there exists a number  $j_0$  that for all representations  $\pi$  of the algebra we have  $\pi(p_{j_0}) = I$ .

**Remark 1.** In the case of mapping of a reduced algebra to its enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra the elements  $p_{i_0}$  and  $p_{j_0} - e$  belong to the \*-radical, and the corresponding  $C^*$ -algebra will be generated by less than n linear connected projections.

**Lemma 3.** If  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_n : \exists \alpha_{i_0} > 1$  then for all representations  $\pi$  of the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}} : \pi(p_{i_0}) = 0$ , e.g. the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  is reduced.

**Proof.** Take an arbitrary representation  $\pi$  of the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  then  $\sum_{i \neq i_0} \alpha_i \pi(p_i) = I - \alpha_{i_0} \pi(p_{i_0})$ .

The operator at the left-hand side is positive. But the operator at the right-hand side is positive when  $\pi(p_{i_0}) = 0$  only.

**Lemma 4.** If  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_n$  and the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  is not reduced then  $A \leq n$ .

**Proof.** If A > n, then there exists a number  $i_0 : \alpha_{i_0} > 1$  and according to the Lemma 3 the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  will be reduced.

Let  $\Sigma_n^1 = \Sigma_n \bigcap (0,1)^n$  e.g.  $\Sigma_n^1$  consists of such points  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_n$  that  $0 < \alpha_i < 1$ .

Our aim is to describe the set  $\Sigma_n^1$   $(1 \le A < n)$  and the set of representations of corresponding algebras. There are reduced and nonreduced ones among such class of algebras.

We define functors S and T (analogy with [5]), which act on the set of categories  $\operatorname{Rep} \mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$ . They are equivalences of categories (if  $\operatorname{Rep} \mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  is not empty, then  $S(\operatorname{Rep} \mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}})$  (or  $T(\operatorname{Rep} \mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}})$ ) is not empty and they are equivalent).

Let us define the functor T (functor of hyperbolic reflection).

Let 
$$\alpha \in \Sigma_n$$
,  $A > 1$ ,  $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep} \mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \pi(p_i) = I$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (I - \pi(p_i)) = (A - 1)I$  or  
 $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{A-1} (I - \pi(p_i)) = I$ . Define  $T(\pi)(p_i) = I - \pi(p_i)$ . Thus, we obtain the functor

 $T:\operatorname{Rep}\mathfrak{P}_{n,(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n)}\to\operatorname{Rep}\mathfrak{P}_{n,\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{A-1},\frac{\alpha_2}{A-1},\ldots,\frac{\alpha_n}{A-1}\right)}$ 

which is defined when A > 1.

It is easy to check that this functor is equivalence of categories (the corresponding algebras are isomorphic).

Let us define the functor S (functor of linear reflection).

Let  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_n^1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \pi(p_i) = I$  and  $\pi$  be a representation of the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  in the Hilbert space  $H_0$ . Since  $\pi(p_i)$  is a projection then  $\pi(p_i) = \Gamma_i \Gamma_i^*$ , where  $\Gamma_i$  is the natural isometry of the space  $H_i = \text{Im } \pi(p_i)$  to  $H_0$ .

Let  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_n$ . Define the linear operator  $\Gamma : H \to H_0$  that is given by the matrix

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\alpha_1} \, \Gamma_1 & \sqrt{\alpha_2} \, \Gamma_2 & \cdots & \sqrt{\alpha_n} \, \Gamma_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\Gamma\Gamma^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \Gamma_i \Gamma_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \pi(p_i) = I_{H_0}$ ,  $\Gamma^*$  is a partial isometry from  $H_0$  to H. Let  $\hat{H}_0 = (\operatorname{Im} \Gamma^*)^{\perp}$  and  $\Delta^*$  is the natural isometry of  $\hat{H}_0$  to H then  $U^* = (\Gamma^*, \Delta^*)$  be a unitary operator from  $\hat{H}_0 \oplus H_0$  to H. As  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_n$ , the operators  $\Delta$  and U have the Peirce decomposition

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \alpha_1} \Delta_1 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha_2} \Delta_2 & \cdots & \sqrt{1 - \alpha_n} \Delta_n \end{pmatrix},$$
$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\alpha_1} \Gamma_1 & \sqrt{\alpha_2} \Gamma_2 & \cdots & \sqrt{\alpha_n} \Gamma_n \\ \sqrt{1 - \alpha_1} \Delta_1 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha_2} \Delta_2 & \cdots & \sqrt{1 - \alpha_n} \Delta_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since U is a unitary operator and  $\Gamma_i^*\Gamma_i = I_{H_i}$ , it is easy to obtain that  $\Delta_i^*\Delta_i = I_{H_i}$  and  $\Delta_i\Delta_i^* = Q_i$  are orthoprojections in the space  $\hat{H}_0$ . From  $\Delta\Delta^* = I_{\hat{H}_0}$  ( $\Delta$  is an isometry) it follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^n (1-\alpha_i)\Delta_i\Delta_i^* = I_{\hat{H}_0}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n (1-\alpha_i)Q_i = I_{\hat{H}_0}$ .

Define  $S : \pi \to \hat{\pi}$ , where  $\hat{\pi}(p_i) = Q_i$ . From the condition  $\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \alpha_i)Q_i = I$  we have  $\hat{\pi} \in \operatorname{Ob}\operatorname{Rep}\mathfrak{P}_{n,(1-\alpha_1,1-\alpha_2,\dots,1-\alpha_n)}$ . One can see (in analogy with [5]), that the functor

 $S:\operatorname{Rep}\mathfrak{P}_{n,(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n)}\to\operatorname{Rep}\mathfrak{P}_{n,(1-\alpha_1,1-\alpha_2,\ldots,1-\alpha_n)},$ 

where  $0 < \alpha_i < 1$  (therefore, 0 < A < n), is an equivalence of categories.

Let  $\pi$  be a representation of the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  in a finite-dimensional space H. We shall call the vector  $(d; d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ , where  $d = \dim H$ ,  $d_i = \dim \operatorname{Im} \pi(p_i)$ , the generalized dimension of the representation  $\pi$ .

The functors T and S induce actions on the set of vectors  $\vec{\alpha}$ , on sums of their coordinates A and on generalized dimensions of representations of algebras  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$ .

It it easy to check that

$$T(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{A-1}, \frac{\alpha_2}{A-1}, \dots, \frac{\alpha_n}{A-1}\right), \qquad T(A) = \frac{A}{A-1},$$
$$T(d; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) = (d; d-d_1, d-d_2, \dots, d-d_n),$$
$$S(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = (1-\alpha_1, 1-\alpha_2, \dots, 1-\alpha_n), \qquad S(A) = n-A,$$
$$S(d; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i - d; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\right).$$

Define the functors of Coxeter as  $\Phi^+ = TS$  and  $\Phi^- = ST$ .  $\Phi^+$  is defined when A < n - 1,  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_n^1$ .  $\Phi^-$  is defined when A > 1,  $T(\vec{\alpha}) \in (0, 1)^n$ . Since  $T^2 = Id$ ,  $S^2 = Id$ , then  $\Phi^+ \Phi^- = Id$ and  $\Phi^- \Phi^+ = Id$ .

Let  $\Phi^{+(k)} = \Phi^+ \Phi^{+(k-1)}$ .

**Lemma 5.** 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \Phi^{+(k)} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n-2} \right) = \frac{n - \sqrt{n^2 - 4n}}{2}$$
 and intervals  
 $\left[ 1, 1 + \frac{1}{n-2} \right), \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{n-2}, \Phi^+ \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n-2} \right) \right), \dots, \left[ \Phi^{+(k-1)} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n-2} \right), \Phi^{+(k)} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n-2} \right) \right), \dots$   
do not intersect and cover the interval  $\left[ 1, \frac{n - \sqrt{n^2 - 4n}}{2} \right].$ 

**Proof.** It is easy to show that  $\Phi^+(1) = 1 + \frac{1}{n-2}$  and the sequence  $\Phi^{+(k)}\left(1 + \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$  is increasing. Since it is bounded by 2, the limit *a* of the sequence exists and it is a fixed point of the map  $\Phi^+(A) = 1 + \frac{1}{n-A-1}$ . From the equation  $1 + \frac{1}{n-a-1} = a$  (taking into account that a < 2) we obtain  $a = \frac{n-\sqrt{n^2-4n}}{2}$ .

**Lemma 6.**  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_n^1, 0 < A \leq \frac{n}{2}$ , if and only if  $T(\vec{\alpha}) \in \Sigma_n^1$  and  $\frac{n}{2} \leq T(A) < n$ .

**Proof.** Obviously, the map S sets one-to-one correspondence between points of  $\Sigma_n^1$  with the sum A < n and points  $\Sigma_n^1$  with the sum n - A.

**Lemma 7.** If n - 1 < A < n then  $\vec{\alpha} \notin \Sigma_n^1$ .

**Proof.** If n-1 < A < n then 0 < S(A) < 1, whence, by the Lemma 1,  $S(\vec{\alpha}) \notin \Sigma_n$  and it means that  $\vec{\alpha} \notin \Sigma_n^1$ .

**Lemma 8.** If  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_n$ ,  $A \neq 1$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  is not reduced then  $\frac{\alpha_i}{A-1} \leq 1$  and  $A \geq \frac{n}{n-1}$ .

**Proof.** If there exists a number  $i_0$  that  $\frac{\alpha_{i_0}}{A-1} > 1$ , then the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,T(\vec{\alpha})}$  will be reduced. Take any representation  $\pi$  of the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$ . Denote  $\hat{\pi}$  as the correspondent representation of the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,T(\vec{\alpha})}$  then by the lemma  $3 \hat{\pi}(p_{i_0}) = 0$ , so  $\pi(p_{i_0}) = I$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  is reduced.

If for all  $i: \frac{\alpha_i}{A-1} \leq 1$  then  $\frac{A}{A-1} \leq n$  and from here  $A \geq \frac{n}{n-1}$ .

2. Now we describe  $\Sigma_n^1$ , when n = 3 and n = 4.

**Lemma 9.** Let  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \Sigma_3$ . Then for some subset  $J \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$ :  $\sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i = 1$  or  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 2$ . To every pointed subset J, there corresponds a unique one-dimensional irreducible representation  $\pi$ :  $\pi(p_i) = 1$ ,  $i \in J$ , and  $\pi(p_i) = 0$ ,  $i \notin J$ . If  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 2$  then, furthermore, the algebra has a unique, up to unitary equivalence, irreducible two-dimensional representation.

**Proof.** The proof reduces to an easy computation, when taking into account that an irreducible pair of orthoprojections is a one-dimensionally or unitary equivalent to a pair

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau & \sqrt{\tau - \tau^2} \\ \sqrt{\tau - \tau^2} & 1 - \tau \end{pmatrix}, \qquad 0 < \tau < 1.$$

**Lemma 10.** If  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_4^1$ , 0 < A < 2, is reduced then the following condition, which we will call the R-condition, is satisfied:  $\exists J \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ :  $\sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i = 1$  or  $\exists \alpha_{i_0} : 2 - A = \alpha_{i_0}$ .

**Proof.** There are two possible cases.

1) Let  $\pi(p_{i_0}) = 0$  then  $\sum_{i \neq i_0} \alpha_i \pi(p_i) = I$ . Let  $\vec{\alpha}'$  be obtained from  $\vec{\alpha}$  by omitting the coordinate  $\alpha_{i_0}$ . Obviously,  $\vec{\alpha}' \in \Sigma_3^{i_{\neq i_0}}$  So  $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i = 1$ , for some subset  $J \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \{i_0\}$ , (if  $\sum_{i \neq i_0} \alpha_i = 2$ , then A > 2).

2) If for all  $\pi : \pi(p_{i_0}) = I$  then  $\sum_{i \neq i_0} \alpha_i \pi(p_i) = (1 - \alpha_{i_0})I$ . The operator at the left hand-side is positive. From here  $\alpha_{i_0} \leq 1$ . If  $\alpha_{i_0} = 1$ , then the *R*-condition is satisfied, else  $\sum_{i \neq i_0} \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_{i_0}} \pi(p_i) = I$ . From the previous lemma we have either: a)  $\sum_{i \in J} \frac{\alpha_i}{1-\alpha_4} = 1$ , for some subset  $J \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \{i_0\}$ , hence  $\sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i + \alpha_4 = 1$  or b)  $\frac{\alpha_1}{1-\alpha_4} + \frac{\alpha_2}{1-\alpha_4} + \frac{\alpha_3}{1-\alpha_4} = 2$ ,  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 2(1-\alpha_4)$  and  $2-A = \alpha_4$ .

Note, that if  $\vec{\alpha}$  satisfies *R*-condition then  $\vec{\alpha}$  is not necessary reduced.

**Lemma 11.** If  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_4 \setminus \Sigma_4^1$  then  $T(\vec{\alpha})$  satisfies *R*-condition.

**Proof.** From the condition  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_4 \setminus \Sigma_4^1$ , we obtain  $\alpha_{i_0} \ge 1$  for some  $i_0$ . Suppose  $\alpha_{i_0} > 1$ ,  $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep} \mathfrak{P}_{4,T(\vec{\alpha})}$  then, by the Lemma 3,  $T(\pi)(p_{i_0}) = 0$ . From here  $\pi(p_{i_0}) = I$ , so  $\vec{\alpha}$  is reduced.

Assume 
$$\alpha_{i_0} = 1$$
. From  $T(\vec{\alpha}) = \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{A-1}, \frac{\alpha_2}{A-1}, \frac{\alpha_3}{A-1}, \frac{\alpha_4}{A-1}\right) = \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\sum\limits_{i\neq i_0} \alpha_i}, \frac{\alpha_2}{\sum\limits_{i\neq i_0} \alpha_i}, \frac{\alpha_3}{\sum\limits_{i\neq i_0} \alpha_i}, \frac{\alpha_4}{\sum\limits_{i\neq i_0} \alpha_i}\right)$ , the sum  $\sum_{j\neq i_0} \left(\frac{\alpha_j}{\sum\limits_{i\neq i_0} \alpha_i}\right) = 1$ , so  $T(\vec{\alpha})$  satisfies *R*-condition.

From Lemmas 2, 3, 8, 10, it follows

**Lemma 12.** If  $1 \le A < 1 + \frac{1}{n-2}\Big|_{n=4} = \frac{3}{2}$  then  $\vec{\alpha}$  satisfy *R*-condition.

Using the lemmas proved above, we obtain:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4), \ 0 < \alpha_i < 1, \ A = \sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i, \ \Sigma_4^1$  be the set of such  $\vec{\alpha}$  that the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{4,\vec{\alpha}}$  has a nonzero representation.

1) Dimensions of all irreducible representations of the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{4,\vec{\alpha}}$  are finite.

2) If A = 1 then  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_4^1$  and the corresponding algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{4,\vec{\alpha}}$  has a unique irreducible representation  $\pi$ , which is a one-dimensional representation and  $\pi(p_i) = 1$ .

3) If A = 2 then  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_4^1$  and all irreducible representations has dimension one or two (their description see in [4]).

4) The functor S is equivalence of categories of representations of "symmetry" algebras  $\mathfrak{P}_{4,(\alpha_1\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4)}$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_{4,(1-\alpha_1,1-\alpha_2,1-\alpha_3,1-\alpha_4)}$ ,  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_4^1$ , with the center of symmetry A = 2. 5) Every point  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_4^1, 1 < A < 2$ , or satisfies *R*-condition or  $\Phi^-(\alpha)$  belongs to  $\Sigma_4^1$ .

6)  $\vec{\alpha} \in \Sigma_4^1$ , 1 < A < 2 if and only if  $\Phi^{-(k)}(\vec{\alpha})$  satisfy R-condition for some k. The number k is bounded by  $N: \Phi^{-(N)}(A) \in [1, \frac{3}{2})$ . The functor  $\Phi^{-(k)}$  is equivalence of categories of representations of algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\vec{\alpha}}$  and reduced algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,\Phi^{-(k)}(\vec{\alpha})}$ .

The theorem allows us to reduce the solution of the problem about belonging of a point  $\vec{\alpha}$  to  $\Sigma_4^1$  to verifying R-condition for some another point.

## Acknowledgements

Partially supported by project 01.07/071 SFFR of Ukraine.

- [1] Bespalov Y.N., Sets of orthoprojections conected by linear relation, Ukr. Math. J., 1992, V.44, N 3,309–317.
- [2] Galinsky D.V. and Muratov M.A., On representations of algebras, generated by sets of three and four orthoprojections, spectral and evolytionary problems, in Proceedings of the Eighth Crimean Autumn Mathematical School-Symposium (KROMSH VIII), Tavria, Simferopol, V.8, 1998, 15–22.
- [3] Galinsky D.V. and Kruglyak S.A., Representation of \*-algebras generated by linearly connected orthoprojectors, Visnyk of Kyiv University, 1999, N 2, 24–31.
- Galinsky D.V., Representations of \*-algebras generated by orthogonal projections satisfying a linear relations, Methods of Functional Analisis and Topology, V.4, N 4, 1998, 27–32.
- [5] Kruglyak S.A., Functors of Coxeter for one class of \*-quivers, Ukr. Math. J., 2002, V.55, N 6, to appear.