Algebraic Bethe Ansatz for the XXZ Gaudin Models with Generic Boundary

Nicolas CRAMPE

Laboratoire Charles Coulomb (L2C), UMR 5221 CNRS-Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France E-mail: nicolas.crampe@umontpellier.fr

Received November 01, 2017, in final form December 06, 2017; Published online December 13, 2017 https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2017.094

Abstract. We solve the XXZ Gaudin model with generic boundary using the modified algebraic Bethe ansatz. The diagonal and triangular cases have been recovered in this general framework. We show that the model for odd or even lengths has two different behaviors. The corresponding Bethe equations are computed for all the cases. For the chain with even length, inhomogeneous Bethe equations are necessary. The higher spin Gaudin models with generic boundary is also treated.

Key words: integrability; algebraic Bethe ansatz; Gaudin models; Bethe equations

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 81R12; 17B80; 37J35

1 Introduction

The algebraic Bethe ansatz is a powerful method to solve analytically numerous integrable models [41]. Then, the introduction of integrable boundaries in this framework was initiated in [39]. However, the problem to solve models with generic integrable boundaries thanks to the algebraic Bethe ansatz has been overcome only recently [1, 5, 6, 8, 15]. The problem lies in the fact that there is no simple particular eigenvector, which is normally the starting point of the method. Therefore, other approaches have been used to deal with this problem: the functional Bethe ansatz [21, 23, 34, 35], the coordinate Bethe ansatz [16, 17], the separation of variables [19, 22, 37], the q-Onsager approach [2, 4] and the matrix ansatz [18, 25, 28, 29, 30]. The algebraic Bethe ansatz has been also used in this context [7, 10, 33, 38, 42] but constraints on the parameters defining the boundaries were necessary. Then, inhomogeneous T-Q relations were introduced in [11, 12, 13, 36] where the authors obtained the eigenvalues and the Bethe ansatz allows us to compute the associated eigenvectors [1, 5, 6, 8, 44]. Let us mention also that this method has been also exploited to study twisted XXX spin chain in [9] or the rational Gaudin magnets in arbitrarily oriented magnetic fields in [20].

In this letter, we solve the Gaudin model (introduced in [24]) with generic boundaries using the modified algebraic Bethe ansatz. The Gaudin model is one of the simplest quantum integrable system and we hope that its resolution will shed more light on the method. Let us also mention that the algebraic Bethe ansatz was already applied to solve open Gaudin model using the vertex-IRF correspondence of the XXZ spin chain [26, 43]. However, as pointed in [14], the model considered in [26, 43] is obtained as a limit from the XXZ spin chain and is different of the one studied here which is constructed directly from a classical r-matrix.

This letter is organised as follows. In Section 2, we recall well-known results about the Gaudin algebra and model to fix the notations used in the following. Then, we provide technical results in the Sections 3.1 and 3.2 to implement the algebraic Bethe ansatz. In Section 3.3, we recover the results for the diagonal and triangular boundaries [27, 31, 32]. Section 3.4 contains new

results for the generic boundaries when the length of the chain is odd. Finally, we deal with the even chain in Section 3.5 where an additional computation is necessary. We explain also why the computation is different depending on the parity of the length of the chain. In Section 4, we generalize these results to solve the higher spin Gaudin models with generic boundary.

2 Gaudin models and algebras

In this section, we recall different well-known notions to construct Gaudin models and prove their integrability.

For a matrix $r(x, y) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^N)$ depending on 2 parameters x, y, one defines the fundamental equation, called classical Yang–Baxter equation, given by

$$[r_{13}(x_1, x_3), r_{23}(x_2, x_3)] = [r_{21}(x_2, x_1), r_{13}(x_1, x_3)] + [r_{23}(x_2, x_3), r_{12}(x_1, x_2)]$$

In the previous relation, we have used the usual notations: $r_{12}(x) = r(x) \otimes \mathbb{I}$, $r_{23}(x) = \mathbb{I} \otimes r(x)$, ..., where \mathbb{I} is the identity matrix. A solution of the classical Yang–Baxter equation is called a *r*-matrix. In addition, if an *r*-matrix r(x, y) depends only on the quotient x/y and satisfies the supplementary relations $r_{12}(x, y) = r_{12}(x/y) = -r_{21}(y/x) = -r_{12}(y/x)^{t_1t_2}$ then it is called a skew-symmetric *r*-matrix. For a given skew-symmetric *r*-matrix r(x/y), one defines also the reflection equation

$$r_{12}(x/y)k_1(x)k_2(y) - k_1(x)k_2(y)r_{21}(x/y) = k_2(y)r_{12}(xy)k_1(x) - k_1(x)r_{21}(xy)k_2(y).$$

A solution k(x) of the reflection equation is called k-matrix. From a skew-symmetric r-matrix and an associated k-matrix, we can construct a new r-matrix [40]

$$\overline{r}_{12}(x,y) = r_{12}(x/y) - k_1(x)r_{12}(1/(xy))k_1(x)^{-1}, \qquad (2.1)$$

which is not skew-symmetric.

To each r-matrix r(x, y), on can associate a Lie algebra \mathscr{R} . Indeed, if $\mathscr{K}(x) \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^N) \otimes \mathscr{R}$, then the following relation

$$[\mathscr{K}_1(x), \mathscr{K}_2(y)] = [r_{21}(y, x), \mathscr{K}_1(x)] + [\mathscr{K}_2(y), r_{12}(x, y)]$$
(2.2)

defines a Lie commutator for \mathscr{R} . Indeed, the antisymmetry of the product is obvious and the Jacobi identity is satisfied due to the classical Yang–Baxter equation. For any invertible matrix $M(x) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$, there is a Lie algebra isomorphism given by

$$\begin{split} \Phi_M \colon & \mathscr{R} \to \mathscr{R}_M, \\ & \mathscr{K}_0(x) \mapsto M_0(x)^{-1} \mathscr{K}_0(x) M_0(x), \end{split}$$

where \mathscr{R}_M is the Lie algebra defined by (2.2) with the *r*-matrix

$$M_1(x)^{-1}M_2(y)^{-1}r_{12}(x,y)M_1(x)M_2(y).$$

From the defining relations (2.2), one can show that the transfer matrix

$$t(x) = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}_0 \left(\mathscr{K}_0(x)^2 \right)$$
(2.3)

satisfies [t(x), t(y)] = 0. Then, when a representation for \mathscr{R} is chosen, the coefficients of t(x) provide an integrable hierarchy. Let us remark that, for a given matrix M(x), \mathscr{R} and \mathscr{R}_M give the same hierarchy since it is easy to see that tr $(\mathscr{K}_0(x)^2) = \text{tr} (\Phi_M(\mathscr{K}_0(x))^2)$.

In this letter, we focus on the skew-symmetric *r*-matrix associated to the affine Kac–Moody algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$:

$$r(x) = \frac{1}{x-1} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}(x+1) & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(x+1) & -2 & 0\\ 0 & -2x & \frac{1}{2}(x+1) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}(x+1) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.4)

The most general k-matrix associated to this r-matrix is given by

$$k(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta + \gamma/x & -\frac{\alpha(\beta+\rho)}{2}(x-1/x) \\ \frac{\beta-\rho}{2\alpha}(x-1/x) & \beta + \gamma x \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.5)

where α , β , γ and ρ are scalar parameters. As explained previously, the *r*-matrix and the *k*-matrix allow us to construct a new *r*-matrix (see relation (2.1)). Without loss of generality, we can use the Lie algebra isomorphism Φ_M to transform this *r*-matrix. Therefore, we use in this paper the following *r*-matrix

$$\widetilde{r}_{12}(x,y) = M_1(x)^{-1} M_2(y)^{-1} \big(r_{12}(x/y) - k_1(x) r_{12}(1/(xy)) k_1(x)^{-1} \big) M_1(x) M_2(y),$$
(2.6)

where r(x) is given by (2.4), k(x) is given by (2.5) and

$$M(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta + \rho}{2\rho} & \frac{\alpha}{x} \\ \frac{(\beta - \rho)x}{2\alpha\rho} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Explicitly, this r-matrix reads as

$$\widetilde{r}(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\omega(x,y)\sigma^{z} & b(x)\sigma^{z} + f(y,x)\sigma^{-} \\ c(x)\sigma^{z} - f(1/y,1/x)\sigma^{+} & \frac{1}{2}\omega(x,y)\sigma^{z} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\sigma^{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma^{+} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\sigma^{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and
 $\delta(x) = \frac{((\beta - \rho)x^{2} + 2\gamma x + \rho + \beta)}{2\rho(x - 1/x)}, \qquad b(x) = -\frac{\alpha}{\delta(x)}, \qquad c(x) = \frac{\rho^{2} - \beta^{2}}{4\alpha\rho^{2}\delta(1/x)},$ (2.7)

$$\omega(x,y) = \frac{x+y}{x-y} + \frac{xy+1}{xy-1} \quad \text{and} \quad f(x,y) = \omega(x,y)\frac{\delta(x)}{\delta(y)}.$$
(2.8)

Let us emphasize that the r-matrix $\tilde{r}(x, y)$ has a simpler form than the one without the isomorphism (i.e., $r_{12}(x/y) - k_1(x)r_{12}(1/(xy))k_1(x)^{-1}$). Without the isomorphism, the 16 entries of the r-matrix should be non-zero whereas $\tilde{r}(x, y)$ has 6 vanishing entries and each two by two block submatrices are diagonal or triangular.

As explained previously, the r-matrix $\tilde{r}(x, y)$ allows one to define a Lie algebra by using relation (2.2) with

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_{0}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} A(x) & \widetilde{B}(x) \\ \widetilde{C}(x) & -A(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.9)

We denote by $\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}$ this Lie algebra. Let us mention that the Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}$ for special choice of the parameters in the k-matrix has been identified as Onsager algebras [3]¹.

¹To compare this letter with [3], we must use the additional property $r_{12}(x)^{t_1} = \sigma_1^y r_{12}(x) \sigma_1^y$ satisfied by the *r*-matrix (2.4).

Finally, let us introduce the following representation²

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_0(x) = \sum_{j=1}^L \widetilde{r}_{0j}(x, v_j).$$
(2.10)

By using the classical Yang–Baxter equation, it is obvious to show that (2.10) satisfies the commutation relations of \mathscr{R} . The parameters v_i are called inhomogeneous parameters. The Hamiltonians are usually defined by

$$\widetilde{H}_{j} = \operatorname{Res}_{x=v_{j}} t(x) = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Res}_{x=v_{j}} \operatorname{tr}_{0} \left(\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_{0}(x)^{2} \right)$$
$$= -v_{j} \sum_{\substack{p=1\\p\neq j}}^{L} \widetilde{r}_{jp}(v_{j}, v_{p}) + Lv_{j} \left(\frac{3v_{j}^{2}}{v_{j}^{2} - 1} - \nu(v_{j}) \right)$$

where

$$\nu(z) = \frac{z^2(\rho^2 - \beta^2) - 2\beta\gamma z + \rho^2 - \beta^2}{2\rho^2(z^2 - 1)\delta(z)\delta(1/z)}.$$
(2.11)

These Hamiltonians are integrable due to the commutation of the transfer matrix and $[H_j, H_k] = 0$. They are related to the Gaudin models with boundary (or also called BC Gaudin models). Indeed, by conjugating the previous Hamiltonians by $M_1(v_1) \cdots M_L(v_L)$, one gets the Hamiltonians

$$H_{j} = -v_{j} \sum_{\substack{p=1\\p\neq j}}^{L} \left(r_{jp} \left(\frac{v_{j}}{v_{p}} \right) + k_{j}(v_{j}) r_{jp} \left(\frac{1}{v_{j}v_{p}} \right) k_{j}(v_{j})^{-1} \right) + Lv_{j} \left(\frac{3v_{j}^{2}}{v_{j}^{2} - 1} - \nu(v_{j}) \right),$$

which are the usual form for the XXZ Gaudin model with boundary.

3 Modified algebraic Bethe ansatz

In this section, we want to diagonalize the matrix $\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}_0(\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_0(x)^2)$ when the 4 parameters in the k-matrix are generic. The diagonalisation when the k-matrix is diagonal was obtained in [27] and for the triangular case in [31, 32]. For the generic case, the situation is more complicated. Here, we use the modified algebraic Bethe ansatz, introduced and used in different contexts [1, 5, 6, 8, 15], to compute the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors for the generic case. The first two subsections are technical. Then, Section 3.3 contains the known results about the diagonal or triangular boundaries recovered here and Sections 3.4 and 3.5 contains the new results for the chain of length odd or even.

3.1 Algebraic relations

In this subsection, by using the commutation relations obtained from (2.2), we provide necessary propositions to perform the algebraic Bethe ansatz. Firstly, let us mention that the commutation relations between A(x), $\tilde{B}(x)$ and $\tilde{C}(x)$ given by (2.2) with the *r*-matrix (2.6) and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(x)$ given by (2.9) are quite complicated in comparison to the usual ones. This is why we introduce the two following shifted generators

$$B(x,n) = \tilde{B}(x) - (2n-1)b(x)$$
 and $C(x,n) = \tilde{C}(x) - (2n-1)c(x)$,

²We keep the same notation $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}(x)$ for the algebraic element or its representation.

$$A(x)A(y) = A(y)A(x), \tag{3.1}$$

$$B(x,n)B(y,n+1) = B(y,n)B(x,n+1),$$
(3.2)

$$C(x,n)C(y,n-1) = C(y,n)C(x,n-1),$$
(3.3)

$$A(x)B(y,m) = B(y,m)A(x) + \omega(x,y)B(y,m) - f(x,y)B(x,m),$$
(3.4)

$$A(x)C(y,m) = C(y,m)A(x) - \omega(x,y)C(y,m) - f(1/x,1/y)C(x,m),$$
(3.5)

$$C(x,n)B(y,n) = B(y,n+1)C(x,n+1)$$

$$+2f(x,y)A(x) - 2f(1/y,1/x)A(y) - 8nb(y)c(x), \qquad (3.6)$$

where $\omega(x, y)$ and f(x, y) are defined by (2.8).

We introduce also a shifted transfer matrix

$$t(x,j) = \frac{1}{2}A(x)^2 + \frac{1}{4}B(x,j+1)C(x,j+1) + \frac{1}{4}C(x,j)B(x,j) - \frac{1}{2}b(x)c(x).$$
(3.7)

For j = 0 in the previous relation, the transfer matrix (2.3) is recovered: $t(x) = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}_0 \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_0(x)^2 = t(x, 0).$

Secondly, we present a lemma permitting to commute the shifted transfer matrix with the generator B(x, p). This lemma is standard in the context of the algebraic Bethe ansatz for the Gaudin model except for the modification of the shift for the transfer matrix.

Lemma 3.1. If the algebraic relations (3.1)–(3.6) are satisfied, the following relation holds

$$t(x, p-1)B(z, p) - B(z, p)t(x, p) = B(z, p)(\omega(x, z)(A(x) + \nu(x)) + 2b(x)c(x)) - B(x, p)(f(1/z, 1/x)(A(z) + \nu(z)) + 4(p-1)c(x)b(z)),$$
(3.8)

where $\nu(z)$ is defined by (2.11).

Proof. By straightforward computations using the commutation relations (3.1)-(3.6), one gets

$$\begin{split} t(x,p-1)B(z,p) &- B(z,p)t(x,p) = \omega(x,z)B(z,p)A(x) - f(1/z,1/x)B(x,p)A(z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \big(\omega(x,z)^2 + f(z,x)f(1/z,1/x) \big) B(z,p) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \big(\omega(z,x)f(1/z,1/x) + \omega(x,z)f(x,z) + 4(2p-1)c(x)b(z) \big) B(x,p). \end{split}$$

Then, by using the following functional relations

$$\begin{split} &\omega(x,z)^2 + f(z,x)f(1/z,1/x) = 2\nu(x)\omega(x,z) + 4b(x)c(x), \\ &\omega(z,x)f(1/z,1/x) + \omega(x,z)f(x,z) = 2\nu(z)f(1/z,1/x) - 4c(x)b(z) \end{split}$$

one gets relation (3.8).

Let us define the following product

$$\mathbb{B}(\boldsymbol{z}) = B(z_1, 1)B(z_2, 2)\cdots B(z_M, M),$$

where $\boldsymbol{z} = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_M\}$. Let us remark that, due to relation (3.2), $\mathbb{B}(\boldsymbol{z})$ is invariant under any permutation of the z_i which justifies the definition of \boldsymbol{z} as a set. One introduces also, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$

$$\mathbb{B}(\boldsymbol{z}_k, x) = B(z_1, 1) \cdots B(z_{k-1}, k-1) B(x, k) B(z_{k+1}, k+1) \cdots B(z_M, M).$$

Finally, we are in position to compute the action of the transfer matrix with the product $\mathbb{B}(z)$:

Proposition 3.2. If the algebraic relations (3.1)–(3.6) are satisfied, the following relation holds

$$\begin{split} t(x)\mathbb{B}(\boldsymbol{z}) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}(\boldsymbol{z})B(x,M+1)C(x,M+1) \\ &+ \mathbb{B}(\boldsymbol{z})\left(\Lambda(x) + \sum_{p=1}^{M}\omega(x,z_p)\left(A(x) + \nu(x) + \sum_{q\neq p}\frac{(x-1/x)\omega(z_p,z_q)}{z_p - 1/z_p}\right)\right) \\ &- \sum_{p=1}^{M}\mathbb{B}(\boldsymbol{z}_p,x)f(1/z_p,1/x)\left(A(z_p) + \nu(z_p) + \sum_{q\neq p}\omega(z_p,z_q)\right), \end{split}$$

where

W

$$\Lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2}A(x)^2 + xA'(x) + \nu(x)A(x) - \frac{x^2 + 1}{x^2 - 1}A(x) - \frac{1}{2}b(x)c(x).$$

Proof. One gets, using a telescopic sum, the following relation

$$t(x,0)\mathbb{B}(z) - \mathbb{B}(z)t(x,M) = \sum_{p=1}^{M} B_1 \cdots B_{p-1} \left(t(x,p-1)B_p - B_p t(x,p) \right) B_{p+1} \cdots B_M,$$

where $B_p = B(z_p, p)$. Then, using the Lemma 3.1, we express $t(x, p-1)B_p - B_p t(x, p)$ in the previous sum. Then, we use repetitively relation (3.4) to put the operators A on the right. Then, we rearrange the terms using the following relations

$$\omega(x, z_p) f(x, z_q) - f(1/z_p, 1/x) f(z_p, z_q) = \omega(z_q, z_p) f(1/z_q, 1/x) - 4c(x) b(z_q),$$

$$\sum_{q > p} \omega(x, z_p) \omega(x, z_q) = \sum_{q \neq p} \frac{x - 1/x}{z_p - 1/z_p} \omega(z_p, z_q) \omega(x, z_p).$$

Finally, t(x, M) is simplified using relation (3.7) and (3.6) for $y \to x$ to prove the proposition.

Let us emphasize again that this type of computation and result are the same for the periodic or diagonal Gaudin model. The only difference lies on the shift in the operators.

3.2 Explicit representation

r

Up to now, we have only used the commutation relations of the algebra to prove the previous results. Now, we choose the representation for $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}(x)$ given by (2.10). Therefore, we get explicitly

$$A(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} \omega(x, v_j) \sigma_j^z,$$

$$B(x, n) = (1 - 2n)b(x) + b(x) \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sigma_j^z + \sum_{j=1}^{L} f(v_j, x) \sigma_j^-,$$

$$C(x, n) = (1 - 2n)c(x) + c(x) \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sigma_j^z - \sum_{j=1}^{L} f(1/v_j, 1/x) \sigma_j^+.$$

(3.9)

Let us introduce the following vectors $\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes 2}$, called usually pseudo-vacuum. We deduce that

$$A(x)\Omega = a(x)\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad C(x,n)\Omega = (L+1-2n)c(x)\Omega$$

$$(3.10)$$

$$\text{ith } a(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{L}\omega(x,v_j).$$

The Bethe vectors are defined as follows

$$\mathbb{V}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \mathbb{B}(\boldsymbol{z})\Omega. \tag{3.11}$$

These Bethe vectors are of course invariant under any permutation of the z_i since $\mathbb{B}(z)$ and $\mathbb{C}(z)$ are invariant. From the previous results (Proposition 3.2 and relations (3.10)), we get easily the action of the transfer matrix on these Bethe vectors

$$t(x)\mathbb{V}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{L-2M-1}{2}c(x)\mathbb{B}(\boldsymbol{z})B(x,M+1)\Omega + \left(\lambda(x) + \sum_{p=1}^{M}\omega(x,z_p)\left(a(x) + \nu(x) + \sum_{q\neq p}\frac{(x-1/x)\omega(z_p,z_q)}{z_p - 1/z_p}\right)\right)\mathbb{V}(\boldsymbol{z}) - \sum_{p=1}^{M}f(1/z_p,1/x)\left(a(z_p) + \nu(z_p) + \sum_{q\neq p}\omega(z_p,z_q)\right)\mathbb{V}(\boldsymbol{z}_p,x),$$
(3.12)

where

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2}a(x)^2 + xa'(x) + \nu(x)a(x) - \frac{x^2 + 1}{x^2 - 1}a(x) - \frac{1}{2}b(x)c(x)$$

The second term in (3.12) is the wanted term (i.e., is proportional to the Bethe vector) and the third term is the unwanted term. These terms are already present for the periodic or triangular case. For the generic boundary, we must deal with the first term in the previous relation (3.12). There are different possibilities:

- c(x) = 0, i.e., $\rho = \pm \beta$. This case corresponds to triangular (or diagonal) boundaries and the Bethe ansatz works as usual. We get different sectors depending on the number M of excitations (see Section 3.3).
- The length of chain is odd and $M = \frac{L-1}{2}$. It corresponds to a case where the number of excitations is fixed. From relation (3.12), one gets only the half of the spectrum. The other half is obtained starting from $t(x)\overline{\mathbb{V}}(z)$ (see Section 3.4).
- The length of the chain is even. In this case, we must compute $\mathbb{B}(z)B(x, M+1)\Omega$. It corresponds to the modified algebraic Bethe ansatz (see Section 3.5).

At first sight, the difference of the behavior for the lengths odd or even seems strange. However, we can show that, in the case of odd length, two subspaces of $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes L}$ are stabilized by the transfer matrix. More precisely, if we denote by V_J the eigenspace of the total spin $S^z = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sigma_j^z$ with eigenvalue J, one gets $t(x)V_{1/2} \oplus V_{3/2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{L/2} \subset V_{1/2} \oplus V_{3/2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{L/2},$ $t(x)V_{-1/2} \oplus V_{-3/2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{-L/2} \subset V_{-1/2} \oplus V_{-3/2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{-L/2}.$ (3.13)

Then it is natural that the spectrum for odd chain splits into two sectors. For the chain of even length, this feature disappears: there is no stable subspace.

3.3 Triangular and diagonal boundaries

In this subsection, we deal with the triangular boundary (i.e., $\rho = \pm \beta$). In this case, we can easily see that one gets, for $M = 0, 1, \ldots, L$,

$$t(x)V_{L/2-M} \oplus V_{L/2-M+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{L/2} \subset V_{L/2-M} \oplus V_{L/2-M+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{L/2}.$$

For M = 0, 1, ..., L, the Bethe vectors $\mathbb{V}(z)$ defined by (3.11) is just in the sector $V_{L/2-M} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{L/2}$ and becomes an eigenvector of the transfer matrix if the Bethe roots z_i satisfy the Bethe equations:

$$a(z_p) + \nu(z_p) + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \ q \neq p}}^{M} \omega(z_p, z_q) = 0$$
 for $p = 1, 2, \dots, M.$ (3.14)

Explicitly, they read, for $p = 1, \ldots, M$,

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{L} \left(\frac{z_p + v_j}{z_p - v_j} + \frac{z_p v_j + 1}{z_p v_j - 1}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma z - \beta}{\gamma z + \beta} + \frac{\beta z - \gamma}{\beta z + \gamma}\right) + \sum_{\substack{q=1\\q \neq p}}^{M} \left(\frac{z_p + z_q}{z_p - z_q} + \frac{z_p z_q + 1}{z_p z_q - 1}\right) = 0.$$
(3.15)

The corresponding eigenvalue of the transfer matrix $t(x) = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}_0 \left(\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_0(x)^2 \right)$ is

$$\lambda(x) + \sum_{p=1}^{M} \omega(x, z_p) \left(a(x) + \nu(x) + \sum_{\substack{q=1\\q \neq p}}^{M} \frac{(x - 1/x)\omega(z_p, z_q)}{z_p - 1/z_p} \right).$$
(3.16)

Starting from the triangular boundary, we recover the diagonal one for $\alpha = 0$. We see that the eigenvalue (3.16) and the Bethe equations (3.15) do not depend on α . Then, as shown previously for the Gaudin model [31, 32] (or for other models [7, 38]), the spectrum for triangular boundaries is similar to the one with diagonal boundaries. Evidently, the Bethe eigenvectors depends on α , via the function b(x) present in B(x, j) (see (3.9)). In particular, the function b(x) vanishes for $\alpha = 0$ and the Bethe vectors $\mathbb{V}(z)$ are now in the sector $V_{L/2-M}$ which is consistent with

$$t(x)V_{L/2-M} \subset V_{L/2-M}.$$

The last equation means that the total spin is conserved by the transfer matrix in the case of diagonal boundary.

3.4 Generic boundaries for an odd chain

If the length of the chain is odd (i.e., there is an integer ℓ such that $L = 2\ell + 1$), we can chose the number of excitations as $M = \frac{L-1}{2} = \ell$ and the coefficient in front of $\mathbb{B}(\boldsymbol{z})B(x, M+1)\Omega$ vanishes. From the explicit expression (3.9) of B(x, j), we see that

$$\mathbb{V}(\boldsymbol{z}) \in V_{1/2} \oplus V_{3/2} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{L/2} \quad \text{for} \quad M = \ell,$$

which is one of the subspaces stabilized by the transfer matrix, as explained previously. Then, the eigenvalues for this sector are given by (3.16) with the Bethe equations (3.14) for $M = \ell$.

The spectrum and the associated eigenvectors for the other sector (3.13) are obtained from the Bethe vectors

$$\overline{\mathbb{V}}(\boldsymbol{z}) = C(z_1, 0)C(z_2, -1)\cdots C(z_{\ell}, -\ell+1) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes L}.$$

It is easy to show that $\overline{\mathbb{V}}(z)$ belongs to the second sector. A relation similar to (3.12) by replacing $\mathbb{V}(z)$ by $\overline{\mathbb{V}}(z)$ can be proved. Then, we show that, starting from $\overline{\mathbb{V}}(z)$, one gets

exactly the expression (3.16) for the eigenvalues and (3.14) for the Bethe equations. Therefore, the spectrums in both sectors are the same.

Let us also mention that the separation into two sectors is not new in this context. Indeed, the complete spectrum of the XXZ spin chain with certain constraints between the parameters of the boundaries is also obtained from two different Bethe vectors [42].

3.5 Generic boundaries for an even chain

For a chain with an even length, we must deal with the term $\mathbb{B}(z)B(x, M+1)\Omega$. For a generic M, this vector has no particular property but for M = L, special feature appears. This is the crucial point of the modified algebraic Bethe ansatz.

Firstly, let us state the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. The entries of the matrix

$$\mathcal{M}(z) = \prod_{q=1}^{-L} \left(\frac{(z - v_q)(z - 1/v_q)}{(z - z_q)(z - 1/z_q)} B(z_q, q) \right) \\ \times \left(\frac{(z - 1/z)}{(z - x)(z - 1/x)} B(x, L + 1) \right) \left(\delta(z) B(z, L + 1) \right)^{-1}$$

have only simple poles at $z = 0, \infty, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_L, 1/z_1, 1/z_2, \dots, 1/z_L, x, 1/x$.

Proof. The proof of the existence of the poles of $\mathcal{M}(z)$ at $z = z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_L, 1/z_1, 1/z_2, \ldots, 1/z_L$ and x, 1/x is straightforward. One gets

$$\widehat{B}(z) = \delta(z)B(z,L+1) = \alpha(2L+1) - \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sigma_j^z + \sum_{j=1}^{L} \frac{2z(v_j - 1/v_j)}{(z - v_j)(z - 1/v_j)} \delta(v_j)\sigma_j^{-1}$$

This matrix $\widehat{B}(z)$ is a lower triangular matrix with the diagonal entries in the set $\{\alpha(L+1), \alpha(L+3), \ldots, \alpha(3L+1)\}$. In particular, this shows that it is invertible which justifies the definition of $\mathcal{M}(z)$. Due to the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, $\widehat{B}(z)^{-1}$ is a polynomial of $\widehat{B}(z)$. Then, by using the fact that $(\sigma^{-})^2 = 0$, we prove that the poles at $z = v_j$ and $z = 1/v_j$ of $\widehat{B}(z)^{-1}$ remain simple. Then, $\mathcal{M}(z)$ has no pole at these points. In addition, its becomes a non-singular diagonal matrix for z = 0 which proves the simplicity of the pole of $\mathcal{M}(z)$ at z = 0. The existence of the pole at $z = \infty$ is proven using the property $\mathcal{M}(z) = -1/z^2 \mathcal{M}(1/z)$ which concludes the proof.

Similar technical lemma has been proven and used in [15]. We are now in position to provide the following proposition:

Proposition 3.4. The following relation holds

$$\mathbb{B}(\boldsymbol{z})B(x,L+1)\Omega = \widehat{\lambda}(x)\mathbb{V}(\boldsymbol{z}) + \sum_{p=1}^{L} \frac{(z_p - 1/z_p)\operatorname{Res}_{x=z_p}\left(\widehat{\lambda}(x)\right)}{(z_p - x)(z_p - 1/x)}\mathbb{V}(\boldsymbol{z}_p,x)$$

with $\boldsymbol{z} = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_L\}$ and

$$\widehat{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\alpha(L+1)}{\delta(x)} \prod_{q=1}^{L} \left(\frac{(x-v_q)(x-1/v_q)}{(x-z_q)(x-1/z_q)} \right)$$

Proof. The residues of $\mathcal{M}(z)\Omega$ are obtained by straightforward computations:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_p}(\mathcal{M}(z)\Omega) = \frac{1}{\delta(z_p)} \prod_{\substack{q=1\\q\neq p}}^{L} \left(\frac{(z_p - v_q)(z_p - 1/v_q)}{(z_p - z_q)(z_p - 1/z_q)} \right) \left(\frac{(z_p - v_p)(z_p - 1/v_p)}{(z_p - x)(z_p - 1/x)} \right) \mathbb{V}(\boldsymbol{z}_p, \boldsymbol{x}),$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=x}(\mathcal{M}(z)\Omega) = \frac{1}{\delta(x)} \prod_{\substack{q=1\\q=1}}^{L} \left(\frac{(x - v_q)(x - 1/v_q)}{(x - z_q)(x - 1/z_q)} \right) \mathbb{V}(\boldsymbol{z}),$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=0}(\mathcal{M}(z)\Omega) = -\frac{1}{\alpha(L+1)} \mathbb{B}(\boldsymbol{z})B(x, L+1)\Omega.$$

By using $\mathcal{M}(z) = -1/z^2 \mathcal{M}(1/z)$, we deduce that $\operatorname{Res}_{z=1/z_0}(\mathcal{M}(z)\Omega) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_0}(\mathcal{M}(z)\Omega)$ where $z_0 = 0, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_L, x$. The proof is concluded by using the fact that the sum over all the residues (with the point at infinity) of a rational function vanishes.

Therefore, by using relation (3.12) for M = L and the result of Proposition 3.4, we prove that $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{z})$ (for $\mathbf{z} = \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_L\}$) is an eigenvector of the transfer matrix t(x) if the Bethe roots satisfy the following Bethe equations

$$a(z_p) + \nu(z_p) + \sum_{\substack{q=1\\q \neq p}}^{L} \omega(z_p, z_q) = \frac{(L+1)c(z_p)}{4z_p} \operatorname{Res}_{x=z_p} \left(\widehat{\lambda}(x)\right), \quad \text{for } p = 1, 2, \dots, L.$$
(3.17)

To get the previous relation, we have used the functional relation

$$-\frac{(L+1)(z-1/z)c(x)}{2f(1/z,1/x)(z-x)(z-1/x)} = \frac{(L+1)c(z)}{4z}$$

Explicitly, they become

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{L} \left(\frac{z_p + v_j}{z_p - v_j} + \frac{z_p v_j + 1}{z_p v_j - 1}\right) + \nu(z_p) + \sum_{\substack{q=1\\q \neq p}}^{L} \left(\frac{z_p + z_q}{z_p - z_q} + \frac{z_p z_q + 1}{z_p z_q - 1}\right)$$
$$= \frac{(\rho^2 - \beta^2)(L+1)^2}{16\rho^2} \frac{(z_p - v_p)(z_p - 1/v_p)}{\delta(z_p)\delta(1/z_p)(z_p^2 - 1)} \prod_{\substack{q=1\\q \neq p}}^{L} \frac{(z_p - v_q)(z_p - 1/v_q)}{(z_p - z_q)(z_p - 1/z_q)},$$

where $\nu(z)$ is given by (2.11). The corresponding eigenvalue is

$$\lambda(x) - \frac{L+1}{2}c(x)\widehat{\lambda}(x) + \sum_{p=1}^{M}\omega(x, z_p) \left(a(x) + \nu(x) + \sum_{q \neq p} \frac{(x-1/x)\omega(z_p, z_q)}{z_p - 1/z_p}\right).$$
 (3.18)

Then the l.h.s. of the Bethe equations is similar to the ones for the diagonal or triangular boundaries. The r.h.s. corresponds to the inhomogeneous part of the TQ relation discovered in [11, 12] to solve the XXZ spin chain with twist or boundaries.

4 Higher spin Gaudin models

In this section, we generalize the previous construction and consider the higher spin Gaudin models. Indeed, it is well-known that we can find representations of the algebra \mathscr{R} using

different representations of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ at each sites. Indeed, the following matrix $\mathscr{K}(x)$ satisfies the defining relation (2.2):

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_0(x) = \sum_{j=1}^L \mathscr{L}_{0j}^{(s_j)}(x, v_j), \tag{4.1}$$

where $s_j = 1/2, 1, 3/2, ...$ is the spin of the representation at the sites j and

$$\mathscr{L}^{(s)}(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} -\omega(x,y)S^z & 2b(x)S^z + f(y,x)S^- \\ 2c(x)S^z - f(1/y,1/x)S^+ & \omega(x,y)S^z \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.2)

In (4.2), S^z , S^+ and S^- are the usual matrices representing the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ generators for spin s. The only necessary knowledge about these matrices for the following constructions is the fact that there exists a highest-weight vector w_s such that

$$S^z w_s = s w_s$$
 and $S^+ w_s = 0$ for $s = 1/2, 1, 3/2, ...$

For $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_L = \frac{1}{2}$, the representation (4.1) becomes (2.10). Let us emphasize that the representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ may be different at each sites.

Now, we can generalize the results of Section 3. All the results of Section 3.1 remains unchanged since it is independent of the representation.

The pseudo-vacuum becomes³

$$\Omega = w_{s_1} \otimes w_{s_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{s_L}.$$

Then, relation (3.12) is formally unchanged except the definition of the function a(x) which becomes

$$a(x) = -\sum_{j=1}^{L} \omega(x, v_j) s_j$$
(4.3)

and the *L* in the first line must be replaced by $\overline{L} = 2 \sum_{p=1}^{L} s_p$.

Then, there is again three possibilities to pursue the computation:

- The triangular case corresponding to c(x) = 0. The Bethe equations and the eigenvalues are given respectively by (3.14) and (3.16) with a(x) given by (4.3). The number M ranges now from 0 to \overline{L} .
- The odd case $M = \frac{\overline{L}-1}{2}$. The discussion of Section 3.4 is still valid.
- The even case. To deal with this case, we must generalize the Lemma 3.3. This lemma is still valid if we define $\mathcal{M}(z)$ as follows

$$\mathcal{M}(z) = \prod_{q=1}^{L} (z - v_q)^{2s_q} (z - 1/v_q)^{2s_q} \prod_{q=1}^{\overrightarrow{L}} \frac{B(z_q, q)}{(z - z_q)(z - 1/z_q)} \\ \times \frac{(z - 1/z)}{(z - x)(z - 1/x)} B(x, \overline{L} + 1) (\delta(z)B(z, \overline{L} + 1))^{-1}$$

Let us emphasize that the first product is up to L, the number of sites, and the second up to \overline{L} . The demonstration is very similar to the one given previously except we must use

 $^{^{3}}$ In this section, we keep the same notations for all the objects which generalize the objects of the previous sections.

 $(S^{-})^{2s+1} = 0$ for a spin s representation. Then, the Bethe equations and the eigenvalues are given respectively by (3.17) and (3.18) with L replace by \overline{L} , a(z) given by (4.3) and

$$\widehat{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\alpha(\overline{L}+1)}{\delta(x)} \prod_{q=1}^{L} (x-v_q)^{2s_q} (x-1/v_q)^{2s_q} \prod_{q=1}^{\overline{L}} \frac{1}{(x-z_q)(x-1/z_q)}.$$

Acknowledgements

I thank P. Baseilhac, S. Belliard and V. Caudrelier for their interest. This work has been done during the stay of the author at the "Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique CNRS/UMR 7350, Université de Tours". I thank warmly the LMPT for hospitality.

References

- Avan J., Belliard S., Grosjean N., Pimenta R.A., Modified algebraic Bethe ansatz for XXZ chain on the segment – III: Proof, *Nuclear Phys. B* 899 (2015), 229–246, arXiv:1506.02147.
- Baseilhac P., Belliard S., The half-infinite XXZ chain in Onsager's approach, Nuclear Phys. B 873 (2013), 550–584, arXiv:1211.6304.
- [3] Baseilhac P., Belliard S., Crampe N., FRT presentation of the Onsager algebras, arXiv:1709.08555.
- [4] Baseilhac P., Koizumi K., Exact spectrum of the XXZ open spin chain from the q-Onsager algebra representation theory, J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. 2007 (2007), P09006, 27 pages, hep-th/0703106.
- [5] Belliard S., Modified algebraic Bethe ansatz for XXZ chain on the segment I: Triangular cases, Nuclear Phys. B 892 (2015), 1–20, arXiv:1408.4840.
- Belliard S., Crampe N., Heisenberg XXX model with general boundaries: eigenvectors from algebraic Bethe ansatz, SIGMA 9 (2013), 072, 12 pages, arXiv:1309.6165.
- [7] Belliard S., Crampe N., Ragoucy E., Algebraic Bethe ansatz for open XXX model with triangular boundary matrices, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **103** (2013), 493–506, arXiv:1209.4269.
- [8] Belliard S., Pimenta R.A., Modified algebraic Bethe ansatz for XXZ chain on the segment—II: General cases, *Nuclear Phys. B* 894 (2015), 527–552, arXiv:1412.7511.
- Belliard S., Pimenta R.A., Slavnov and Gaudin-Korepin formulas for models without U(1) symmetry: the twisted XXX chain, SIGMA 11 (2015), 099, 12 pages, arXiv:1506.06550.
- [10] Cao J., Lin H.-Q., Shi K.-J., Wang Y., Exact solution of XXZ spin chain with unparallel boundary fields, *Nuclear Phys. B* 663 (2003), 487–519, cond-mat/0212163.
- [11] Cao J., Yang W.-L., Shi K.-J., Wang Y., Off-diagonal Bethe ansatz and exact solution a topological spin ring, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013), 137201, 5 pages, arXiv:1305.7328.
- [12] Cao J., Yang W.-L., Shi K.-J., Wang Y., Off-diagonal Bethe ansatz solution of the XXX spin chain with arbitrary boundary conditions, *Nuclear Phys. B* 875 (2013), 152–165, arXiv:1306.1742.
- [13] Cao J., Yang W.-L., Shi K.-J., Wang Y., Off-diagonal Bethe ansatz solutions of the anisotropic spin-¹/₂ chains with arbitrary boundary fields, *Nuclear Phys. B* 877 (2013), 152–175, arXiv:1307.2023.
- [14] Cirilo António N., Manojlović N., Nagy Z., Trigonometric sl(2) Gaudin model with boundary terms, Rev. Math. Phys. 25 (2013), 1343004, 14 pages, arXiv:1303.2481.
- [15] Crampe N., Algebraic Bethe ansatz for the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process with boundaries, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 48 (2015), 08FT01, 12 pages, arXiv:1411.7954.
- [16] Crampe N., Ragoucy E., Generalized coordinate Bethe ansatz for non-diagonal boundaries, *Nuclear Phys. B* 858 (2012), 502–512, arXiv:1105.0338.
- [17] Crampe N., Ragoucy E., Simon D., Eigenvectors of open XXZ and ASEP models for a class of non-diagonal boundary conditions, J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. 2010 (2010), P11038, 20 pages, arXiv:1009.4119.
- [18] Crampe N., Ragoucy E., Simon D., Matrix coordinate Bethe ansatz: applications to XXZ and ASEP models, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011), 405003, 17 pages, arXiv:1106.4712.
- [19] Faldella S., Kitanine N., Niccoli G., The complete spectrum and scalar products for the open spin-1/2 XXZ quantum chains with non-diagonal boundary terms, J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. 2014 (2014), P01011, 35 pages, arXiv:1307.3960.

- [20] Faribault A., Tschirhart H., Common framework and quadratic Bethe equations for rational Gaudin magnets in arbitrarily oriented magnetic fields, *SciPost Phys.* 3 (2017), 009, 24 pages, arXiv:1704.01873.
- [21] Frahm H., Grelik J.H., Seel A., Wirth T., Functional Bethe ansatz methods for the open XXX chain, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011), 015001, 19 pages, arXiv:1009.1081.
- [22] Frahm H., Seel A., Wirth T., Separation of variables in the open XXX chain, Nuclear Phys. B 802 (2008), 351–367, arXiv:0803.1776.
- [23] Galleas W., Functional relations from the Yang-Baxter algebra: eigenvalues of the XXZ model with nondiagonal twisted and open boundary conditions, *Nuclear Phys. B* 790 (2008), 524–542, arXiv:0708.0009.
- [24] Gaudin M., Diagonalisation d'une classe d'Hamiltoniens de spin, J. Physique 37 (1976), 1089–1098.
- [25] Gorissen M., Lazarescu A., Mallick K., Vanderzande C., Exact current statistics of the ASEP with open boundaries, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012), 170601, 5 pages, arXiv:1207.6879.
- [26] Hao K., Yang W.-L., Fan H., Liu S.-Y., Wu K., Yang Z.-Y., Zhang Y.-Z., Determinant representations for scalar products of the XXZ Gaudin model with general boundary terms, *Nuclear Phys. B* 862 (2012), 835–849, arXiv:1205.0597.
- [27] Hikami K., Separation of variables in the BC-type Gaudin magnet, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 (1995), 4053–4061, solv-int/9506001.
- [28] Lazarescu A., Matrix ansatz for the fluctuations of the current in the ASEP with open boundaries, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46 (2013), 145003, 21 pages, arXiv:1212.3366.
- [29] Lazarescu A., Mallick K., An exact formula for the statistics of the current in the TASEP with open boundaries, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011), 315001, 16 pages, arXiv:1104.5089.
- [30] Lazarescu A., Pasquier V., Bethe ansatz and Q-operator for the open ASEP, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 47 (2014), 295202, 48 pages, arXiv:1403.6963.
- [31] Manojlović N., Salom I., Algebraic Bethe ansatz for the XXZ Heisenberg spin chain with triangular boundaries and the corresponding Gaudin model, *Nuclear Phys. B* 923 (2017), 73–106, arXiv:1705.02235.
- [32] Manojlović N., Salom I., Algebraic Bethe ansatz for the trigonometric $s\ell(2)$ Gaudin model with triangular boundary, arXiv:1709.06419.
- [33] Melo C.S., Ribeiro G.A.P., Martins M.J., Bethe ansatz for the XXX-S chain with non-diagonal open boundaries, *Nuclear Phys. B* 711 (2005), 565–603, nlin.SI/0411038.
- [34] Murgan R., Nepomechie R.I., Bethe ansatz derived from the functional relations of the open XXZ chain for new special cases, J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. 2005 (2005), P05007, 12 pages, hep-th/0504124.
- [35] Nepomechie R.I., Bethe ansatz solution of the open XXZ chain with nondiagonal boundary terms, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 (2004), 433–440, hep-th/0304092.
- [36] Nepomechie R.I., An inhomogeneous T-Q equation for the open XXX chain with general boundary terms: completeness and arbitrary spin, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46 (2013), 442002, 7 pages, arXiv:1307.5049.
- [37] Niccoli G., Non-diagonal open spin-1/2 XXZ quantum chains by separation of variables: complete spectrum and matrix elements of some quasi-local operators, J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. 2012 (2012), P10025, 42 pages, arXiv:1206.0646.
- [38] Pimenta R.A., Lima-Santos A., Algebraic Bethe ansatz for the six vertex model with upper triangular K-matrices, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46 (2013), 455002, 13 pages, arXiv:1308.4446.
- [39] Sklyanin E.K., Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 (1988), 2375–2389.
- [40] Skrypnyk T., Generalized Gaudin spin chains, nonskew symmetric r-matrices, and reflection equation algebras, J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007), 113521, 17 pages.
- [41] Takhtadzhan L.A., Faddeev L.D., The quantum method for the inverse problem and the Heisenberg XYZ model, *Russian Math. Surveys* 34 (1979), no. 5, 11–68.
- [42] Yang W.-L., Zhang Y.-Z., On the second reference state and complete eigenstates of the open XXZ chain, J. High Energy Phys. 2007 (2007), no. 4, 044, 11 pages, hep-th/0703222.
- [43] Yang W.-L., Zhang Y.-Z., Sasaki R., A_{n-1} Gaudin model with open boundaries, *Nuclear Phys. B* **729** (2005), 594–610, hep-th/0507148.
- [44] Zhang X., Li Y.-Y., Cao J., Yang W.-L., Shi K.-J., Wang Y., Retrieve the Bethe states of quantum integrable models solved via the off-diagonal Bethe Ansatz, J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. 2015 (2015), P05014, 18 pages, arXiv:1407.5294.