

Exchange Relations for Finite Type Cluster Algebras with Acyclic Initial Seed and Principal Coefficients

Salvatore STELLA [†] and Pavel TUMARKIN [‡]

[†] INdAM - Marie Curie Actions fellow, Università “La Sapienza”, Roma, Italy

E-mail: stella@mat.uniroma1.it

URL: <http://www1.mat.uniroma1.it/people/stella/index.shtml>

[‡] Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, UK

E-mail: pavel.tumarkin@durham.ac.uk

URL: <http://www.maths.dur.ac.uk/users/pavel.tumarkin/>

Received April 22, 2016, in final form July 06, 2016; Published online July 09, 2016

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2016.067>

Abstract. We give an explicit description of all the exchange relations in any finite type cluster algebra with acyclic initial seed and principal coefficients.

Key words: cluster algebras; exchange relations

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13F60

1 Introduction and main results

A cluster algebra, as defined by Fomin and Zelevinsky in [7], is a commutative ring with a distinguished set of generators called *cluster variables*. Cluster variables are grouped into overlapping collections of the same cardinality (*clusters*) connected by local transition rules called *mutations*. To each mutation corresponds an *exchange relation*: a dependency relation among the cluster variables of two adjacent clusters. In [8], Fomin and Zelevinsky showed that cluster algebras of *finite type*, i.e., those containing only a finite number of cluster variables, are classified by finite type Cartan matrices.

Given two cluster variables in a cluster algebra, deciding whether they belong to the same cluster or if they can be obtained from one another by a single mutation is, in general, a hard problem to address. In several special situations though, when suitable combinatorial models exist, such questions become much easier to decide. This is the case, for example, of cluster algebras originating from marked surfaces [5, 6] and orbifolds [3], or those having an associated cluster category. Here we will consider the case of cluster algebras of finite type with an acyclic initial seed where the answer can be given uniformly using the *compatibility degree* of the corresponding *g-vectors*.

Knowing that two cluster variables are exchangeable naturally arises the problem of producing the exchange relation they satisfy. Answers to this question exist depending on the available models; for instance, in the surfaces case, these can be expressed in terms of *skein relations* [10], while for cluster categories one can leverage the *multiplication formula* of [1].

In [16], using some determinantal identities on the associated Lie group, the authors were able to give explicit formulas for all the *primitive* exchange relations (i.e., those in which cluster variables only appear in one of the two monomials of the right hand side) in any cluster algebra of finite type with an acyclic initial seed. Their recipe works for principal coefficients and hence, via separation of additions, for any other choice of coefficients. In [15] the first author gave a uniform formula for all the exchange relations in the same class of algebras, albeit only in the coefficient-free case. The main goal of the current paper is to improve on this result to deal with

principal coefficients as well. Namely, given any two exchangeable cluster variables in a finite type cluster algebra with acyclic initial seed and principal coefficients, we give an explicit formula for computing their exchange relation. This exchange relation has also a geometric interpretation in terms of roots and weights of the corresponding root system.

In order to make this more precise, we need to recall a few notions and results from [15, 16].

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be any finite type Cartan matrix; we denote by Γ its Dynkin diagram and by $W = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle$ the associated Weyl group and simple reflections. To each *Coxeter element* $c = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n}$ in W we can associate a skew-symmetrizable integer matrix $B_c = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in [1,n]}$ by setting

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} -a_{ij} & \text{if } i \prec_c j, \\ a_{ij} & \text{if } j \prec_c i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where we write $i \prec_c j$ if and only if s_i precedes s_j in all reduced expressions of c . As c varies, we get all possible *acyclic* exchange matrices of the same mutation class. We will denote by $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$ the cluster algebra with initial exchange matrix B_c and *principal coefficients* at the initial seed.

The algebra $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$ is \mathbb{Z}^n -graded; its cluster variables and cluster monomials are homogeneous elements and their **g**-vector is their homogeneous degree (see [9, Section 6]). Let ω_i be the i -th *fundamental weight* in the *weight lattice* P of Γ ; we will routinely interpret **g**-vectors as weights by writing them in the basis of fundamental weights.

Let w_0 be the longest element of W and denote by $h(i; c)$ the minimum positive integer such that

$$c^{h(i;c)} \omega_i = w_0 \omega_i$$

(it is a finite number [16, Proposition 1.3]).

Theorem 1.1 ([16, Theorem 1.4]). *The cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$ are naturally in bijection with the elements of the set*

$$\Pi(c) := \{c^m \omega_i : i \in [1, n], 0 \leq m \leq h(i; c)\}.$$

To the cluster variable x_λ it corresponds its **g**-vector $\lambda \in \Pi(c)$.

This correspondence extends to a bijection between points of P and *cluster monomials* of $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$ (cf. [15, Theorem 1.2]); for $\lambda \in P$ we will denote by x_λ the cluster monomial whose **g**-vector is λ .

The set $\Pi(c)$ is naturally endowed with a permutation τ_c defined by

$$\tau_c(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \omega_i & \text{if } \lambda = -\omega_i, \\ c\lambda & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which extends to a piecewise linear map on the whole of P that is “compatible” with the cluster structure of $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$. This is a combinatorial shadow of a notable automorphism of the coefficient-free counterpart of $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$ sending the cluster variable x_λ to $x_{\tau_c(\lambda)}$.

Let Q be the *root lattice* of Γ with simple roots α_i ; as for P , we will routinely think of elements in Q as integer vectors using the basis of simple roots.

Definition 1.2. The *compatibility degree* $(\cdot || \cdot)_c$ is the unique τ_c -invariant function on pairs of elements of $\Pi(c)$ defined by the initial conditions

$$(\omega_i || \lambda)_c := [(c^{-1} - \text{id})\lambda; \alpha_i]_+,$$

where, for v in Q , $[v; \alpha_i]$ denotes the i -th coefficient of v and $[m]_+$ is a shorthand for $\max\{m, 0\}$ (cf. [16, Proposition 5.1]).

The name comes from the following important property, consequence of the polytopal realization of the cluster fan of $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$ [2, 15].

Proposition 1.3. *Two weights λ and μ from $\Pi(c)$ are*

- *compatible (i.e., there is a cluster of $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$ containing both x_λ and x_μ) if and only if*

$$(\lambda||\mu)_c = 0 \quad (\text{equivalently } (\mu||\lambda)_c = 0),$$

- *exchangeable (i.e., there are two clusters of $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$ that can be obtained from one-another by swapping x_λ for x_μ) if and only if*

$$(\lambda||\mu)_c = 1 = (\mu||\lambda)_c.$$

Our starting point is the following restatement of [15, Proposition 5.1].

Proposition 1.4. *Suppose λ and μ are exchangeable weights in $\Pi(c)$. Then the set*

$$\left\{ \tau_c^{-m}(\tau_c^m(\lambda) + \tau_c^m(\mu)) \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

consists precisely of two weights. One of them is $\lambda + \mu$; denote the other by $\lambda \uplus_c \mu$.

Let y_1, \dots, y_n be the generators of the coefficient semifield of $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$ and denote by y^α the product $\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{[\alpha; \alpha_i]}$.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose λ and μ are exchangeable weights in $\Pi(c)$. Then there exists a unique positive root α in the root system of Γ such that*

$$-B_c \alpha = \lambda + \mu - \lambda \uplus_c \mu \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle = -1 \tag{1.2}$$

(here α^\vee denotes the coroot corresponding to α while $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the pairing of dual vector spaces). Moreover the cluster variables x_λ and x_μ of $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$ satisfy the exchange relation

$$x_\lambda x_\mu = x_{\lambda+\mu} + y^\alpha x_{\lambda \uplus_c \mu}. \tag{1.3}$$

Note that the shape of equation (1.3) follows immediately from the coefficient-free case [15, Proposition 5.2] together with the observations that \mathbf{c} -vectors are roots in the root system of Γ (cf. [11]), and that the exchange relations in $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$ are homogeneous. The real content of our theorem are therefore the explicit conditions (1.1) and (1.2) that determine α . They are clearly both necessary.

Indeed, equation (1.1) is just a restatement of the fact that the exchange relations in $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(c)$ are homogeneous and that the degree of y_i is $-\mathbf{b}_i$ (the negative of the i -th column of B_c). Equation (1.2), instead, follows immediately from [12, equation (1.11)] once we interpret \mathbf{g} -vectors as weights and \mathbf{c} -vectors as roots together with the observation that, when mutating in direction k , the k -th \mathbf{c} -vector changes into its negative.

On the other hand, equation (1.1) is not, in principle, sufficient on its own because B_c is, in general, not invertible. Nonetheless, thanks to the fact that we are dealing with positive roots, we will see that it is still enough in every case except in type D_n .

Remark 1.6. Equation (1.3) has the following geometric interpretation. Associating the \mathbf{g} -vectors with weights, one can observe that every cluster corresponds to a cone with facets being mirrors of reflections of the associated Weyl group (see Fig. 1). If two clusters are neighbors in the exchange graph (i.e., they differ only by two exchangeable cluster variables x_λ and x_μ), then the corresponding cones share a facet, and this facet is precisely the mirror of the reflection in the root α from equation (1.3).




Figure 1. Geometric interpretation of exchange relations: x_λ and x_μ are exchangeable cluster variables, λ and μ their \mathfrak{g} -vectors, and α^\perp is the wall in the cluster fan separating the two clusters.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Recall the notation for Γ , c and B_c from the previous section. Without loss of generality we consider only Dynkin diagrams that are connected. Further, we assume that the nodes of Γ are labeled according to the conventions in Fig. 2.




Figure 2. Finite type Dynkin diagrams.

We begin our analysis with some easy considerations on the rank of B_c .

Lemma 2.1. *If the type of Γ is not D_n , then the kernel of B_c has dimension 0 if n is even and 1 if n is odd. If the type of Γ is D_n , then the kernel of B_c has dimension 2 if n is even and 1 if n is odd.*

Proof. The rank of B_c is invariant under mutations so it suffices to establish the property for a single choice of c . Let then $c = s_1 \cdots s_n$ so that all the positive entries of B_c are above the main diagonal. Exceptional types could be dealt uniformly in the argument at the expense of introducing heavier notation. We prefer to check the lemma by direct inspection in those cases.

Assume at first that the type of Γ is not D_n . When n is even, the matrix B_c is invertible. Indeed, expanding by the first column and then by the first row, we get

$$\det(B_c) = \det(B'_c),$$

where B'_c is a $(n - 2) \times (n - 2)$ matrix in the same infinite class of B_c . The result follows then immediately by induction because all 2×2 skew-symmetrizable non-zero matrices are invertible. On the other hand, when n is odd, B_c being skew-symmetrizable implies immediately that

$\det(B_c) = 0$. Combining the two assertions we get that, for odd n , the dimension of the kernel of B_c is 1.

To get the result in type D_n it is enough to observe that the last two rows (and columns) of B_c are identical. We deduce therefore the required property from type A_{n-1} . ■

This establishes Theorem 1.5 whenever n is even and the type of Γ is not D_n . In particular the result holds for all the exceptional types apart from type E_7 ; in order to simplify the remaining analysis we check this case by hand. For each possible c , the computations required amount to show that, whenever two positive roots satisfy equation (1.1), only one of them satisfies also equation (1.2); we omit the straightforward but lengthy calculations.

Alternatively, one could use the following observation to obtain type E_7 from type E_8 .

Remark 2.2. A careful reader may observe that Lemma 2.1 could be used to establish Theorem 1.5 directly in all finite types with the exception of D_n . Indeed it would be enough to extend any $(2k+1) \times (2k+1)$ exchange matrix to a $(2k+2) \times (2k+2)$ exchange matrix of the same type and deduce the required property from the resulting algebra embedding. Instead, we prefer to give a more explicit argument that will simplify the analysis in type D_n as well.

From now on we assume that Γ is not of exceptional type. To deal with the remaining infinite families we compute explicit generators for the kernel of B_c . Our argument will hinge upon an explicit description of the possible differences of positive roots; unfortunately in small rank non-generic situations may arise. We therefore verify Theorem 1.5 by direct inspection in types A_3 , B_3 , C_3 , D_4 and D_6 ; the calculations required are similar to those for type E_7 and again we omit the details here.

Definition 2.3. The *support* of a vector v is the full subdiagram of Γ induced by the nodes corresponding to the non-zero coordinates of v when written in the basis of simple roots.

Lemma 2.4. *Let Γ be of type A_n , B_n , or C_n with $n = 2k + 1$. Then the support of the vector spanning the kernel of B_c has exactly $k + 1$ connected components.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 there is a unique (up to a scalar) non-zero vector v such that $B_c v = 0$. Since the only non-zero entries in B_c are located in the two diagonals adjacent to the main diagonal (cf. Fig. 2), v is a linear combination of the α_i with odd i . Moreover, since Γ is connected, all the entries of these two diagonals are non-zero so that all such α_i appear with non-zero coefficient and the claim follows.

More explicitly, for $i > 2$ set

$$\varepsilon_i := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i-2 \prec_c i-1 \prec_c i \text{ or } i \prec_c i-1 \prec_c i-2, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to verify that the kernel of B_c is spanned by the vector v defined by

$$v := \alpha_1 + \sum_{\substack{i \text{ odd} \\ 3 \leq i \leq n}} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{a_{i-1,i}} \alpha_i, \tag{2.1}$$

which proves the lemma. ■

Lemma 2.5. *Let Γ be of type D_n with n odd. Then the kernel of B_c is generated by $\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n$ if $(n-1) \prec_c (n-2) \prec_c n$ or $n \prec_c (n-2) \prec_c (n-1)$. Otherwise it is generated by $\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n$.*

Proof. Again by Lemma 2.1 there is a unique (up to a scalar) non-zero vector v such that $B_c v = 0$. The last two columns of B_c are either identical (in which case $v = \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n$), or differ only in sign so that $v = \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n$. ■

Lemma 2.6. *Let Γ be of type D_n with $n = 2k$ and $n \geq 4$. Then the kernel of B_c is generated by a vector whose support has exactly k connected components together with one of the two vectors $\alpha_{n-1} \pm \alpha_n$ according to the same prescriptions of Lemma 2.5.*

Proof. The result follows directly by combining the previous two lemmas. Indeed the vector (2.1) is killed by B_c because it only interacts with a sub-matrix of type A_{n-1} while the same reasoning of Lemma 2.5 applies to one of the two $\alpha_{n-1} \pm \alpha_n$. The two killed vectors are manifestly linearly independent. ■

To use these information we need the following easy observation obtained by inspection of the appropriate list of roots.

Lemma 2.7. *If Γ is of type A_n , B_n , or C_n , then the support of the difference of any two positive roots in the root system of Γ has at most two connected components. If Γ is of type D_n , then the support of the difference of any two positive roots in the root system of Γ has at most three connected components.*

Proof. We discuss type A_n , the remaining types are obtained by similar considerations. In this case positive roots correspond to connected full subdiagrams of the associated Dynkin diagram. The support of the difference of two such roots α and β is thus given by the symmetric difference of the support of α and the support of β . ■

Corollary 2.8. *If Γ is of type A_n , B_n , or C_n with $n = 2k + 1 \geq 5$, equation (1.1) has a unique solution among the positive roots of Γ .*

Proof. Indeed the difference of any two solutions is in the kernel of B_c and this is generated by a vector with at least $k + 1 \geq 3$ connected components. ■

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.5 for types A_n , B_n and C_n .

Corollary 2.9. *Suppose the type of Γ is D_n and $n \geq 7$. If equation (1.1) has more than one solution among the positive roots of Γ then it has precisely two. Their difference is in the span of either one of $\alpha_{n-1} \pm \alpha_n$ depending on the relative order in which s_{n-2} , s_{n-1} , and s_n appear in c .*

Proof. The only possibility for two distinct roots to be solutions of equation (1.1) is for their difference to be in the span of $\alpha_{n-1} \pm \alpha_n$ (the other generating vector of the kernel of B_c , when it exists, has too many connected components by the assumption on n). We can conclude then by observing that positive roots in type D_n with such prescribed difference come in pairs. ■

To conclude the proof of Theorem 1.5 it suffices to show that, in type D_n , whenever equation (1.1) is satisfied by two roots only one of them verifies equation (1.2) as well. We will do so using the realization of cluster algebras via triangulations and laminations on surfaces introduced in [5, 6] (see also [14] for a detailed description of the model for D_n in the coefficient-free case). The reader not familiar with the relevant terminology can find a simplified summary (sufficient for the case at hand) in the beginning of [11, Section 4.1].

Any cluster algebra of type D_n can be realized as a triangulated once-punctured disk. Since we are only considering acyclic initial seeds, the collection of elementary laminations encoding the initial triangulation will contain a digon with one side on the boundary of the disk (cf. Fig. 3). By reflecting our surface, if necessary, we can always assume that $n - 2 \prec_c n - 1$; it will therefore suffice to consider only two cases: either $n - 2 \prec_c n$, or $n \prec_c n - 2$. Moreover we can always change simultaneously all the taggings and spiralling directions at the puncture to simplify our pictures.




Figure 3. Quadrilaterals in type D_n with both diagonals being chords yield unique solutions to equation (1.1).

Lemma 2.10. *In all the cases in which equation (1.1) is satisfied by two distinct positive roots at least one of x_λ and x_μ corresponds to a radius.*

Proof. We will show that, if the arcs corresponding to x_λ and x_μ are both chords, then there is a unique positive root satisfying equation (1.1). The two cases to be considered, namely $n-2 \prec_c n$ and $n \prec_c n-2$, are pictured in Fig. 3.

Suppose at first that $n-2 \prec_c n$ and let α be one of the two positive roots satisfying equation (1.1). By Corollary 2.9, exactly one among the $(n-1)$ -st and the n -th simple root coordinates of α is 0, and the other is 1. In particular, any diagonal of any quadrilateral supporting this exchange relation must give different shear coordinates to the $(n-1)$ -st and n -th elementary laminations. However, if both diagonals of a quadrilateral are chords, then they do not distinguish the two elementary laminations, so, in particular, each of the two diagonals assigns either ± 1 or 0 to both simultaneously.

Suppose now that $n \prec_c n-2$ and let α be again one of the two positive roots satisfying equation (1.1). Since one of $\alpha \pm (\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n)$ is also a root, the $(n-2)$ -nd coordinate of α is 1 while both the $(n-1)$ -st and n -th coordinates are simultaneously 1 or 0. Thus, any diagonal of any quadrilateral supporting this exchange relation must give shear coordinate ± 1 to the $(n-2)$ -nd elementary lamination, and equal values to the $(n-1)$ -st and n -th ones. Now take any quadrilateral whose diagonals are both chords. If its diagonals give shear coordinate ± 1 to both the $(n-1)$ -st and n -th elementary lamination, then they assign ± 2 to the $(n-2)$ -nd elementary lamination. If instead they give shear coordinate 0 to both $(n-1)$ -st and n -th elementary laminations, then they also assign 0 to the $(n-2)$ -nd elementary lamination. ■

Lemma 2.11. *The \mathbf{g} -vector of any cluster variable associated to a radius has exactly one among its $(n-1)$ -st and n -th fundamental weight coordinates equal to 0; the other one is ± 1 .*

Proof. [5, 6] do not contain an explicit recipe to compute the \mathbf{g} -vector of the cluster variable associated to an arc. An easy rule, though, can be obtained using [12, equation (1.13)]: it suffices to reflect our surface and compute the shear coordinates of the elementary lamination corresponding to the desired arc with respect to the initial triangulation (see, e.g., [13, Proposition 5.2] or [4, Lemma 8.6]). Since we only care for the last two entries it will suffice to look inside the unique digon in the initial triangulation. We are in the situation depicted in Fig. 4.

Suppose at first that $n \prec_c n-2$. It follows immediately from [6, Fig. 36] that, in order for a radial elementary lamination to have non-zero n -th shear coordinate, it has either to start




Figure 4. Radial laminations with non-zero n -th shear coordinate.

from the side of the digon lying on the boundary of the disk and then spiral clockwise to the puncture, or cross the other side and spiral counterclockwise. In either case such a lamination will have $(n-1)$ -st shear coordinate equal to 0. The situation reverses for radial elementary laminations having non-zero $(n-1)$ -st shear coordinate.

The case $n-2 \prec_c n$ is identical: the two are related by a flip and the only effect this has on the last two shear coordinates is to change some of the signs. ■

We are finally ready to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.5 in type D_n . Suppose λ and μ exchangeable weights are such that equation (1.1) has two solutions α and α' . In particular $\alpha' = \alpha \pm (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n)$ if $n-2 \prec_c n$ and $\alpha' = \alpha \pm (\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n)$ if $n \prec_c n-2$.

By Lemma 2.10 we can assume that λ is the \mathbf{g} -vector of a radius; in particular, by Lemma 2.11

$$\langle \lambda, \alpha_{n-1} \pm \alpha_n \rangle = \pm 1$$

and thus

$$\langle \lambda, \alpha' \rangle = \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \pm 1.$$

Therefore, since the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is integer-valued when computed on (co-)roots and weights, α and α' cannot both satisfy equation (1.2).

Remark 2.12. In view of some ongoing work of the first author with Nathan Reading it appears that a modified version of [15, Propositions 5.1 and 5.2] holds in affine types as well. We expect that Theorem 1.5, or a refined version of it, could hold there too. The analysis required to establish it, though, will probably be more complicated than the finite case one because the corank of B_c can be as big as 4 and the argument of Remark 2.2 does not apply.

Acknowledgements

This paper was completed during a visit to Durham University; the first author would like to thank both Grey College and the Department of Mathematical Sciences for the hospitality received. We are also grateful to Anna Felikson for many fruitful discussions, and to Nathan Reading for his help with some early attempts at Theorem 1.5 and for his comments on a preliminary version of this paper. Finally we would like to thank our anonymous referees for pointing out a flaw in an earlier version of Lemma 2.7 and for several useful comments.

References

- [1] Caldero P., Keller B., From triangulated categories to cluster algebras, *Invent. Math.* **172** (2008), 169–211, [math.RT/0506018](#).
- [2] Chapoton F., Fomin S., Zelevinsky A., Polytopal realizations of generalized associahedra, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **45** (2002), 537–566, [math.CO/0202004](#).
- [3] Felikson A., Shapiro M., Tumarkin P., Cluster algebras and triangulated orbifolds, *Adv. Math.* **231** (2012), 2953–3002, [arXiv:1111.3449](#).
- [4] Felikson A., Tumarkin P., Bases for cluster algebras from orbifolds, [arXiv:1511.08023](#).
- [5] Fomin S., Shapiro M., Thurston D., Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. I. Cluster complexes, *Acta Math.* **201** (2008), 83–146, [math.RA/0608367](#).
- [6] Fomin S., Thurston D., Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. II. Lambda lengths, [arXiv:1210.5569](#).
- [7] Fomin S., Zelevinsky A., Cluster algebras. I. Foundations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (2002), 497–529, [math.RT/0104151](#).
- [8] Fomin S., Zelevinsky A., Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification, *Invent. Math.* **154** (2003), 63–121, [math.RA/0208229](#).
- [9] Fomin S., Zelevinsky A., Cluster algebras. IV. Coefficients, *Compos. Math.* **143** (2007), 112–164, [math.RA/0602259](#).
- [10] Musiker G., Williams L., Matrix formulae and skein relations for cluster algebras from surfaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2013** (2013), 2891–2944, [arXiv:1108.3382](#).
- [11] Nakanishi T., Stella S., Diagrammatic description of c -vectors and d -vectors of cluster algebras of finite type, *Electron. J. Combin.* **21** (2014), Paper 1.3, 107, [arXiv:1210.6299](#).
- [12] Nakanishi T., Zelevinsky A., On tropical dualities in cluster algebras, in Algebraic Groups and Quantum Groups, *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 565, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, 217–226, [arXiv:1101.3736](#).
- [13] Reading N., Universal geometric cluster algebras from surfaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **366** (2014), 6647–6685, [arXiv:1209.4095](#).
- [14] Schiffler R., A geometric model for cluster categories of type D_n , *J. Algebraic Combin.* **27** (2008), 1–21, [math.RT/0608264](#).
- [15] Stella S., Polyhedral models for generalized associahedra via Coxeter elements, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **38** (2013), 121–158, [arXiv:1111.1657](#).
- [16] Yang S.-W., Zelevinsky A., Cluster algebras of finite type via Coxeter elements and principal minors, *Transform. Groups* **13** (2008), 855–895, [arXiv:0804.3303](#).