Manin Matrices, Quantum Elliptic Commutative Families and Characteristic Polynomial of Elliptic Gaudin Model[⋆]

Vladimir RUBTSOV [†§], *Alexey SILANTYEV* [‡] *and Dmitri TALALAEV* [§]

[†] *LAREMA, Université d'Angers, 2 Boulevard Lavoisier, 49045 Angers, France*
    E-mail: *volodya@univ-angers.fr*
    URL: http://www.math.univ-angers.fr/~volodya/

[‡] *Department of Mathematics, University Gardens, University of Glasgow, G12 8QW, UK*
    E-mail: *asilantyev@maths.gla.ac.uk*

[§] *ITEP, B. Cheremushkinskaja 25, 117218 Moscow, Russia*
    E-mail: *talalaev@itep.ru*

Abstract. In this paper we construct the quantum spectral curve for the quantum *dynamical* elliptic $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ Gaudin model. We realize it considering a commutative family corresponding to the Felder's elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau,\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ and taking the appropriate limit. The approach of Manin matrices here suits well to the problem of constructing the generation function of commuting elements which plays an important role in SoV and Langlands concept.

Key words: Manin matrices; L-operators; elliptic Felder R-matrix; Gaudin models

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37K15

1 Introduction

The Gaudin model plays intriguingly important role in the modern mathematical physics as like as in purely mathematical subjects as the Geometric Langlands correspondence. In fact, it is shown that the separation of variables of the quantum rational Gaudin model is equivalent to the categorical part of the geometric Langlands correspondence over the rational curve with punctures over $\mathbb{C}$. Its physical importance lies in the condense matter field, this system provides an example of the spin interacting magnetic chain. This paper deals with a new useful formalism applicable to the dynamical elliptic case of the Gaudin model. This case provides an interpretation for the Langlands correspondence over an elliptic curve. From the physical point of view this case is responsible for the periodic spin chains.

The main strategy of the paper goes throw the classical ideas of [1, 2]. We start with the formalism of L-operators corresponding to the Felder "elliptic quantum groups" $E_{\tau,\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ [3, 4]. Using the RLL-relations we construct the Bethe elliptic commutative subalgebra from these L-operators. To make the proof of commutativity more apparent we use technique of Manin matrices developed in [5, 6]. For some particular cases this commutative subalgebra can be found in [7] in a slightly different form. It is worth to mention the detailed work [8] described the centre of $E_{\tau,\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$. We refer also to the rational version in [9, 10], to the trigonometric

---

dynamical case in [11, 12]. Then we degenerate these families to obtain a commutative family for the elliptic $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ Gaudin model[1]. To do it we consider a quantum characteristic polynomial, which has a suitable form for the degeneration. This approach extends the method applied in the rational case in [13].

The article is organized as follows: in Subsection 2.1 we consider the dynamical elliptic L-operators defined by the RLL relation with the Felder elliptic R-matrix. Then in Subsection 2.2 we define Manin matrices following [5, 6] and demonstrate that the L-operator multiplied by some shift operator is a Manin matrix. In Subsection 2.3 we consider a fusion procedure of the L-operators and construct a commutative family as traces of the "fused" L-operators. In Subsection 2.4 we show that a characteristic polynomial of the considered Manin matrix generates the obtained commutative family. Subsection 2.5 is devoted to commutative families corresponding to the traces of powers of Manin matrices related to the families constructed in 2.3 via Newton identities. In Subsection 2.6 we consider briefly a trigonometric degeneration of the characteristic polynomial and of the commutative families. We discuss their connection with the Hopf algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$.

In Subsection 3.1 we obtain a characteristic polynomial which generates a commutative family by passing to the limit $\hbar \to 0$. In Subsection 3.2 we consider L-operators that gives commutative families for the elliptic $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ Gaudin model. Subsection 3.3 is devoted to a twist relating these L-operators with standard L-operators for the elliptic $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ Gaudin model. We consider $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ case as an example in Subsection 3.4. In Subsection 3.5 we present the result of application of the Newton identities to the elliptic $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ Gaudin model.

2   Elliptic quantum groups and commutative families

Here we use the $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ dynamical RLL relation to construct the commutative families for dynamical L-operators. We consider an arbitrary L-operator that defines representation of the "elliptic quantum group" $E_{\tau,\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ introduced in [3, 4]. The commutativity in the dynamical case is understood modulo Cartan elements. Restricting these "commutative" families to the space annihilating by Cartan elements one obtains a corresponding integrable system.

We start with a definition of the odd Riemann theta-function related with an elliptic curve defining the corresponding R-matrix. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Im}\tau > 0$ be a module of the elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ is a period lattice. The odd theta function $\theta(u) = -\theta(-u)$ is defined as a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with the properties

$$\theta(u+1) = -\theta(u), \qquad \theta(u+\tau) = -e^{-2\pi i u - \pi i \tau}\theta(u), \qquad \theta'(0) = 1.$$

We use the matrix (or "Leningrad") notations. Let $T = \sum_j t_j \cdot a_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{N,j}$ be a tensor over a ring $\mathfrak{R}$ (a complex algebra or the field $\mathbb{C}$), where $t_j \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $a_{i,j}$ belong to a space $\operatorname{End}\mathbb{C}^n$. Then the tensor $T^{(k_1,\ldots,k_N)}$ is the following element of $\mathfrak{R} \otimes (\operatorname{End}C^n)^{\otimes M}$ for some $M \geqslant N$:

$$T^{(k_1,\ldots,k_N)} = \sum_j t_j \cdot 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{1,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{N,j} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1,$$

where each element $a_{i,j}$ is placed to the k_i-th tensor factor, the numbers k_i are pairwise different and $1 \leqslant k_i \leqslant M$. (The condition $k_1 < \cdots < k_N$ is not implied.)

We also use the following notation. Let $F(\lambda) = F(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)$ be a function of n parameters λ_k taking values in an algebra $\mathfrak{A}$: that is $F\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathfrak{A}$. Then we define

$$F(\lambda + P) = F(\lambda_1 + P_1,\ldots,\lambda_n + P_n)$$

---

[1]Sometimes we omit the word "dynamical" for briefness.

$$= \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i_1!\cdots i_n!} \frac{\partial^{i_1+\cdots+i_n} F(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)}{\partial\lambda_1^{i_1}\cdots\partial\lambda_n^{i_n}} P_1^{i_1}\cdots P_n^{i_n} \tag{2.1}$$

for some $P = (P_1,\dots,P_n)$, $P_k \in \mathfrak{A}$. We omit here the convergence question considering only such situations where this is the case.

2.1 Elliptic dynamic RLL-relations

First of all we introduce a notion of a dynamical elliptic L-operator corresponding to the Felder R-matrix. Using a lemma about the products of these L-operators and the particular choice of the L-operator we prove the commutativity of the family of operators under consideration.

Let $\{e_i\}$ be a standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\{E_{ij}\}$ be a standard basis of $\operatorname{End}\mathbb{C}^n$, that is $E_{ij}e_k = \delta_k^j e_i$. In [3, 4] Felder introduce the following element of $\operatorname{End}\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \operatorname{End}\mathbb{C}^n$ depending meromorphically on the *spectral parameter* u and n *dynamic parameters* $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n$:

$$R(u;\lambda) = R(u;\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n) = \frac{\theta(u+\hbar)}{\theta(u)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{ii}\otimes E_{ii}$$
$$+ \sum_{i\neq j}\left(\frac{\theta(\lambda_{ij}+\hbar)}{\theta(\lambda_{ij})} E_{ii}\otimes E_{jj} + \frac{\theta(u-\lambda_{ij})\theta(\hbar)}{\theta(u)\theta(-\lambda_{ij})} E_{ij}\otimes E_{ji}\right), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_i - \lambda_j$. It is called the *Felder's dynamical R-matrix*. It satisfies the *dynamical Yang–Baxter equation* (DYBE)

$$R^{(12)}(u_1 - u_2;\lambda)R^{(13)}(u_1 - u_3;\lambda + \hbar E^{(2)})R^{(23)}(u_2 - u_3;\lambda)$$
$$= R^{(23)}(u_2 - u_3;\lambda + \hbar E^{(1)})R^{(13)}(u_1 - u_3;\lambda)R^{(12)}(u_1 - u_2;\lambda + \hbar E^{(3)}) \tag{2.3}$$

and the relations

$$R^{(21)}(-u;\lambda)R^{(12)}(u;\lambda) = \frac{\theta(u+\hbar)\theta(u-\hbar)}{\theta(u)^2}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\left(E_{ii}^{(1)} + E_{ii}^{(2)}\right)R(u;\lambda) = R(u;\lambda)\left(E_{ii}^{(1)} + E_{ii}^{(2)}\right), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\left(\widehat{D}_\lambda^{(1)} + \widehat{D}_\lambda^{(2)}\right)R(u;\lambda) = R(u;\lambda)\left(\widehat{D}_\lambda^{(1)} + \widehat{D}_\lambda^{(2)}\right), \tag{2.6}$$

where $\widehat{D}_\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{kk}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}$, $\widehat{D}_\lambda^{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{kk}^{(i)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}$. We also should comment that we always mean by λ the vector $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n$ and the expression of the type $\lambda + \hbar E^{(s)}$ as the argument of (2.1) with $P_i = \hbar E_{ii}^{(s)}$. The relation (2.5) is obvious. The relation (2.6) follows from (2.5).

Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-algebra, $L(u;\lambda)$ be an invertible $n\times n$ matrix over $\mathfrak{R}$ depending on the spectral parameter u and n dynamical parameters $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n$. Let $h_1,\dots,h_n$ be a set of some pairwise commuting elements of $\mathfrak{R}$. If the matrix $L(u;\lambda)$ satisfies *dynamical RLL-relation*

$$R^{(12)}(u-v;\lambda)L^{(1)}(u;\lambda + \hbar E^{(2)})L^{(2)}(v;\lambda)$$
$$= L^{(2)}(v;\lambda + \hbar E^{(1)})L^{(1)}(u;\lambda)R^{(12)}(u-v;\lambda + \hbar h), \tag{2.7}$$
$$(E_{ii} + h_i)L(u;\lambda) = L(u;\lambda)(E_{ii} + h_i), \tag{2.8}$$

then it is called a *dynamical elliptic L-operator* with *Cartan elements* h_k. The argument $\lambda + \hbar h$ is always meant in the sense of (2.1) with $P_i = \hbar h_i$.

Let us introduce an equivalent but more symmetric form of RLL relations. For each L-operator we introduce the following operator (similar to an operator introduced in [11]):

$$L_D(u) = e^{-\hbar\widehat{D}_\lambda} L(u;\lambda). \tag{2.9}$$

The equation (2.7) can be rewritten in terms of this operator:

$$R^{(12)}(u-v;\lambda)L_D^{(1)}(u)L_D^{(2)}(v) = L_D^{(2)}(v)L_D^{(1)}(u)R^{(12)}(u-v;\lambda+\hbar h). \tag{2.10}$$

Lemma 1. *If $L_1(u;\lambda) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)\otimes\mathfrak{R}_1$ and $L_2(u;\lambda) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)\otimes\mathfrak{R}_2$ are two dynamical elliptic L-operators subject to the two sets of Cartan elements: $h^1 = (h_1^1,\ldots,h_n^1)$ and $h^2 = (h_1^2,\ldots,h_n^2)$ then their matrix product $L_2(u;\lambda)L_1(u;\lambda+\hbar h^2) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)\otimes\mathfrak{R}_1\otimes\mathfrak{R}_2$ is also a dynamical elliptic L-operator with Cartan elements $h = h^1 + h^2 = (h_1^1 + h_1^2,\ldots,h_n^1 + h_n^2)$. Thus, if $L_1(u;\lambda),\ldots,$ $L_m(u;\lambda)$ are dynamical elliptic L-operators with Cartan elements $h^1,\ldots,h^m$ then the matrix*

$$\overleftarrow{\prod_{m\geqslant j\geqslant 1}} L_j\left(u;\lambda+\hbar\sum_{l=j+1}^m h^l\right)$$

is a dynamical elliptic L-operator with Cartan elements $h = \sum_{i=1}^m h^i$.

Remark 1. The arrow in the product means the order of the factors with respect to the growing index value: the expression $\overleftarrow{\prod_{3\geqslant i\geqslant 1}} A_i$ means $A_3 A_2 A_1$.

The basic example of the dynamical elliptic L-operator is the dynamical Felder R-matrix: $L(u) = R(u-v;\lambda)$. In this case the second space $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ plays the role of the algebra $\mathfrak{R}$. Here v is a fixed complex number and the Cartan elements are $h_k = E_{kk}^{(2)}$. Lemma 1 allows to generalize this example: let $v_1,\ldots,v_m$ be fixed numbers, then the matrix

$$\mathbb{R}^{(0)}(u;\{v_j\};\lambda) = \overleftarrow{\prod_{m\geqslant j\geqslant 1}} R^{(0j)}\left(u-v_j;\lambda+\hbar\sum_{l=j+1}^m E^{(l)}\right)$$

is a dynamical elliptic L-operator with Cartan elements $h_k = \sum_{l=1}^m E_{kk}^{(l)}$.

The relation (2.4) gives another important example of the dynamical elliptic L-operator – the matrix $L(u) = R^{(21)}(v-u)^{-1}$ with the second space $\mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n$ considering as $\mathfrak{R}$ (the number v is fixed), or equivalently, the matrix $L(v) = R^{(12)}(u-v)^{-1}$ with the first space $\mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n$ considering as $\mathfrak{R}$. Let us introduce the notation

$$\mathbb{R}^{(0)}(\{u_i\};v;\lambda) = \overrightarrow{\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m}} R^{(i0)}\left(u_i-v;\lambda+\hbar\sum_{l=i+1}^m E^{(l)}\right),$$

where $u_1,\ldots,u_m$ are fixed numbers. Then the matrix $\mathbb{R}^{(0)}(\{u_i\};v;\lambda)^{-1}$ is a dynamical elliptic L-operator with the spectral parameter v and the Cartan elements $h_k = \sum_{l=1}^m E_{kk}^{(l)}$.

A more general class of the dynamical elliptic L-operators associated with *small elliptic quantum group* $e_{\tau,\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ was constructed in the work [14]. This is an $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]((\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n))$-algebra generated by the elements $\tilde{t}_{ij}$ and h_k satisfying the commutation relations

$$\tilde{t}_{ij}h_k = (h_k - \delta_{ik} + \delta_{jk})\tilde{t}_{ij},$$
$$\hbar^{-1}\big(t_{ij}\lambda_k - (\lambda_k - \hbar\delta_{ik})t_{ij}\big) = 0,$$
$$\hbar^{-2}\big(t_{ij}t_{ik} - t_{ik}t_{ij}\big) = 0,$$
$$\hbar^{-2}\left(t_{ik}t_{jk} - \frac{\theta(\lambda_{ij}^{\{1\}} + \hbar)}{\theta(\lambda_{ij}^{\{1\}} - \hbar)}t_{jk}t_{ik}\right) = 0, \qquad i \neq j,$$

$$\hbar^{-2}\left(\frac{\theta(\lambda_{jl}^{\{2\}}+\hbar)}{\theta(\lambda_{jl}^{\{2\}})}t_{ij}t_{kl} - \frac{\theta(\lambda_{ik}^{\{1\}}+\hbar)}{\theta(\lambda_{ik}^{\{1\}})}t_{kl}t_{ij} - \frac{\theta(\lambda_{ik}^{\{1\}}+\lambda_{jl}^{\{2\}})\theta(\hbar)}{\theta(\lambda_{ik}^{\{1\}})\theta(\lambda_{jl}^{\{2\}})}t_{il}t_{kj}\right) = 0, \quad i \neq k, \; j \neq l,$$

where $t_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \hbar \tilde{t}_{ij}$, $\lambda_{ij}^{\{1\}} = \lambda_i - \lambda_j$, $\lambda_{ij}^{\{2\}} = \lambda_i - \lambda_j - \hbar h_i + \hbar h_j$ and the elements $h_1, \ldots, h_k, \lambda_1, \ldots,$ λ_k commute with each other. The authors of [14] consider the matrix $T(-u)$ with the entries

$$T_{ij}(-u) = \theta(-u + \lambda_{ij} - \hbar h_i)t_{ji},$$

Representing this matrix in the form

$$T(-u) = \theta(-u)e^{-\hbar \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n}(h_k+E_{kk})\partial_{\lambda_k}} L_0(u;\lambda)e^{\hbar \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n} h_k\partial_{\lambda_k}} \tag{2.11}$$

we obtain a dynamical elliptic L-operator $L_0(u;\lambda)$ over the algebra $\mathfrak{T} = e_{\tau,\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)[[\partial_\lambda]]$ with Cartan elements $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n)$, where $\mathbb{C}[[\partial_\lambda]] = \mathbb{C}[[\partial_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \partial_{\lambda_n}]]$, the elements $\partial_{\lambda_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_k}$ commute with h_i and do not commute with $\tilde{t}_{ij}$.

2.2   Manin matrices and L-operators

The RLL relations allow to construct the Manin matrices and q-Manin matrices investigated in [5, 6, 15] starting from the L-operators. In particular, the dynamical RLL relation with Felder R matrix leads to the Manin matrices (here $q = 1$). We use the properties of these matrices to prove the commutativity of some function family $\hat{t}_m(u)$ which will be constructed in the next subsection. We shall suppose in what follows that all matrix entries belong to some non-commutative ring which satisfies basically the conditions described precisely in [5, 6, 15].

Definition 1. An $n \times n$ matrix M over some (in general, non-commutative) ring is called Manin matrix if the elements a, b, c, d of its any 2×2 submatrix, where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & a & \ldots & b & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & c & \ldots & d & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \end{pmatrix},$$

satisfy the relation $ad - da = cb - bc$ and their elements from the same column commute with each other: $ac = ca$, $bd = db$.

Let S_m be the symmetric group and $\pi \colon S_n \to \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m}$ be its standard representation: $\pi(\sigma)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m) = v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(m)}$. Let us introduce the operator

$$A_m = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^\sigma \pi(\sigma),$$

where $(-1)^\sigma$ is a sign of the permutation σ. We also use the notations $A^{[k,m]} \equiv A^{(k+1,\ldots,m)} \equiv (A_{m-k})^{(k+1,\ldots,m)}$. For example,

$$A^{(12)} = A_2 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \neq j}\left(E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} - E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji}\right). \tag{2.12}$$

In terms of the matrix (2.12) Definition 1 can be reformulated as follows. The matrix M is a Manin matrix if and only if it satisfies the relation

$$A^{(12)}M^{(1)}M^{(2)} = A^{(12)}M^{(1)}M^{(2)}A^{(12)}. \tag{2.13}$$

Proposition 1. *If $L(u; \lambda)$ is a dynamical L-operator then the matrix*

$$M = e^{-\hbar \widehat{D}_\lambda} L(u; \lambda) e^{\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial u}} = L_D(u) e^{\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial u}}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\widehat{D}_\lambda = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} E_{kk} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_k}$, is a Manin matrix.

Proof. Substituting $u = -\hbar$ to (2.2) we obtain the formula

$$R(-\hbar; \lambda) = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\theta(\lambda_{ij} + \hbar)}{\theta(\lambda_{ij})} \big(E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} - E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} \big), \tag{2.15}$$

from which we see $R(-\hbar; \lambda) = R(-\hbar; \lambda) A^{(12)}$. Consider the relation (2.10) at $u - v = -\hbar$. The multiplication of this relation by $A^{(12)}$ from the right does not change its right hand side, hence this does not change its left hand side:

$$R^{(12)}(-\hbar; \lambda) L_D^{(1)}(u) L_D^{(2)}(u + \hbar) = R^{(12)}(-\hbar; \lambda) L_D^{(1)}(u) L_D^{(2)}(u + \hbar) A^{(12)}. \tag{2.16}$$

Multiplying (2.16) by $e^{2\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$ from the right we obtain

$$R^{(12)}(-\hbar; \lambda) M^{(1)} M^{(2)} = R^{(12)}(-\hbar; \lambda) M^{(1)} M^{(2)} A^{(12)}. \tag{2.17}$$

Let us note that the matrix (2.15) is related to $A^{(12)}$ by the formula $B(\lambda) R(-\hbar; \lambda) = A^{(12)}$, where

$$B(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\theta(\lambda_{ij})}{\theta(\lambda_{ij} + \hbar)} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj}.$$

So, multiplying (2.17) by $B(\lambda)$ from the left one obtains (2.13). ∎

Lemma 2 ([5, 6]). *If M is a Manin matrix invertible from the left and from the right then its inverse M^{-1} is also a Manin matrix.*

In particular, the matrix inverse to (2.14) having the form

$$\big(e^{-\hbar \widehat{D}_\lambda} L(u; \lambda) e^{\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \big)^{-1} = e^{-\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial u}} L(u; \lambda)^{-1} e^{\hbar \widehat{D}_\lambda} \tag{2.18}$$

is a Manin matrix.

Lemma 3. *If M is a Manin matrix then it satisfies the relations*

$$A^{[m,N]} M^{(m+1)} \cdots M^{(N)} = A^{[m,N]} M^{(m+1)} \cdots M^{(N)} A^{[m,N]}, \tag{2.19}$$

$$A^{[m,N]} M^{(N)} \cdots M^{(m+1)} = A^{[m,N]} M^{(N)} \cdots M^{(m+1)} A^{[m,N]}, \tag{2.20}$$

where $m < N$.

Proof. The idea of the proof of (2.19) is the following. It is sufficient to prove that the left hand side does not change if we multiply it by $(-1)^{\sigma_{i,i+1}} \pi(\sigma_{i,i+1})$ from the right, where $\sigma_{i,i+1}$ is an elementary permutation. But the last fact follows from (2.13). (See details in [15].)

Multiplying (2.19) by $(-1)^{\sigma_{lst}} \pi(\sigma_{lst})$ from the both sides, where σ_{lst} is a longest permutation, one yields the relation (2.20). ∎

Corollary 1. *If $L(u; \lambda)$ is a dynamical elliptic L-operator then it satisfies*

$$A^{[m,N]} \overrightarrow{\prod_{m+1 \leqslant i \leqslant N}} L^{(i)}\left(u + \hbar(i - m - 1); \lambda + \hbar \sum_{l=i+1}^{N} E^{(l)}\right)$$

$$= A^{[m,N]} \overrightarrow{\prod_{m+1 \leqslant i \leqslant N}} L^{(i)}\left(u + \hbar(i - m - 1); \lambda + \hbar \sum_{l=i+1}^{N} E^{(l)}\right) A^{[m,N]}, \qquad (2.21)$$

$$A^{[m,N]} \overleftarrow{\prod_{N \geqslant j \geqslant m+1}} L^{(j)}\left(v + \hbar(j - m - 1); \lambda + \hbar \sum_{l=j+1}^{N} E^{(l)}\right)^{-1}$$

$$= A^{[m,N]} \overleftarrow{\prod_{N \geqslant j \geqslant m+1}} L^{(j)}\left(v + \hbar(j - m - 1); \lambda + \hbar \sum_{l=j+1}^{N} E^{(l)}\right)^{-1} A^{[m,N]}. \qquad (2.22)$$

Proof. The relations (2.21) follows from the formula (2.19) for Manin matrix (2.14): $M = e^{-\hbar \widehat{D}_\lambda} L(u; \lambda) e^{\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$. The relations (2.22) follows from (2.20) for Manin matrix (2.18): $M = e^{-\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial u}} L(u; \lambda)^{-1} e^{\hbar \widehat{D}_\lambda}$. ∎

Let us consider the following matrix

$$\mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(\{u_i\}; \{v_j\}; \lambda) = \overrightarrow{\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}} R^{(i)}\left(u_i; \{v_j\}; \lambda + \hbar \sum_{l=i+1}^{m} E^{(l)}\right) = \overrightarrow{\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}} \overleftarrow{\prod_{N \geqslant j \geqslant m+1}} R^{(ij)}, \; (2.23)$$

where

$$R^{(ij)} = R^{(ij)}\left(u_i - v_j; \lambda + \hbar \sum_{l=i+1}^{m} E^{(l)} + \hbar \sum_{l=j+1}^{N} E^{(l)}\right).$$

Taking into account (2.5) we obtain the relations $R^{(ij)} R^{(ab)} = R^{(ab)} R^{(ij)}$, where $1 \leqslant i < a \leqslant m$, $m + 1 \leqslant j < b \leqslant N$. Using it we derive the formula

$$\mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(\{u_i\}; \{v_j\}; \lambda) = \overleftarrow{\prod_{N \geqslant j \geqslant m+1}} \mathbb{R}^{(j)}\left(\{u_i\}; v_j; \lambda + \hbar \sum_{l=j+1}^{N} E^{(l)}\right)$$

$$= \overleftarrow{\prod_{N \geqslant j \geqslant m+1}} \overrightarrow{\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}} R^{(ij)}. \qquad (2.24)$$

Let us set $u_i = u + \hbar(i - 1)$, $v_j = v + \hbar(j - m - 1)$. Then, substituting $\mathbb{R}^{(0)}(u; \{v_j\}; \lambda)$ and $\mathbb{R}^{(0)}(\{u_i\}; v; \lambda)^{-1}$ as L-operators to the formulae (2.21) (with $m = 0$, $N = m$) and (2.22) respectively, taking into account the equalities (2.23) and (2.24) we obtain

$$A^{[0,m]} \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u; v; \lambda) = A^{[0,m]} \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u; v; \lambda) A^{[0,m]}, \qquad (2.25)$$

$$A^{[m,N]} \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u; v; \lambda) = A^{[m,N]} \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u; v; \lambda) A^{[m,N]}, \qquad (2.26)$$

where $\mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u; v; \lambda) = \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(\{u_i = u + \hbar(i - 1)\}; \{v_j = v + \hbar(j - m - 1)\}; \lambda)$. Similarly, substituting $\mathbb{R}^{(0)}(\{u_i\}; v; \lambda)^{-1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{(0)}(u; \{v_j\}; \lambda)$ to the formulae (2.21) and (2.22) (with $m = 0$, $N = m$) respectively, we obtain

$$A^{[0,m]} \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u; v; \lambda)^{-1} = A^{[0,m]} \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u; v; \lambda)^{-1} A^{[0,m]}, \qquad (2.27)$$

$$A^{[m,N]} \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u; v; \lambda)^{-1} = A^{[m,N]} \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u; v; \lambda)^{-1} A^{[m,N]}. \qquad (2.28)$$

2.3   Commutative families

The integrals of motion for an integrable system related with an L-operator are obtained often as coefficients of a decomposition for some operator functions. These functions are constructed as traces of tensor products of L-operators multiplied by the "alternator" $A^{[0,m]}$. In the dynamical case one should consider the tensor product of the operators (2.9). Now we use the facts proved below to establish the commutativity of these operator functions.

Let us first fix the dynamical elliptic L-operator with Cartan elements h_k and assign the notation $L(u; \lambda)$ to this L-operator. Its values at points u belong to the algebra $\mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathfrak{R}$.

Introduce the following operators

$$\mathbb{L}^{[m,N]}(\{u_i\}; \lambda) = e^{-\hbar \widehat{D}_\lambda^{(m+1)}} L^{(m+1)}(u_{m+1}; \lambda) \cdots e^{-\hbar \widehat{D}_\lambda^{(N)}} L^{(N)}(u_N; \lambda),$$

where $m < N$. Using the dynamical RLL relations (2.7) and taking into account (2.6) we derive the commutation relations for them:

$$\mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}\left(\{u_i\}; \{v_j\}; \lambda - \hbar \sum_{l=1}^N E^{(l)}\right) \mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(\{u_i\}; \lambda)\mathbb{L}^{[m,N]}(\{v_j\}; \lambda)$$
$$= \mathbb{L}^{[m,N]}(\{v_j\}; \lambda)\mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(\{u_i\}; \lambda)\mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(\{u_i\}; \{v_j\}; \lambda + \hbar h). \tag{2.29}$$

Setting $u_i = u + \hbar(i-1)$, $v_j = v + \hbar(j - m - 1)$ in this relation we obtain the main relation which we need to construct a commutative family:

$$\mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}\left(u; v; \lambda - \hbar \sum_{l=1}^N E^{(l)}\right) \mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(u; \lambda)\mathbb{L}^{[m,N]}(v; \lambda)$$
$$= \mathbb{L}^{[m,N]}(v; \lambda)\mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(u; \lambda)\mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u; v; \lambda + \hbar h),$$

where we set $\mathbb{L}^{[a,b]}(u; \lambda) = \mathbb{L}^{[a,b]}(\{u_i = u + \hbar(i - a - 1)\}; \lambda)$ for $a < b$.

Let $\mathbb{A}_n = \mathbb{C}((\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n))$ be a completed space of functions. The operators $\widehat{D}_\lambda$ acts on the space $\mathbb{A}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$, so that the operators $\mathbb{L}^{[a,b]}(u; \lambda)$ act from the space $\mathbb{A}_n \otimes (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes(b-a)}$ to $\mathbb{A}_n \otimes (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes(b-a)} \otimes \mathfrak{R}$: if u is a fixed point then $\mathbb{L}^{[a,b]}(u; \lambda) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes(b-a)} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_n$, where $\mathfrak{A}_n = \mathbb{A}_n[e^{\pm\hbar\partial_\lambda}] \otimes \mathfrak{R}$. Consider the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{A}_n$ generated by elements h_k and its normalizer in $\mathfrak{A}_n$:

$$\mathfrak{N}_n = \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}_n}(\mathfrak{h}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{A}_n \mid \mathfrak{h}x \subset \mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Let us note that $\mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h}$ is a two-side ideal in $\mathfrak{N}_n$.

Theorem 1. *Let us define the $\mathfrak{A}_n$-valued functions*

$$t_m(u) = \mathrm{tr}\left(A^{[0,m]}\mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(u; \lambda)\right),$$

where the trace is implied over all m spaces $\mathbb{C}^n$. They commute with Cartan elements h_k:

$$h_k t_m(u) = t_m(u)h_k. \tag{2.30}$$

Hence they take values in the subalgebra $\mathfrak{N}_n$. And they pair-wise commute modulo the ideal $\mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{N}_n$:

$$t_m(u)t_s(v) = t_s(v)t_m(u) \qquad \mathrm{mod}\ \ \mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h}. \tag{2.31}$$

Proof. The relation (2.30) follows from the formulae

$$[h_j, \mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(u;\lambda)] = -\sum_{l=1}^{m} [E_{jj}^{(l)}, \mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(u;\lambda)],$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{m} E_{jj}^{(l)} A^{[0,m]} = A^{[0,m]} \sum_{l=1}^{m} E_{jj}^{(l)} \tag{2.32}$$

and from the trace periodicity.

Let us stress that the commutativity (2.31) modulo $\mathfrak{A}_n \mathfrak{h}$ is a corollary of the formula

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(A^{[0,m]} A^{[m,N]} \mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(u;\lambda) \mathbb{L}^{[m,N]}(v;\lambda)\right)$$
$$= \mathrm{tr}\left(A^{[0,m]} A^{[m,N]} \mathbb{L}^{[m,N]}(v;\lambda) \mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(u;\lambda) \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u;v;\lambda+\hbar h) \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u;v;\lambda)^{-1}\right), \tag{2.33}$$

where $N = m+s$ and the trace is considered over all N spaces $\mathbb{C}^n$. This formula can be deduced as follows. Consider the left hand side of (2.33). Applying the relation (2.29) we can rewrite it in the form

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(A^{[0,m]} A^{[m,N]} \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}\left(u;v;\lambda-\hbar\sum_{l=1}^{N} E^{(l)}\right)^{-1} \mathbb{L}^{[m,N]}(v;\lambda)\right.$$
$$\left. \times \mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(u;\lambda) \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u;v;\lambda+\hbar h)\right). \tag{2.34}$$

Note that by virtue of the formula (2.32) the relations (2.27), (2.28) with the shifted dynamical parameters $\lambda \to \lambda - \hbar \sum_{l=1}^{N} E^{(l)}$ are still valid. Therefore, we can insert the idempotent $A^{[0,m]} A^{[m,N]}$ after $\mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}\left(u;v;\lambda-\hbar\sum_{l=1}^{N} E^{(l)}\right)^{-1}$ to the expression (2.34):

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(A^{[0,m]} A^{[m,N]} \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}\left(u;v;\lambda-\hbar\sum_{l=1}^{N} E^{(l)}\right)^{-1} A^{[0,m]} A^{[m,N]} \mathbb{L}^{[m,N]}(v;\lambda)\right.$$
$$\left. \times \mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(u;\lambda) \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u;v;\lambda+\hbar h)\right).$$

Similarly, taking into account (2.21) we can insert $A^{[0,m]} A^{[m,N]}$ after $\mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(u;\lambda)$ and, then, using (2.25), (2.26) and the trace periodicity we can eliminate $A^{[0,m]} A^{[m,N]}$ before $\mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}\left(u;v;\lambda-\hbar\sum_{l=1}^{N} E^{(l)}\right)^{-1}$. Thus we obtain

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}\left(u;v;\lambda-\hbar\sum_{l=1}^{N} E^{(l)}\right)^{-1} A^{[0,m]} A^{[m,N]} \mathbb{L}^{[m,N]}(v;\lambda)\right.$$
$$\left. \times \mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(u;\lambda) A^{[0,m]} A^{[m,N]} \mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}(u;v;\lambda+\hbar h)\right), \tag{2.35}$$

where one can delete $A^{[0,m]} A^{[m,N]}$ after $\mathbb{L}^{[0,m]}(u;\lambda)$. By virtue of (2.32) we can present the part after $\mathbb{R}^{[m,N]}\left(u;v;\lambda-\hbar\sum_{l=1}^{N} E^{(l)}\right)^{-1}$ as $e^{-\hbar\sum_{l=1}^{N} \widehat{D}_{\lambda}^{(l)}} Y(\lambda)$, where the matrix $Y(\lambda)$ does not contain

the shift operators. Using the formula

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(X(\lambda)e^{-\hbar\sum\limits_{l=1}^{N}\widehat{D}_{\lambda}^{(l)}}Y(\lambda)\right) = \mathrm{tr}\left(e^{-\hbar\sum\limits_{l=1}^{N}\widehat{D}_{\lambda}^{(l)}}Y(\lambda)X\left(\lambda+\hbar\sum\limits_{l=1}^{N}E^{(l)}\right)\right),$$

where the matrix $X(\lambda)$ does not contain the shift operators and elements of the ring $\mathfrak{R}$, one can rewrite (2.35) as the right hand side of (2.33). ∎

Thus we obtain the family of functions $t_m(u)$ with values in the algebra $\mathfrak{N}_n$. Their images by the canonical homomorphism $\mathfrak{N}_n \to \mathfrak{N}_n/\mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h}$ commute and we obtain the commutative family of functions in the algebra $\mathfrak{N}_n/\mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h}$. Decomposing these functions in some basis functions $\phi_{m,j}(u)$ one yields a commutative family of elements $\hat{I}_{m,j}$ of this algebra: $t_m(u) = \sum_j \hat{I}_{m,j}\phi_{k,j}(u)$ mod $\mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h}$. In this way one can construct an integrable system corresponding to a given L-operator.

2.4 Characteristic polynomial

The functions $t_m(u)$ can be gathered to a generating function called the *quantum characteristic polynomial*. This polynomial is defined in terms of determinants of Manin matrices. Considering the Gaudin degeneration we shall calculate the degeneration of the characteristic polynomial, although the degeneration of the functions $t_m(u)$ can not be obtained explicitly.

Introduce the following notion of a determinant for a matrix with non-commutative entries. Let M be an arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix, define its determinant by the formula

$$\det M = \sum_{\sigma\in S_n}(-1)^{\sigma}M_{\sigma(1),1}M_{\sigma(2),2}\cdots M_{\sigma(n),n}.$$

Usually this determinant is called the *column determinant*. One can show [15] that for a Manin matrix M its column determinant coincides with the completely symmetrized determinant and can be represented in the form

$$\det M = \mathrm{tr}\left(A^{[0,n]}M^{(1)}M^{(2)}\cdots M^{(n)}\right). \tag{2.36}$$

Proposition 2. *Let us consider the Manin matrix* $M = e^{-\hbar\widehat{D}_\lambda}L(u;\lambda)e^{\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$. *The matrix* $1 - M$ *is also a Manin matrix and its determinant generates* $t_m(u)$ *as follows:*

$$P(u, e^{\hbar\partial_u}) = \det\left(1 - e^{-\hbar\widehat{D}_\lambda}L(u;\lambda)e^{\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{n}(-1)^m t_m(u)e^{m\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}, \tag{2.37}$$

where $t_0(u) = 1$. *This implies that the determinant* (2.37) *commutes with* h_k *as well as that it commutes modulo* $\mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h}$ *with itself for different values of the spectral parameter:*

$$[P(u, e^{\hbar\partial_u}), h_k] = 0, \qquad [P(u, e^{\hbar\partial_u}), P(v, e^{\hbar\partial_v})] = 0 \mod \mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h}.$$

Proof. First we note that the functions $t_m(u)$ are related to the principal minors of the matrix M as follows

$$\sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_m\leqslant n}\det M_{i_1\ldots i_m}^{i_1\ldots i_m} = \mathrm{tr}_{1,\ldots,m}\left(A^{[0,m]}M^{(1)}\cdots M^{(m)}\right) = t_m(u)e^{m\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}, \tag{2.38}$$

where $M_{i_1\ldots i_m}^{i_1\ldots i_m}$ is the corresponding submatrix. Due to the formula (2.36) the left hand side of (2.37) decomposes as follows

$$\det(1-M) = \sum_{m=0}^{n}(-1)^m\sum_{1\leqslant k_1<\cdots<k_m\leqslant n}\mathrm{tr}_{1,\ldots,n}\left(A^{[0,n]}M^{(k_1)}M^{(k_2)}\cdots M^{(k_m)}\right). \tag{2.39}$$

Using the recursive relation $A^{[0,n]} = \frac{1}{n}(A^{[0,n-1]} - (n-1)A^{[0,n-1]}\pi(\sigma_{n-1,n})A^{[0,n-1]})$ one yields

$$\mathrm{tr}_{1,\dots,n}\left(A^{[0,n]}M^{(k_1)}M^{(k_2)}\cdots M^{(k_m)}\right) = \mathrm{tr}_{1,\dots,n}\left(A^{[0,n]}M^{(1)}M^{(2)}\cdots M^{(m)}\right) \tag{2.40}$$
$$= \frac{m!(n-m)!}{n!}\,\mathrm{tr}_{1,\dots,m}\left(A^{[0,m]}M^{(1)}M^{(2)}\cdots M^{(m)}\right).$$

The formulae (2.38), (2.39), (2.40) imply (2.37). ∎

2.5 Newton identities and quantum powers

In the theory of classical integrable systems commutative families are usually provided by traces of powers of a classical L-operator. Their quantization can be presented as traces of deformed powers of the corresponding quantum L-operators. These deformed powers are called *quantum powers* of L-operator. For rational L-operators these quantum powers were described in details in [5]. The main tool to obtain the quantum powers are Newton identities for Manin matrices. They allow to express the quantum powers of L-operators through the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial.

Lemma 4 ([5, 6]). *Let M be a Manin matrix. Introduce the elements*

$$q_m = \mathrm{tr}_{1,\dots,m}\left(A^{[0,m]}M^{(1)}\cdots M^{(m)}\right) = \sum_{1\leqslant i_1<\cdots<i_m\leqslant n}\det M^{i_1\dots i_m}_{i_1\dots i_m}, \tag{2.41}$$

$q_0 = 1$ *and* $q_m = 0$ *for* $m > n$. *Then for any* $m \geqslant 0$ *the following identities hold*

$$mq_m = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{m+k+1}q_k\,\mathrm{tr}\left(M^{m-k}\right).$$

They called Newton identities for the Manin matrix M.

Consider the Manin matrix $M = L_D(u)e^{\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} = e^{-\hbar\widehat{D}_\lambda}L(u;\lambda)e^{\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$. The elements (2.41) for this matrix have the form $q_m = t_m(u)e^{m\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$: see the formula (2.38). The powers of M are

$$M^k = L_D^{[\mathbf{k}]}(u)e^{k\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}, \qquad \text{where} \qquad L_D^{[\mathbf{k}]}(u) = L_D(u)L_D(u+\hbar)\cdots L_D(u+(k-1)\hbar).$$

The matrices $L_D^{[\mathbf{k}]}(u)$ are called *quantum powers* of the L-operator $L_D(u)$. The Newton identities allow recursively express the traces of the quantum powers $\mathrm{tr}\left(L_D^{[\mathbf{k}]}(u)\right)$ via the functions $t_m(u)$ and vice versa. Thus we obtain another commutative family of functions generating the same commutative subalgebra in $\mathfrak{N}_n/\mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h}$:

$$\left[\,\mathrm{tr}\left(L_D^{[\mathbf{k}]}(u)\right),\mathrm{tr}\left(L_D^{[\mathbf{m}]}(v)\right)\right] = 0 \qquad \mathrm{mod}\ \ \mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h}.$$

2.6 Trigonometric limit

Up to now we have considered L-operators satisfying dynamical RLL-relations with a certain dynamical elliptic R-matrix. But the presented technique is sufficiently universal to be applied to many other cases. This approach is directly generalized to the case of arbitrary dynamical R-matrix such that $R(-\hbar;\lambda) = B(\lambda)A^{(12)}$ for some invertible matrix $B(\lambda)$. The corresponding L-operators give us a family $t_m(u)$ commuting modulo $\mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h}$. In particular, it works for the trigonometric and rational degenerations of the Felder R-matrix. Using the same scheme for their non-dynamical limits we obtain commuting functions $t_m(u)$ (modulo 0).

Here we briefly discuss the dynamical and non-dynamical trigonometric limits. The Felder R-matrix in the limit $\tau \to i\infty$ takes the form

$$R_{\text{trig}}(u, v; \lambda) = \frac{zq - wq^{-1}}{z - w} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii}$$
$$+ \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{\mu_{ij} q - q^{-1}}{\mu_{ij} - 1} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + \frac{(q - q^{-1})(z - w\mu_{ij})}{(z - w)(1 - \mu_{ij})} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} \right), \qquad (2.42)$$

where $z = e^{2\pi i u}$, $w = e^{2\pi i v}$, $q = e^{\pi i \hbar}$, $\mu_{kj} = e^{2\pi i \lambda_{kj}}$. An L-operator satisfying the relations (2.7) and (2.8) with this R-matrix – a dynamical trigonometric L-operator – defines the commutative family $t_m(u)$ (modulo corresponding $\mathfrak{A}_n\mathfrak{h}$) by means of the formula (2.37), for instance. One can also consider the commuting traces of quantum powers in the same way as in the Subsection 2.5.

Consider the limit $\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1} \to -i\infty$, that is $\mu_{ij} \to \infty$ for $i < j$ and $\mu_{ij} \to 0$ for $i > j$. The limit of the matrix (2.42) is a non-dynamical trigonometric R-matrix

$$R_{\text{trig}}(u - v) = R_{\text{trig}}(z, w) = \frac{zq - wq^{-1}}{z - w} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i < j} \left(q E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + q^{-1} E_{jj} \otimes E_{ii} \right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{(q - q^{-1})w}{(z - w)} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} + \frac{(q - q^{-1})z}{(z - w)} E_{ji} \otimes E_{ij} \right). \qquad (2.43)$$

Let $L(z)$ be an L-operator satisfying the usual RLL-relations with this matrix:

$$R_{\text{trig}}(z, w) L^{(1)}(z) L^{(2)}(w) = L^{(2)}(w) L^{(1)}(z) R_{\text{trig}}(z, w).$$

The matrix $M = L(z) q^{2z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}$ is a Manin matrix. The corresponding characteristic polynomial $\det(1 - L(u) q^{2z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1) t_m(z) q^{2mz \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}$ defines a commutative family: $[t_m(z), t_m(w)] = 0$. Then, the quantum powers in this case have the form $L^{[\mathbf{k}]}(z) = L(z) L(zq^2) \cdots L(zq^{2(k-1)})$, $[\text{tr}\left(L^{[\mathbf{k}]}(z)\right), \text{tr}\left(L^{[\mathbf{m}]}(w)\right)] = 0$.

Let us consider the tensor

$$\widetilde{R}_{\text{trig}}(z, w) = F^{(21)} R_{\text{trig}}(z, w) F^{-1} = \frac{zq - wq^{-1}}{z - w} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i < j} \left(E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} \right.$$
$$\left. + E_{jj} \otimes E_{ii} + \frac{(q - q^{-1})w}{(z - w)} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} + \frac{(q - q^{-1})z}{(z - w)} E_{ji} \otimes E_{ij} \right), \qquad (2.44)$$

where

$$F = q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} - E_{jj} \otimes E_{ii})} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i < j} \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_{jj} \otimes E_{ii} \right).$$

This is a standard R-matrix for the quasi-triangular Hopf algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$. This algebra can be described by two L-operators $\widetilde{L}^{\pm}(z) \in \text{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n \otimes U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$ satisfying RLL-relation with the R-matrix (2.44). Each L-operator $\widetilde{L}(z) \in \text{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathfrak{R}$ satisfying RLL-relation with this R-matrix defines a homomorphism from the certain Hopf subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \subset U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$ to $\mathfrak{R}$.[2] The R-matrix (2.44) considered as an L-operator defines a representation $\pi_w \colon \mathfrak{B} \to \text{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n$. The

_____

[2]The algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ is the algebra described by one of those L-operators, for example, by $\widetilde{L}^+(z)$. In this case the homomorphism is defined by the formula $\widetilde{L}_{ij}^+(z) \mapsto \widetilde{L}_{ij}(z)$.

subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ contains elements $\hat{h}_1, \ldots, \hat{h}_n$ such that $\pi_v(\hat{h}_k) = E_{kk}$, $\varepsilon(\hat{h}_k) = 0$, $\Delta(\hat{h}_k) = \hat{h}_k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \hat{h}_k$, where ε and Δ are co-unity and co-multiplication of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$. The element

$$\mathcal{F} = q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum\limits_{i<j} (\hat{h}_i \otimes \hat{h}_j - \hat{h}_j \otimes \hat{h}_i)}$$

satisfies the cocycle condition (the Drinfeld equation) $\mathcal{F}^{(12)}(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}^{(23)}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{F})$, the condition $(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\mathcal{F} = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\mathcal{F} = 1$ and, therefore, defines a twist between two co-multiplications of the algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$, the standard one Δ and twisted one $\Delta^{\mathfrak{F}}$ defined as $\Delta^{\mathfrak{F}}(x) = \mathcal{F}\Delta(x)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$. Let $\phi\colon \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{R}$ is the homomorphism defined by a given L-operator $\widetilde{L}(z)$. Define the matrix

$$G = q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum\limits_{i<j} (E_{ii} \otimes h_j - E_{jj} \otimes h_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^n q^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum\limits_{j=i+1}^n h_j - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{i-1} h_j \right)} E_{ii}.$$

where $h_k = \phi(\hat{h}_k)$. From the theory of quasi-triangular Hopf algebras it follows that the twisted L-operator $L(z) = G\widetilde{L}(z)G$ satisfies RLL-relations with the matrix (2.43). This means that each L-operator $\widetilde{L}(z)$ defines the commutative family $t_m(u)$ by means of the characteristic polynomial for the Manin matrix $M = G\widetilde{L}(z)Gq^{2z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}}$.

Let us also remark that the commutative families for $\widetilde{L}(z)$ can be constructed without twisting. In this case one should use some generalization of the notion of Manin matrices. The matrix $M = \widetilde{L}(z)q^{2z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}}$ belongs to such kind of generalization called q-*Manin matrices* (see [15] for details). Nevertheless the approach of q-Manin matrices is a little more complicated. Let us also remark that the same situation occurs for the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$ L-operators considered in [16], there exist a dynamical elliptic analogue of the twist $\mathcal{F}$ relating the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$ R-matrix with the Felder R-matrix. So we expect that there exists a generalization of the q-Manin matrices corresponding to the dynamical elliptic quantum group $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$.[3]

3   Characteristic polynomial for elliptic Gaudin model

We consider a degeneration of the dynamical elliptic RLL relations: $\hbar \to 0$. In particular, this degeneration describes the *dynamical* elliptic $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ Gaudin model. To relate this degenerated L-operator with the elliptic Gaudin L-operator in the standard formulation we introduce a twist of this L-operator. Degenerating the commutative family obtained in the previous section we yield a commutative family for the elliptic Gaudin model. We see that the obtained results generalize the elliptic $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ Gaudin model case investigated in [18, 19].

3.1 $\hbar \to 0$ degeneration

Here we consider the degeneration of the previous section formulae obtained in the limit $\hbar \to 0$: we compare the coefficients at the minimal degree of $\hbar$ that does not lead to a trivial identity. In the degeneration of the dynamical RLL-relation, for example, we consider $\hbar^2$. And the determinant (2.37) is proportional to the n-th power of $\hbar$. We avoid using the term the *classical degeneration* because we don't want to mismatch the considering degeneration with the classical mechanics degeneration.

Suppose that $L(u; \lambda)$ is a dynamical elliptic L-operator of the form

$$L(u; \lambda) = 1 + \hbar\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda) + o(\hbar). \tag{3.1}$$

---

[3]In some formulation $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$ should be interpreted as a Hopf algebroid [17].

where the entries of $\mathcal{L}(u;\lambda)$ belongs to the algebra $\mathfrak{R}_0 = \mathfrak{R}/\hbar\mathfrak{R}$. The matrix $\mathcal{L}(u;\lambda)$ is called *dynamical classical elliptic L-operator*. It satisfies the dynamical classical rLL-relations

$$\left[\mathcal{L}^{(1)}(u;\lambda) - \widehat{D}_\lambda^{(1)}, \mathcal{L}^{(2)}(v;\lambda) - \widehat{D}_\lambda^{(2)}\right] - \sum_{k=1}^n h_k \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} r(u-v;\lambda)$$
$$= \left[\mathcal{L}^{(1)}(u;\lambda) + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}(v;\lambda), r(u-v;\lambda)\right] \tag{3.2}$$

with the *dynamical classical elliptic r-matrix*

$$r(u;\lambda) = \frac{\theta'(u)}{\theta(u)} \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i\neq j} \left(\frac{\theta'(\lambda_{ij})}{\theta(\lambda_{ij})} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + \frac{\theta(u-\lambda_{ij})}{\theta(u)\theta(-\lambda_{ij})} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

The matrix (3.3) is related with the Felder R-matrix (2.2) via the formula

$$R(u;\lambda) = 1 + \hbar r(u;\lambda_\hbar) + o(\hbar).$$

The relation (3.2) follows directly from the relation (2.7). In turn, the relation (2.8) implies

$$(E_{ii} + h_i)\mathcal{L}(u;\lambda) = \mathcal{L}(u;\lambda)(E_{ii} + h_i). \tag{3.4}$$

Let us remark that two different Lie bialgebras described by the relations (3.2) and (3.4) are considered in great details for the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-case in [20].

Lemma 5. *If a Manin matrix has a form $M = 1 + \hbar\mathcal{M} + o(\hbar)$ then $\mathcal{M}$ is also a Manin matrix.*

Proof. Substituting the decomposition of M to (2.13) we obtain the equation (2.13) for $\mathcal{M}$ at second power of $\hbar$. ∎

Applying this lemma to the Manin matrix $M = e^{-\hbar\widehat{D}_\lambda} L(u;\lambda) e^{\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$ we conclude that the matrix $\mathcal{M} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \widehat{D}_\lambda + \mathcal{L}(u;\lambda)$ is a Manin matrix. Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 give us the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathfrak{R}_0 \otimes \mathbb{A}_n[\partial_\lambda]$ and $\mathcal{N}_n = \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathfrak{h}) = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_n \mid \mathfrak{h}x \subset \mathcal{A}_n\mathfrak{h}\}$, where $\mathbb{A}_n = \mathbb{C}((\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n))$. Define the $\mathcal{N}_n$-valued functions $s_m(u)$ by the formula*

$$Q(u,\partial_u) = \det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \widehat{D}_\lambda + \mathcal{L}(u;\lambda)\right) = \sum_{m=0}^n s_m(u) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)^{n-m}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $s_0(u) = 1$. They commute with Cartan elements h_k:

$$h_k s_m(u) = s_m(u) h_k \tag{3.6}$$

and they pair-wise commute modulo $\mathcal{A}_n\mathfrak{h}$:

$$s_m(u)s_l(v) = s_l(v)s_m(u) \qquad \mathrm{mod} \quad \mathcal{A}_n\mathfrak{h}. \tag{3.7}$$

The decomposition coefficients of the functions $s_1(u), s_2(u), \ldots, s_n(u)$ form a commutative family in the algebra $\mathcal{N}_n$ at the level $h_k = 0$. This means that their images by the canonical homomorphism $\mathcal{N}_n \to \mathcal{N}_n/\mathcal{A}_n\mathfrak{h}$ commute with each other. Below we consider some special class of L-operators $\mathcal{L}(u;\lambda)$.

3.2 Elliptic Gaudin model

Theorem 2 provides the functions $s_m(u)$ generating the quantum integrals of motion for the *elliptic Gaudin model*. This model is defined by a representation of the loop Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]$. Let us first consider a homomorphism $\rho \colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]) \to \mathfrak{R}_0$ from $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}])$ to some algebra $\mathfrak{R}_0$. To this homomorphism we associate the elliptic half-currents

$$e_{ii}^+(u) = \rho\left(\frac{\theta'(u-z)}{\theta(u-z)}e_{ii}\right) = \sum_{m \geqslant 0} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!}\left(\frac{\theta'(u)}{\theta(u)}\right)^{(m)} \rho(e_{ii}z^m), \tag{3.8}$$

$$e_{ij}^+(u; \lambda) = \rho\left(\frac{\theta(u-z+\lambda_{ij})}{\theta(u-z)\theta(\lambda_{ij})}e_{ij}\right) = \sum_{m \geqslant 0} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!}\left(\frac{\theta(u+\lambda_{ij})}{\theta(u)\theta(\lambda_{ij})}\right)^{(m)} \rho(e_{ij}z^m), \tag{3.9}$$

where $i \neq j$, $\{e_{ij}\}$ is a basis in $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ for which $e_{ij} \mapsto E_{ij}$ is a faithful representation. The set $\{e_{ii}z^m\}$ is a basis in $\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]$. The matrix $\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda)$ with the elements

$$\mathcal{L}_{ij}(u; \lambda) = e_{ji}^+(u; \lambda), \qquad \mathcal{L}_{ii}(u; \lambda) = e_{ii}^+(u; \lambda) + \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\theta'(\lambda_{ik})}{\theta(\lambda_{ik})}h_k, \tag{3.10}$$

where $h_k = \rho(e_{kk})$, satisfies the relations (3.2) and (3.4).

Consider an important example of the homomorphism ρ. The *evaluation homomorphism* $\rho_v \colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]) \to U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ defined as

$$\rho_v(e_{ij}z^m) = v^m e_{ij},$$

where $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}$ is a fixed complex number. Using the standard co-multiplication of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}])$ one can construct the more general evaluation homomorphism $\rho_{\{v\}} \colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]) \to U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^{\otimes N}$ in N fixed points $v_1, \ldots, v_N \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\rho_{\{v\}}(e_{ij}z^m) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} v_k^m e_{ij}^{(k)}.$$

where $e_{ij}^{(k)} = 1^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes e_{ij} \otimes 1^{\otimes(N-k)}$ is the basis element corresponding to the k-th tensor component of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. For the N given representations $\Pi_k \colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \to \operatorname{End} V_k$ one can construct the representation $\Pi_{\{v\}} \colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]) \to \operatorname{End} V$, where $\Pi_{\{v\}} = (\Pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Pi_N) \circ \rho_{\{v\}}$ and $V = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N$. In the representation $\Pi_{\{v\}}$ the half currents (3.8), (3.9) take the forms

$$e_{ii}^+(u; \lambda) = e_{ii}^+(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\theta'(u-v_k)}{\theta(u-v_k)}\Pi_k(e_{ii})^{(k)}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$e_{ij}^+(u; \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\theta(u-v_k+\lambda_{ij})}{\theta(u-v_k)\theta(\lambda_{ij})}\Pi_k(e_{ij})^{(k)}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $\Pi_k(e_{ij})^{(k)} = 1^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \Pi_k(e_{ij}) \otimes 1^{\otimes(N-k)}$ (the k-th tensor component). The matrix $\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda)$ defined by (3.10) with the currents (3.11), (3.12) is called *the elliptic Gaudin L-operator corresponding to the representation* $\Pi_{\{v\}}$.

In the case of homomorphism $\rho = \rho_{\{v\}}$ the corresponding commutative family can be obtained as follows. Let $L_0(u; \lambda)$ be the dynamical elliptic L-operator defined by (2.11). Shifting the argument we again get a dynamical elliptic L-operator $L_0(u - v; \lambda)$. This is also a matrix over $\mathfrak{T} = e_{\tau,\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)[[\partial_\lambda]]$. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_n = \mathbb{A}_n[[\hbar]][[\partial_\lambda]] \subset \mathfrak{T}$. Let $L_k(u - v_k; \lambda)$ be the matrices over $\mathfrak{R} = \underbrace{\mathfrak{T} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_n} \cdots \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_n} \mathfrak{T}}_{N}$ with the entries $\left(L_k(u-v_k; \lambda)\right)_{ij} = 1^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \left(L_0(u-v_k; \lambda)\right)_{ij} \otimes 1^{\otimes(N-k)}$ and

$h^k = (h_1^k, \ldots, h_n^k)$ with $h_i^k = 1^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes h_i \otimes 1^{\otimes(N-k)}$. Then applying Lemma 1 we obtain a dynamical elliptic L-operator $L_{[N]}(u; \lambda) = \overleftarrow{\prod_{N \geqslant k \geqslant 1}} L_k \Big(u - v_k; \lambda + \hbar \sum_{l=k+1}^{N} h^l \Big)$ over $\mathfrak{R}$ with the Cartan elements $h = \sum_{k=1}^{N} h^k$. Representing $L_{[N]}(u; \lambda)$ in the form (3.1) we obtain an L-operator $\mathcal{L}_{[N]}(u; \lambda)$. This is a matrix over $\mathfrak{R}_0 = \underbrace{\mathfrak{T}_0 \otimes_{\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n} \cdots \otimes_{\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n} \mathfrak{T}_0}_{N}$, where $\mathfrak{T}_0 = \mathfrak{T}/\hbar\mathfrak{T}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n = \mathbb{A}_n[[\partial_\lambda]] \subset \mathfrak{T}_0$. Due to Theorem 2 the functions $s_m^{[N]}(u)$ defined by (3.5) with this L-operator $\mathcal{L}_{[N]}(u; \lambda)$ satisfy (3.6) and (3.7). Let $\varphi \colon \mathfrak{R}_0 \to \mathfrak{S}_0$ be a homomorphism to an algebra $\mathfrak{S}_0$. Applying it to the equations (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) we conclude that the functions $s_m^\varphi(u) = \varphi(s_m^{[N]}(u))$ coincide with the functions defined by (3.5) with the L-operator $\mathcal{L}_\varphi(u; \lambda) = \varphi(\mathcal{L}_{[N]}(u; \lambda))$ and satisfy (3.6) and (3.7).

Consider the homomorphism $\varphi_0 \colon \mathfrak{T}_0 \to U(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ defined by the formulae

$$\varphi_0(\tilde{t}_{ii}) = \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\theta'(\lambda_{ik})}{\theta(\lambda_{ik})} e_{kk} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i}, \qquad \varphi_0(\tilde{t}_{ij}) = \frac{1}{\theta(\lambda_{ij})} e_{ij} \quad \text{for} \ \ i \neq j,$$

$\varphi_0(h_k) = e_{kk}$ and $\varphi_0(\partial_{\lambda_k}) = \partial_{\lambda_k}$. Let $\varphi_{[N]} \colon \mathfrak{R}_0 \to U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^{\otimes N} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ be a homomorphism defined as $\varphi_{[N]} = \underbrace{\varphi_0 \otimes_{\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n} \cdots \otimes_{\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n} \varphi_0}_{N}$. Then the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\varphi_{[N]}}(u; \lambda) = \varphi_{[N]}(\mathcal{L}_{[N]}(u; \lambda))$ coincides with the L-operator (3.10) corresponding to the homomorphism $\rho = \rho_{\{v\}}$. The functions $s_m^{\rho_{\{v\}}}(u)$ defined by (3.5) with $\mathcal{L}_{\varphi_{[N]}}(u; \lambda)$ satisfy (3.6) and (3.7). Analogously, considering $\varphi = (\Pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Pi_N) \circ \varphi_{[N]}$ we obtain the Gaudin L-operator corresponding to the representation $\Pi_{\{v\}} = (\Pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Pi_N) \circ \rho_{\{v\}}$. Thus we derive the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *Let $\Pi_k \colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \to \operatorname{End} V_k$ be representations and $\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda)$ be the elliptic Gaudin L-operator corresponding to the homomorphism $\Pi_{\{v\}} = (\Pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Pi_N) \circ \rho_{\{v\}}$. Then $s_m(u)$ defined by the formula (3.5) with this $\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda)$ satisfy (3.6) and (3.7). These are integrals of motion for the dynamical elliptic $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ Gaudin model.*

So we have shown that the L-operator (3.10) corresponding to the certain representation $\rho \colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]) \to \mathfrak{R}_0 = \operatorname{End} V$ defines a commutative family $s_m(u)$. We conjecture that this is true for any homomorphism ρ.

Conjecture 1. *For any homomorphism ρ the corresponding L-operator (3.10) defines a commutative family $s_m(u)$ by the formula (3.5), that is $s_m(u)$ satisfy (3.6) and (3.7).*

For the case $n = 2$ this conjecture can be proved using a certain dynamical elliptic L-operator constructed in [21]. In notations of that paper this is the L-operator $\bar{L}(u, \lambda)$ constructed from the L-operator $L_\lambda^+(u)$. The entries of $\bar{L}(u, \lambda)$ belong to the certain algebra $\mathfrak{R} = U_\hbar \mathfrak{g}$ (via some embedding) and the degeneration of this L-operator gives the L-operator (3.10) for $\rho = \operatorname{id}$.[4] Thus the L-operator for $\rho = \operatorname{id}$ defines functions $s_m^{\operatorname{id}}(u)$ satisfying (3.6) and (3.7). The L-operator for the arbitrary homomorphism ρ defines function $s_m^\rho(u) = \rho(s_m(u))$, applying ρ to the relations (3.6) and (3.7) for $s_m^{\operatorname{id}}(u)$ we conclude that $s_m^\rho(u)$ satisfy the same relations.

For the general n this conjecture can be proved in the same way. For example, it follows from the Conjecture 5.1 of the paper [16]. There the authors present an analogue of the L-operator $L_\lambda^+(u)$ for the quantum group $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n})$. The existence of a twist relating this L-operator with an analogue of $\bar{L}(u, \lambda)$ can be easily proved.

---

[4] The degeneration of this algebra $\mathfrak{R} = U_\hbar \mathfrak{g}$ with zero central charge $K = 0$ and without co-central charge is $\mathfrak{R}_0 = \mathfrak{R}/\hbar\mathfrak{R} = U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}])$.

Theorem 2 with Proposition 3 provide us a method to construct the quantum elliptic Gaudin model for general n in analogy to the rational case treated in [13]. Let us firstly note that the connection whose determinant $Q(u, \partial_u)$ provides a generating function for quantum Hamiltonians is the KZB connection. Moreover this construction allows to establish important relations of the elliptic Gaudin model with the Langlands correspondence program. The construction of the characteristic polynomial $Q(u, \partial_u)$ called the *Universal G-oper* in this case provides us

- a universal construction of the commutative family;

- a universal description of the eigenvalue problem;

- a relation between the solutions of the KZB equation and the wave-functions of the Gaudin model;

- a relation with the centre of the affine algebra at the critical level

analogously to the results of [22, 23] in the rational case.

Usually the elliptic Gaudin model defined by the L-operator

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(u; \lambda) = \sum_{ij=1}^{n} E_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}^{+}(u; \lambda) \tag{3.13}$$

with the currents (3.11), (3.12) or, more generally, with the currents (3.8), (3.9). In the next subsection we describe the relation of the L-operator (3.13) with the L-operator $\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda)$.

3.3 Twisting of a classical dynamical L-operator

First we consider the twists of dynamical r-matrices for arbitrary Lie algebras. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a (in general, infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ be a tensor product completed in some way and $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an n-dimensional commutative subalgebra called *Cartan subalgebra*. Let us fix a basis $\{\hat{h}_1, \ldots, \hat{h}_n\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$. An element $\mathfrak{r}(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ depending on n dynamical parameters $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ is called a *dynamical classical r-matrix* if $\mathfrak{r}^{(12)}(\lambda) + \mathfrak{r}^{(21)}(\lambda) \in \left(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}\right)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and it satisfies the classical dynamical Yang–Baxter equation

$$[[\mathfrak{r}(\lambda), \mathfrak{r}(\lambda)]] + \mathcal{D}_\lambda\left(\mathfrak{r}(\lambda)\right) = 0 \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$[[a(\lambda), b(\lambda)]] = \left[a^{(12)}(\lambda), b^{(13)}(\lambda)\right] + \left[a^{(12)}(\lambda), b^{(23)}(\lambda)\right] + \left[a^{(13)}(\lambda), b^{(23)}(\lambda)\right], \tag{3.15}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda\left(a(\lambda)\right) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{h}_i^{(1)} \frac{\partial a^{(23)}(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{h}_i^{(2)} \frac{\partial a^{(13)}(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{h}_i^{(3)} \frac{\partial a^{(12)}(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_i}.$$

The space $\left(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}\right)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ consists of the elements $x \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[x, y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y] = 0$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{g}$. The brackets in the right hand side of (3.15) mean the commutators in $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Lemma 6. *Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\lambda)$ and $\mathfrak{f}(\lambda)$ be elements of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{f}^{(12)}(\lambda) + \mathfrak{f}^{(21)}(\lambda) \in \left(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}\right)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and*

$$[[\mathfrak{f}(\lambda), \mathfrak{f}(\lambda)]] + [[\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\lambda), \mathfrak{f}(\lambda)]] + [[\mathfrak{f}(\lambda), \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\lambda)]] + \mathcal{D}_\lambda\left(\mathfrak{f}(\lambda)\right) = 0.$$

Then, $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\lambda)$ is a dynamical classical r-matrix if and only if the matrix $\mathfrak{r}(\lambda) = \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\lambda) + \mathfrak{f}(\lambda)$ is a dynamical classical r-matrix. The element $\mathfrak{f}(\lambda)$ is called classical dynamical twist.

Consider the loop algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]$ with Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ spanned by the elements $\hat{h}_k = e_{kk}$. This is the Lie algebra of constant diagonal matrices. Let $\mathfrak{r}(\lambda)$ be a dynamical classical r-matrix and let $\pi_u \colon \mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}] \to \mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n$ be the standard evaluation representation defined as $\pi_u \colon e_{ij} z^k \mapsto E_{ij} u^k$. Since π_u is faithful the matrix $\mathfrak{r}$ can be represented by the matrix $r(u, v; \lambda) = (\pi_u \otimes \pi_v)\mathfrak{r}(\lambda) \in \mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n[[u, u^{-1}, v, v^{-1}]]$, where the dependency of u and v is understood in the formal way. Each homomorphism $\rho \colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]) \to \mathfrak{R}_0$ defines the L-operator $\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda) = (\pi_u \otimes \rho)\mathfrak{r}(\lambda)$ satisfying the dynamical rLL-relations (3.2) with the r-matrix $r(u, v; \lambda)$.

Let $r(u, v; \lambda) \in \mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n[[v]]((u))$ be the r-matrix $r(u - v; \lambda)$ (formula (3.3)) understood as a formal series with coefficients in $\mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{C}^n$. Relation (2.3) implies that the corresponding element $\mathfrak{r}(\lambda)$ is a dynamical classical r-matrix. The matrix $\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda) = (\pi_u \otimes \rho)\mathfrak{r}(\lambda)$ coincides with the L-operator (3.10) corresponding to the homomorphism $\rho \colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]) \to \mathfrak{R}_0$.

Consider the following twist

$$\mathfrak{f}(\lambda) = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\theta'(\lambda_{ij})}{\theta(\lambda_{ij})} e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj}. \tag{3.16}$$

It satisfies the conditions of the Lemma 6. Indeed, the *twisted* r-matrix $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\lambda) = \mathfrak{r}(\lambda) - \mathfrak{f}(\lambda)$ is represented by the matrix

$$\tilde{r}(u, v; \lambda) = r(u, v\lambda) - (\pi_u \otimes \pi_v)\mathfrak{f}(\lambda) = \frac{\theta'(u)}{\theta(u)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\theta(u - \lambda_{ij})}{\theta(u)\theta(-\lambda_{ij})} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji},$$

where $(\pi_u \otimes \pi_v)\mathfrak{f}(\lambda) = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\theta'(\lambda_{ij})}{\theta(\lambda_{ij})} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj}$ is the representation of the twist (3.16). One can check the equalities $[[\mathfrak{f}(\lambda), \mathfrak{f}(\lambda)]] = [[\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\lambda), \mathfrak{f}(\lambda)]] + [[\mathfrak{f}(\lambda), \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\lambda)]] = \mathcal{D}_\lambda(\mathfrak{f}(\lambda)) = 0$ applying homomorphism $(\pi_u \otimes \pi_v)$. So that the matrix $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\lambda)$ also satisfies (3.14).

The *twisted* L-operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(u; \lambda) = (\pi_u \otimes \rho)\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\lambda)$ satisfies the rLL-relations (3.2) with r-matrix $\tilde{r}(u, v; \lambda)$ and the relation (3.4) with $h_k = \rho(\hat{h}_k) = \rho(e_{kk})$. It coincides with the L-operator (3.13) related with the L-operator $\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda)$ via the formulae

$$\mathcal{L}_{ij}(u; \lambda) = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{ij}(u; \lambda), \qquad \mathcal{L}_{ii}(u; \lambda) = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{ii}(u; \lambda) + \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\theta'(\lambda_{ik})}{\theta(\lambda_{ik})} h_k,$$

where $j \neq i$. The characteristic polynomial from Theorem 2 in these terms takes the form

$$Q(u, \partial_u) = \det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \widehat{D}_\lambda + \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(u; \lambda) + \sum_{i \neq j} E_{ii} \frac{\theta'(\lambda_{ij})}{\theta(\lambda_{ij})} h_j \right). \tag{3.17}$$

Remark 2. Calculating determinant (3.17) modulo $\mathcal{A}_n \mathfrak{h}[[u^{-1}, u]][\partial_u]$ in the $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ cases we can omit the sum $\sum_{i \neq j} E_{ii} \frac{\theta'(\lambda_{ij})}{\theta(\lambda_{ij})} h_j$ in the determinant. However for $n \geqslant 3$ one can not simplify it in this way, because even in the case $n = 3$ omitting this sum we lose the following term of $Q(u, \partial_u)$ (mod $\mathcal{A}_n \mathfrak{h}[[u^{-1}, u]][\partial_u]$):

$$-2\left(\frac{\theta'(\lambda_{12})}{\theta(\lambda_{12})} + \frac{\theta'(\lambda_{23})}{\theta(\lambda_{23})} \right) \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{13}(u; \lambda)\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{31}(u; \lambda).$$

3.4   The $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ elliptic Gaudin model

Let us relate the generating function $Q(u, \partial_u)$ in $n = 2$ case with the generating function describing the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ elliptic Gaudin model [18, 19, 24]. The latter one corresponds to the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ elliptic

Gaudin model defined by a representation $\rho \colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_2[z, z^{-1}]) \to \mathfrak{R}_0$ such that $\rho \colon e_{11}^+(u) + e_{22}^+(u) \mapsto 0$. For example, $\rho = (p \otimes \cdots \otimes p) \circ \rho_{\{v\}}$, where $p(e_{11} + e_{22}) = 0$, $p(e_{11} - e_{22}) = e_{11} - e_{22}$, $p(e_{12}) = e_{12}$, $p(e_{21}) = e_{21}$. Then the L-operator takes the form

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(u; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} h^+(u)/2 & f_\lambda^+(u) \\ e_\lambda^+(u) & -h^+(u)/2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_{12} = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ and the half-currents are

$$h^+(u) = e_{11}^+(u) - e_{22}^+(u) = \sum_{s=1}^N \frac{\theta'(u - v_s)}{\theta(u - v_s)}\left(e_{11}^{(s)} - e_{22}^{(s)}\right),$$

$$e_\lambda^+(u) = e_{12}^+(u; \lambda) = \sum_{s=1}^N \frac{\theta(u - v_s + \lambda)}{\theta(u - v_s)\theta(\lambda)} e_{12}^{(s)},$$

$$f_\lambda^+(u) = e_{21}^+(u; \lambda) = \sum_{s=1}^N \frac{\theta(u - v_s - \lambda)}{\theta(u - v_s)\theta(-\lambda)} e_{21}^{(s)}.$$

Since the L-operator depends only on the difference $\lambda = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ we can restrict $Q(u, \partial_u)$ to the space $\mathbb{A} = \{a \in \mathbb{A}_2 \mid (\partial_{\lambda_1} + \partial_{\lambda_1})a = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}_2$ coinciding with $\mathbb{C}((\lambda_{12}))$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{R}_0 \otimes \mathbb{A}[\partial_\lambda]$ then the values of the functions $s_m(u)$ belong to $\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{h}) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid hx \in \mathcal{A}h\}$. Since $\rho \colon h_1 + h_2 \to 0$ the operator $\widehat{D}_\lambda$ in this representation has the form $H\partial_\lambda$, where $H = E_{11} - E_{22}$.

Now we calculate the column determinant (3.17):

$$Q(u, \partial_u) = \det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \widehat{D}_\lambda + \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(u; \lambda) - \frac{\theta'(\lambda)}{\theta(\lambda)}\frac{h}{2}\right)$$

$$= \det\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \partial_\lambda + h^+(u)/2 - \frac{\theta'(\lambda)}{\theta(\lambda)}h/2 & f_\lambda^+(u) \\ e_\lambda^+(u) & \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \partial_\lambda - h^+(u)/2 - \frac{\theta'(\lambda)}{\theta(\lambda)}h/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)^2 - \frac{\theta'(\lambda)}{\theta(\lambda)}h\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - S_\lambda(u),$$

where $h = h_1 - h_2$ and $S_\lambda(u)$ is the following $\mathcal{N}$-valued function

$$S_\lambda(u) = \left(\partial_\lambda - h^+(u)/2\right)^2 + \partial_u h^+(u)/2 + e_\lambda^+(u) f_\lambda^+(u) \qquad \mathrm{mod}\ \ \mathcal{A}h,$$

which commutes with itself: $[S_\lambda(u), S_\lambda(v)] = 0 \bmod \mathcal{A}h$. Using the commutation relation $[e_\lambda^+(u), f_\lambda^+(u)] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u}h^+(u) + \left(\frac{\theta'(\lambda)}{\theta(\lambda)}\right)'h$ one obtains the generating function for the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ elliptic Gaudin Hamiltonians:

$$S_\lambda(u) = \left(\partial_\lambda - h^+(u)/2\right)^2 + \left(e_\lambda^+(u) f_\lambda^+(u) + f_\lambda^+(u) e_\lambda^+(u)\right)/2 \qquad \mathrm{mod}\ \ \mathcal{A}h.$$

This generating function was considered and used in [18, 19] to find the eigenfunctions of this model.

3.5   Quantum powers for the Gaudin model

The quantum powers for the elliptic Gaudin models are defined in terms of the operator $\mathcal{L}_D(u) = \mathcal{L}(u; \lambda) - \widehat{D}_\lambda$. The first two quantum powers of this operator coincide with its ordinary powers: $\mathcal{L}_D^{[0]}(u) = 1$, $\mathcal{L}_D^{[1]}(u) = \mathcal{L}_D(u)$. The others are defined by the following recursive formula

$$\mathcal{L}_D^{[k+1]}(u) = \mathcal{L}_D(u)\mathcal{L}_D^{[k]}(u) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_D^{[k]}(u)}{\partial u}.$$

Considering the Newton identities for the Manin matrix $\mathcal{M} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \mathcal{L}_D(u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \mathcal{L}(u; \lambda) - \widehat{D}_\lambda$ we conclude that the traces of the quantum powers form an alternative commuting family of functions for the elliptic Gaudin model:

$$\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{L}_D^{[\mathbf{k}]}(u)\right), \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{L}_D^{[\mathbf{m}]}(v)\right) \right] = 0 \qquad \mod \ \mathcal{A}_n \mathfrak{h}.$$

The proof of this formula is the same as for the rational Gaudin model. See details in [5].

Instead of the quantum powers of $\mathcal{L}_D(u)$ one can consider "simplified" quantum powers $\mathcal{L}_D(u)\mathcal{L}_D^{[\mathbf{m-1}]}(u)$. Their traces also form the commuting family of functions defining the same integrable system:

$$\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{L}_D(u)\mathcal{L}_D^{[\mathbf{k-1}]}(u)\right), \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{L}_D(v)\mathcal{L}_D^{[\mathbf{m-1}]}(v)\right) \right] = 0 \qquad \mod \ \mathcal{A}_n \mathfrak{h}.$$

In particular, this family includes the trace of the ordinary second power

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{L}_D(u)^2\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left((\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda) - \widehat{D}_\lambda)^2\right).$$

4 Conclusion

In Section 2 we have constructed a commutative family starting with the Felder R-matrix. All results of that section are valid if we would start with another dynamical R-matrix satisfying $R(-\hbar; \lambda) = B(\lambda)A^{(12)}$ for some invertible matrix $B(\lambda)$. The universality of this method also implies that one can consider an arbitrary L-operator satisfying dynamical RLL-relations. In other words, it can be applied to a wide class of models which are described by using a dynamical R-matrix. Moreover, the integrals of motion for the models corresponding to a non-dynamical R-matrix can be obtained in the same way, or more precisely as it was done in [13] for the rational case. In particular, it should work for the XYZ-model or other models described by the Belavin R-matrix.

The use of the Manin matrices is important to provide the universality of our method due to the universality of that notion. First, the characteristic polynomials of Manin matrices are key objects to obtain the commutative family of the (elliptic) $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ Gaudin model by the degeneration. Then the Manin matrices are used to relate the constructed commutative families with another important class of commutative families – the traces of the quantum powers. The Manin matrices and their characteristic polynomials find their applications to many important problems in the theory of integrable systems. It is also convenient to use the properties of Manin matrices to prove commutativity of the constructed families.

Analysing the trigonometric limit we have noticed that L-operators related with the Hopf algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$, such as the L-operator of the XXZ-model, gives also a Manin matrix after some simple twisting. This means that the corresponding quantum determinants, characteristic polynomials, quantum powers and Newton identities can be written in terms of the ordinary anti-symmetrizers $A^{(1,\dots,m)}$ by using the theory of Manin matrices, while consideration of the non-twisted L-operators leads to the using of q-deformations of these anti-symmetrizers and of a q-analogue of the Manin matrices.

In Section 3 we showed that the degeneration of each dynamical elliptic operator $L(u; \lambda)$ gives a commutative family for the corresponding degenerated L-operator $\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda)$. To justify the obtained results for a given $\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda)$ one have to prove the existence of the corresponding $L(u; \lambda)$. We considered the L-operators $\mathcal{L}(u; \lambda)$ defined by some homomorphism $\rho \colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]) \to \mathfrak{R}_0$ or a representation $\rho \colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]) \to \operatorname{End} V$. We discuss the existence of the corresponding L-operators $L(u; \lambda)$ presenting them explicitly or referring to other works.

For the representations $\rho = \Pi_{\{v\}}$ we present the corresponding $L(u; \lambda)$ using the notion of the small elliptic quantum group suggested in [14]. To obtain the commutative family in the

case of arbitrary homomorphism $\rho\colon U(\mathfrak{gl}_n[z, z^{-1}]) \to \mathfrak{R}_0$ it is sufficient to construct $L(u; \lambda)$ corresponding to $\rho = \mathrm{id}$. In the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ (technically $\mathfrak{sl}_2$) case the L-operator $L(u; \lambda)$ corresponding to $\rho = \mathrm{id}$ in fact constructed in [21]. For the general $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ (technically $\mathfrak{sl}_n$) case the (non-twisted) L-operator $L(u; \lambda)$ corresponding to $\rho = \mathrm{id}$ is written in [16] as a conjecture. One also need to relate the R-matrix using in [16] with the Felder R-matrix by some twist as in Subsection 2.3. The existence this twist can be quite easily established using the ansatz $\mathcal{F} = \exp\left(\frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \varphi_{ij}(\lambda) \hat{h}_i \otimes \hat{h}_j\right)$, where $\varphi_{ij}(\lambda) = -\varphi_{ji}(\lambda)$ are $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-valued functions. Let us finally remark that the degeneration of this twist gives the classical twist considered in Subsection 3.3: $\mathcal{F} = 1 + \frac{\hbar}{2}\mathfrak{f} + o(\hbar)$.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] Kulish P., Sklyanin E., Quantum spectral transform method. Recent developments, *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, Vol. 151, Springer, Berlin – New York, 1982, 61–119.

[2] Kirillov A., Reshetikhin N., The Yangians, Bethe ansatz and combinatorics, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **12** (1986), 199–208.

[3] Felder G., Conformal field theory and integrable systems associated to elliptic curves, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, 1247–1255, hep-th/9407154.

[4] Felder G., Elliptic quantum groups, in Proceedings of the XIth International Congress of Mathematical Physics (Paris, 1994), Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995, 211–218, hep-th/9412207.

[5] Chervov A., Falqui G., Manin matrices and Talalaev's formula, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** (2008), 194006, 28 pages, arXiv:0711.2236.

[6] Chervov A., Falqui G., Rubtsov V., Algebraic properties of Manin matrices. I, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **43** (2009), 239–315, arXiv:0901.0235.

[7] Felder G., Varchenko A., Elliptic quantum groups and Ruijsenaars models, *J. Statist. Phys.* **89** (1997), 963–980, q-alg/9704005.

[8] Zhao S.-Y., Shi K.-J., Yue R.-H., The center for the elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau,\eta}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **39** (2003), 67–72, math.QA/0412100.

[9] Nazarov M., Olshanski G., Bethe subalgebras in twisted Yangians, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **178** (1996), 483–506, q-alg/9507003.

[10] Molev A.I., Yangians and their applications, in Handbook of Algebra, Vol. 3, Editor M. Hazewinkel, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003, 907–959, math.QA/0211288.

[11] Avan J., Babelon O., Billey E., The Gervais–Neveu–Felder equation and the quantum Calogero–Moser systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **178** (1996), 281–299, hep-th/9505091.

[12] Talalaev D., Universal R-matrix formalism for the spin Calogero–Moser system and its difference counterpart, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2000** (2000), no. 11, 597–606, math.QA/9909014.

[13] Talalaev D., Quantization of the Gaudin system, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **40** (2006), no. 1, 73–77, hep-th/0404153.

[14] Tarasov V., Varchenko A., Small elliptic quantum group $e_{\tau,\gamma}(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$, *Mosc. Math. J.* **1** (2001), 243–286, math.QA/0011145.

[15] Chervov A., Falqui G., Rubtsov V., Silantyev A., Algebraic properties of Manin matrices II. q-analogues and integrable systems, to appear.

[16] Kojima T., Konno H., The elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$ and the Drinfel'd realization of the elliptic quantum group $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **239** (2003), 405–447, math.QA/0210383.

[17] Konno H., Elliptic quantum group $U_{q,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$, Hopf algebroid structure and elliptic hypergeometric series, *J. Geom. Phys.* **59** (2009), 1485–1511, arXiv:0803.2292.

[18] Enriquez B., Feigin B., Rubtsov V., Separation of variables for Gaudin–Calogero systems, *Compositio Math.* **110** (1998), 1–16, q-alg/9605030.

[19] Felder G., Schorr A., Separation of variables for quantum integrable systems on elliptic curves, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** (1999), 8001–8022, math.QA/9905072.

[20] Pakuliak S., Rubtsov V., Silantyev A., Classical elliptic current algebras. I, *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* **2** (2008), 65–78.
Pakuliak S., Rubtsov V., Silantyev A., Classical elliptic current algebras. II, *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* **2** (2008), 79–93, arXiv:0709.3592.

[21] Enriquez B., Felder G., Elliptic quantum groups $E_{\tau,\eta}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and quasi-Hopf algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **195** (1998), 651–689, q-alg/9703018.

[22] Chervov A., Talalaev D., Quantum spectral curves, quantum integrable systems and the geometric Langlands correspondence, hep-th/0604128.

[23] Chervov A., Talalaev D., KZ equation, G-opers, quantum Drinfeld–Sokolov reduction and quantum Cayley–Hamilton identity, *J. Math. Sci.* **158** (2009), 904–911, hep-th/0607250.

[24] Gould M.D., Zhang Y.-Z., Zhao S.-Y., Elliptic Gaudin models and elliptic KZ equations, *Nuclear Phys. B* **630** (2002), 492–508, nlin.SI/0110038.