

# Linear Mahler Measures and Double L-values of Modular Forms

Masha Vlasenko (Trinity College Dublin),  
Evgeny Shinder (MPIM Bonn)

Cologne

March 1, 2012

The Mahler measure of a Laurent polynomial

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$$

is defined as

$$m(P) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|x_1|=1, \dots, |x_n|=1} \log |P(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \dots \frac{dx_n}{x_n}.$$

This number is obviously a *period* in the sense of Kontsevich and Zagier.

For a monic polynomial in one variable we can compute  $m(P)$  by *Jensen's formula*:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|x|=1} \log |P(x)| \frac{dx}{x} = \sum_{\alpha: P(\alpha)=0} \max(0, \log |\alpha|)$$

C. Smyth,  $\approx 1980$ :

$$m(1 + x_1 + x_2) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} L(\chi_{-3}, 2)$$

where  $L(\chi_{-3}, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{-3}(n)}{n^s} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} - \frac{1}{5^s} + \dots$

$$m(1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3) = \frac{7}{2\pi^2} \zeta(3)$$

$$m(1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) = ?$$

**Conjecture** (F. Rodriguez Villegas):

$$m(1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) \stackrel{?}{=} 6 \left( \frac{\sqrt{-15}}{2\pi i} \right)^5 L(f_{15}, 4)$$

where

$$f_{15} = \eta(3z)^3 \eta(5z)^3 + \eta(z)^3 \eta(15z)^3$$

is a CM modular form of weight 3 and level 15. This form corresponds to a Galois representation arising from the variety

$$\begin{cases} 1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\ 1 + \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{x_3} + \frac{1}{x_4} = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow (1+x_1+x_2+x_3) \left(1 + \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{x_3}\right) = 1$$

which can be compactified to a  $K3$  surface of Picard rank 20.

## Mahler's Measures and Differential Equations

For a Laurent polynomial  $P$  the sequence

$$a_m = \text{the free coefficient of } P(x_1, \dots, x_n)^m$$

always satisfies a recursion, which can be written as a differential equation for the generating function:

$$a(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m t^m \quad \mathcal{L}\left(t, t \frac{d}{dt}\right) a(t) = 0$$

Observe that

$$m(1 + x_1 + \cdots + x_n) = \frac{1}{2}m(P_n)$$

where

$$P_n = \left(1 + x_1 + \cdots + x_n\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{x_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x_n}\right)$$

and consider the sequence of the free coefficients of the powers of  $P_n$ :

$$n = 2 \quad a_m : 1, 3, 15, 93, 639 \dots$$

$$n = 3 \quad a_m : 1, 4, 28, 256, 2716 \dots$$

$$n = 4 \quad a_m : 1, 5, 45, 545, 7885 \dots$$

The corresponding differential equations

$$\mathcal{L}_n\left(t, t \frac{d}{dt}\right) a(t) = 0$$

are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_2(t, \theta) = \theta^2 - t(10\theta^2 + 10\theta + 3) + t^2(9\theta^2 + 18\theta + 9)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_3(t, \theta) = \theta^3 - 2t(2\theta + 1)(5\theta^2 + 5\theta + 2) + 64t^2(\theta + 1)^3$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_4(t, \theta) &= \theta^4 - t(35\theta^4 + 70\theta^3 + 63\theta^2 + 28\theta + 5) \\ &+ t^2(\theta + 1)^2(259\theta^2 + 518\theta + 285) - 225t^3(\theta + 1)^2(\theta + 2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

These differential equations for  $n = 2, 3$  admit modular parametrization:

$n = 2 :$

$$t = \frac{\eta(6z)^8 \eta(z)^4}{\eta(3z)^4 \eta(2z)^8} = q - 4q^2 + 10q^3 + \dots$$

$$a = \frac{\eta(2z)^6 \eta(3z)}{\eta(z)^3 \eta(6z)^2} = 1 + 3q + 3q^2 + \dots$$



$n = 3 :$

$$t = -\left(\frac{\eta(2z)\eta(6z)}{\eta(z)\eta(3z)}\right)^6 \quad a = \frac{(\eta(z)\eta(3z))^4}{(\eta(2z)\eta(6z))^2}$$

$n = 4 :$

$\mathcal{L}_4(t, t \frac{d}{dt})$  is not a symmetric power,

hence there is no modular parametrization . . .

The generating function  $a(t)$  is related to the Mahler measure by the following trick due to F. Villegas:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|x_i|=1} \log\left(\frac{1}{t} - P(x_1, \dots, x_n)\right) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \dots \frac{dx_n}{x_n} \\ &= -\log t - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|x_i|=1} P(x_1, \dots, x_n)^m \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \dots \frac{dx_n}{x_n} \\ &= -\log t - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m} a_m = -\left(t \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} a(t) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$m(P) = -\operatorname{Re} \left( t \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} a(t) \Big|_{t=\infty}$$

Suppose the differential equation has a modular parametrization, then this reduces to evaluation at the cusp where  $t = \infty$  of

$$\left(t \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} a(t) = \left(\frac{t}{Dt} D\right)^{-1} a = D^{-1} \left[\frac{Dt}{t} a\right],$$

where  $D = q \frac{d}{dq} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{dz}$ , and we reprove C.Smyth's formulas:

$$m(1 + x_1 + x_2) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} L(\chi_{-3}, 2)$$

$$m(1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3) = \frac{7}{2\pi^2} \zeta(3)$$

**Idea:**  $m(P_n)$  can be also calculated as

$$m(P_n) = -\operatorname{Re} \left( t \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} b(t) \Big|_{t=\infty}$$

where  $b(t)$  is a solution of

$$\mathcal{L}_{n-1} \left( t, t \frac{d}{dt} \right) b(t) = h(t)$$

with some simple rational function  $h(t)$  in the right-hand side.

Recall that  $\mathcal{L}_4$  is not modular, but  $\mathcal{L}_3$  is!

**Theorem 1.** Consider the following analytic at  $t = 0$  solutions  $a(t)$  and  $b(t)$  of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2\left(t, t \frac{d}{dt}\right) a(t) &= 0 & a(t) &= 1 + 3t + \dots \\ \mathcal{L}_2\left(t, t \frac{d}{dt}\right) b(t) &= \frac{1}{1-t} & b(t) &= \frac{1}{9}t + \frac{2}{3}t^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$m(P_3) = -\frac{27\sqrt{3}}{8\pi^3} \left(t \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} \left[ \frac{\pi^2}{72} a(t) + b(t) \right] \Big|_{t=\infty}.$$

**Theorem 2.** Consider the solution  $b(t) = \frac{4}{5} + O(t)$  of

$$\mathcal{L}_3\left(t, t \frac{d}{dt}\right) b(t) = h(t)$$

where

$$h(t) = -\frac{3\sqrt{5}\Omega^2}{10\pi} \frac{t(212t^2 + 251t - 13)}{(1-t)^3} + \frac{3\sqrt{5}}{5\pi^3\Omega^2} \frac{t}{1-t},$$

and  $\Omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{30\pi}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{14} \Gamma(j/15)^{\chi_K(j)}\right)^{1/4}$  is the Chowla-Selberg period for the field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15})$ . Then

$$m(P_4) = -\left(t \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} b(t) \Big|_{t=\infty}.$$

**Proof:** Consider the 1-parametric family of varieties

$$X_\lambda : P_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lambda$$

and define

$$\Omega_n(\lambda) = \int_{X_\lambda \cap \{|x_i|=1\}} \omega_\lambda$$

where the  $(n-1)$ -form  $\omega_\lambda$  is defined by

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n} = \omega_\lambda \wedge d\lambda.$$

Then  $\Omega_n(\lambda)$  is a period for this family and satisfies the Picard-Fuchs differential equation

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_n(\lambda, \lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda}) \Omega_n(\lambda) = 0.$$

We obviously have

$$m(P_n) = \int_0^{(n+1)^2} \log(\lambda) \Omega_n(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

and by Jensen's formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n+1}} \int_{|x_i|=1} \log |1 + x_1 + \cdots + x_{n+1}| \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \cdots \frac{dx_{n+1}}{x_{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|x_i|=1, |1+x_1+\cdots+x_n|>1} \log |1 + x_1 + \cdots + x_n| \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \cdots \frac{dx_n}{x_n} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$m(P_{n+1}) = \int_1^{(n+1)^2} \log(\lambda) \Omega_n(\lambda) d\lambda.$$



We observe that if  $\Omega(\lambda)$  satisfies

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\left(\lambda, \lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda}\right) \Omega(\lambda) = 0$$

then the generating function for the moments along an arbitrary path

$$b_n = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \lambda^n \Omega(\lambda) d\lambda \quad b(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n t^n$$

satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}\left(t, t \frac{d}{dt}\right) b(t) = h_{\beta}(t) - h_{\alpha}(t)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(t, \theta) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(1/t, -\theta - 1)$  and  $h_{\alpha}(t)$  is a simple rational function which depends only on the values of  $\Omega$  and its derivatives at  $\lambda = \alpha$  and can have a pole only at  $t = \alpha$ .

In the case of  $\mathcal{L}_n$  we have  $h_\alpha(t) = 0$  for  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = (n + 1)^2$ .

For the modular parametrization  $t(z)$  of  $\mathcal{L}_3$  preimages of  $t = 1$  are CM points in the field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15})$ , therefore the Chowla-Selberg period for this field appears when we compute the right-hand side  $h_\alpha(t)$  with  $\alpha = 1$ .

(The end of the proof.)

## ... and Double L-values of Modular Forms

To compute  $m(P_3)$  and  $m(P_4)$  using the above theorems we have to evaluate

$$\left(t \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} a(t) \Big|_{t=\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(t \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} b(t) \Big|_{t=\infty}$$

where  $a(t)$ ,  $b(t)$  are solutions of

$$\mathcal{L}\left(t, t \frac{d}{dt}\right) a(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}\left(t, t \frac{d}{dt}\right) b(t) = h(t)$$

and  $\mathcal{L}$  has a modular parametrization, i.e. we are given a modular function  $t(q)$  and a modular form  $a(q)$  of weight  $k$ , where  $k + 1$  is the degree of the differential operator  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $D = q \frac{d}{dq}$ , and suppose our modular parametrization is such that  $t = \infty$  corresponds to  $q = 1$ . Then

$$\left(t \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} a(t) \Big|_{t=\infty} = \left(\frac{t}{Dt} D\right)^{-1} a \Big|_{q=1} = D^{-1} f \Big|_{q=1} = L(f, 1)$$

for the modular form  $f = Dt \cdot a/t$  of weight  $k + 2$ . Here for  $f = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m q^m$  the L-function is defined by

$$L(f, s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m^s}$$

for sufficiently large  $\operatorname{Re}(s)$  and by analytic continuation otherwise.

Analogously, since the pull-back of  $\mathcal{L}$  under the modular parametrization is given (up to a constant multiplier) by

$$\mathcal{L}\left(t, t \frac{d}{dt}\right) \doteq \frac{1}{Dt \cdot a} D^{k+1} \frac{1}{a}$$

we have  $D^{k+1}[b/a] = Dt \cdot a \cdot h(t)$ . In other words,  $b/a$  is an Eichler integral of a modular form of weight  $k + 2$ . Finally,

$$\left(t \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} b(t) \Big|_{t=\infty} = D^{-1}[f \cdot D^{-(k+1)}g] \Big|_{q=1} = L(g, f, k + 1, 1)$$

where  $f = Dt \cdot a/t$  and  $g = Dt \cdot a \cdot h(t)$  are both of weight  $k + 2$ .

For two forms of weight  $k$

$$g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n \quad f = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m q^m$$

( $g$  is a cusp form) their L-function is defined by

$$L(g, f, s_1, s_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n b_m}{n^{s_1} (n+m)^{s_2}}.$$

for  $\operatorname{Re}(s_1 + s_2) > 2k$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(s_2) > k$  and by analytic continuation otherwise. Critical double L-values ( $0 < s_1, s_2 < k$ , integers) are periods in the sense of Kontsevich and Zagier.

Applying the above strategy in the known case  $m(P_3) = \frac{7\zeta(3)}{\pi^2}$  we get the following equality:

$$-\frac{14\pi}{3\sqrt{3}}\zeta(3) + \frac{\pi^2}{4}L'(\chi_{-3}, -1) = L(g, f, 2, 1)$$

or

$$-\frac{2\pi^3}{3\sqrt{3}}m(P_3) + \frac{\pi^2}{8}m(P_2) = L(g, f, 2, 1)$$

where the forms  $g, f$  of weight 3 are given by

$$g = q + 4q^2 + q^3 - 16q^4 + \dots = E(z) + 7E(2z) - 8E(4z)$$

$$f = 1 + q - 5q^2 + q^3 + \dots = E(z) - 2E(2z) - 8E(4z)$$

with  $E(z) = -\frac{1}{9} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{d|n} \chi_{-3}(d) d^2 q^n \in M_3(\Gamma_0(3), \chi_{-3})$ .

Analogously, the number

$$m(P_4) \stackrel{?}{=} 12 \left( \frac{\sqrt{-15}}{2\pi i} \right)^5 L(f_{15}, 4)$$

from Villegas' conjecture is an expression involving  $\pi$ , the Chowla-Selberg period  $\Omega_K$  and double L-values of meromorphic modular forms with poles at CM points in the same field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15})$ .