

1000–1M19WFM Introduction to Modular Forms
Tutorial 3

Written assignment: exercises marked with (H), due on March 29.

1. In Exercise 6 of Assignment 1 we discovered that the Eisenstein series can be normalized to have rational Fourier coefficients:

$$E_k(z) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n)q^n.$$

Here $q = e^{2\pi iz}$, $\sigma_s(n) = \sum_{m|n} m^s$ and $B_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ are the Bernoulli numbers defined by $\frac{x}{e^x-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{k!} x^k$. Note that the q -series E_4 and E_6 have coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . Using elementary arguments (e.g. Fermat's Little Theorem), show that the coefficients of $E_4^3 - E_6^2$ are divisible by 1728.

- (H)2. Consider the coefficients in the expansion of the following cusp form of weight 12:

$$\Delta = \frac{E_4^3 - E_6^2}{1728} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n.$$

By the previous exercise, we have $\tau(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$. The function $\tau : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is called *the Ramanujan tau function* after the Indian mathematician Srinivasa Ramanujan, who experimented a lot with it. In 1916 he conjectured that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(mn) &= \tau(m)\tau(n) \text{ when } m, n \text{ are coprime} \\ \tau(p^{r+1}) - \tau(p)\tau(p^r) + p^{11}\tau(p^{r-1}) &= 0 \text{ for every } r \geq 1 \text{ and } p \text{ prime.} \end{aligned}$$

We will prove this later, using Hecke operators. Ramanujan also discovered several congruence properties one of which is the subject of this exercise.

Prove that

$$\tau(n) \equiv \sigma_{11}(n) \pmod{691}.$$

Hint: Notice that $B_{12} = -\frac{691}{2730}$, so $E_{12} = 1 + \frac{65520}{691} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{11}(n)q^n$.

- (H)3. Let $E_2(z) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(n)q^n$ be the Eisenstein series of weight 2. In Assignment 2 we have seen that the transformation properties of this function are close to those of a modular form of weight 2.

Show that if $f \in M_k$ and δ denotes the derivation $q \frac{d}{dq} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{dz}$, then

$$\delta f - \frac{k}{12} E_2 f \in M_{k+2}.$$

(This modular form is sometimes called the Serre derivative of f .)

- (H)4. Show that the ring $\mathbb{C}[E_2, E_4, E_6]$ is closed under derivation $\frac{d}{dz}$. More specifically, prove that

$$\delta E_2 = \frac{E_2^2 - E_4}{12}, \quad \delta E_4 = \frac{E_2 E_4 - E_6}{3}, \quad \delta E_6 = \frac{E_2 E_6 - E_4^2}{2}.$$

These identities were first observed by Ramanujan, who used them to prove some curious congruence properties of the partition function $p(n)$ (see our Project 1).

Elements of the ring $\widetilde{M} = \mathbb{C}[E_2, E_4, E_6]$ are called quasimodular forms. See §5.3 of [1-2-3] for their intrinsic definition.

Congruence subgroups

5. For an integer $N > 1$ we want to compute the indices of the following group inclusions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc = 1 \right\} \\
 \cup \\
 \Gamma_0(N) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : \dots, c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\} \\
 \cup \\
 \Gamma_1(N) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : \dots, c \equiv 0, a, d \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \right\} \\
 \cup \\
 \Gamma(N) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : \dots, b, c \equiv 0, a, d \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

The index is multiplicative: for $H_1 \subset H_2 \subset G$, one has $[G : H_1] = [G : H_2] \cdot [H_2 : H_1]$.

Since $\Gamma(N) = \text{Ker}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}))$, $\Gamma(N)$ is a normal subgroup and $[\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(N)] = \#\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

a) Let p be a prime and let e be a positive integer. Show that $\#\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}) = p^{3e}(1 - 1/p^2)$.

Hint: For $e = 1$, $\#\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is the number of bases of \mathbb{F}_p^2 , and $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is the kernel of the surjective determinant map to \mathbb{F}_p^\times . For the induction, count $\text{Ker}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^{e+1}\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}))$. This map surjects since $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z})$ does.

b) Show that $\#\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = N^3 \prod_{p|N} (1 - 1/p^2)$.

c) Show that the map $\Gamma_1(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto b \pmod{N}$$

surjects and has kernel $\Gamma(N)$. Compute $[\Gamma_1(N) : \Gamma(N)]$.

d) Show that the map $\Gamma_0(N) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto d \pmod{N}$$

surjects and has kernel $\Gamma_1(N)$. Compute $[\Gamma_0(N) : \Gamma_1(N)]$.

e) Show that $[\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(N)] = N \prod_{p|N} (1 + 1/p)$.