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#### Abstract

A singular rank one perturbation $A_{\alpha}=A+\alpha\langle\varphi, \cdot\rangle \varphi$ of a selfadjoint operator $A$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is considered, where $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{R} \cup \infty$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-2}$ but $\varphi \notin \mathcal{H}_{-1}$, with $\mathcal{H}_{s}, s \in \mathbf{R}$, the usual $A$-scale of Hilbert spaces. A modified version of the Aronszajn-Krein formula is given. It has the form $F_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{F(z)-\alpha}{1+\alpha F(z)}$ where $F_{\alpha}$ denotes the regularized Borel transform of the scalar spectral measure of $A_{\alpha}$ associated with $\varphi$. Using this formula we develop a variant of the well known Aronszajn-Donoghue spectral theory for a general rank one perturbation of the $\mathcal{H}_{-2}$ class.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $A=A^{*}$ be a self-adjoint unbounded operator in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\left\{\mathcal{H}_{k}(A)\right\}_{k \in \mathbf{R}}$ denote the associated $A$-scale of Hilbert spaces and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ the dual inner product between $\mathcal{H}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{-k}$.

The original Donoghue's paper [8] (see also [5]) treats the spectral theory of singular rank one perturbations

$$
A_{\alpha}=A+\alpha\langle\varphi, \cdot\rangle \varphi, 0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{R} \cup \infty
$$

for the case $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-2} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ in terms of von Neumann's theory of self-adjoint extensions of the symmetric operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{A}=A \upharpoonright\{f \in \mathcal{D}(A):\langle f, \varphi\rangle=0\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with deficiency indices $(1,1)$.

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-1}$, then the spectral theory has an elegant presentation [12] in terms of the Borel transform

$$
\Phi(z)=\left\langle\varphi,(A-z)^{-1} \varphi\right\rangle=\int \frac{d \mu(\lambda)}{\lambda-z}
$$

of the spectral measure $\mu$ uniquely defined by

$$
\langle\varphi, f(A) \varphi\rangle=\int f(\lambda) d \mu(\lambda)
$$

where $f$ runs a family of bounded compactly supported measurable functions. The crucial role in the spectral theory of rank one perturbations is played by the classical Aronszajn-Krein formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{\Phi(z)}{1+\alpha \Phi(z)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{\alpha}(z)=\left\langle\varphi,\left(A_{\alpha}-z\right)^{-1} \varphi\right\rangle\left(\Phi(z):=\Phi_{\alpha=0}(z)\right)$ is well defined due to $\varphi \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$.

However in the case where $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-2} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ both expressions $\left\langle\varphi,(A-z)^{-1} \varphi\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\varphi,\left(A_{\alpha}-z\right)^{-1} \varphi\right\rangle$ fail to exist, since $(A-z)^{-1} \varphi \notin \mathcal{H}_{2}$. So, in order to extend the formulation of spectral theory to this case, we need at first to make an appropriate change of the Aronszajn-Krein formula.

In this paper for the case $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-2} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ we derive a modified version of the Aronszajn-Krein formula

$$
F_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{F(z)-\alpha}{1+\alpha F(z)}
$$

where $F(z)$ denotes a regularization of the Borel transform of the spectral measure $\mu=\mu_{\varphi}$. Then we develop a spectral theory in this case similar to the AronszajnDonoghue spectral theory, which was presented in [12] only for $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-1}$.

## 2. Self-adjoint extensions and Borel transform

Let $A=A^{*}$ be a self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.
Here we use only a part of the $A$-scale of Hilbert spaces:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{-2} \supset \mathcal{H}_{-1} \supset \mathcal{H}_{0} \equiv \mathcal{H} \supset \mathcal{H}_{1} \supset \mathcal{H}_{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{k} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{k}(A)=\mathcal{D}\left(|A|^{k / 2}\right), k=1,2$, in the norm $\|\varphi\|_{k}:=\left\|(|A|+I)^{k / 2} \varphi\right\|$, where $I$ stands for identity, and $\mathcal{H}_{-k} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{-k}(A)$ is the dual space $\left(\mathcal{H}_{-k}\right.$ is the completion of $\mathcal{H}$ in the norm $\left.\|f\|_{-k}:=\left\|(|A|+I)^{-k / 2} f\right\|\right)$. Obviously $A$ is bounded as a map from $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ and from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{-2}$, and therefore the expression $\langle f, A g\rangle$ has sense for any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-2} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{-1},\|\varphi\|_{-2}=1$, be fixed.
Define a rank one (singular) perturbation $A_{\alpha}$ of $A$, formally written as $A_{\alpha}=$ $A+\alpha\langle\varphi, \cdot\rangle \varphi, 0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{R} \cup \infty\left(\infty^{-1}:=0\right)$ by Krein's resolvent formula (see $[1,2,3,4,9,10,11])$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{\alpha}-z\right)^{-1}=(A-z)^{-1}-b_{\alpha}^{-1}(z)\left(\eta_{\bar{z}}, \cdot\right) \eta_{z}, \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\eta_{z}=(A-z)^{-1} \varphi
$$

and the scalar function $b_{\alpha}(z)$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\alpha}(z)-b_{\alpha}(\zeta)=(\zeta-z)\left(\eta_{z}, \eta_{\zeta}\right), \quad \bar{b}_{\alpha}(z)=b_{\alpha}(\bar{z}), \quad \operatorname{Im} z, \operatorname{Im} \zeta \neq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular one can put

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{1}{\alpha}+F(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
F(z)=\langle\varphi, & \left.\left((A-z)^{-1}-\frac{1}{2}\left((A-i)^{-1}+(A+i)^{-1}\right)\right) \varphi\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\varphi, \frac{1+z A}{A-z}\left(A^{2}+1\right)^{-1} \varphi\right\rangle . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (2.3) is obviously fulfilled. So we can write

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(A_{\alpha}-z\right)^{-1}=(A-z)^{-1}-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha F(z)}\left((A-\bar{z})^{-1} \varphi, \cdot\right)(A-z)^{-1} \varphi, & \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0 . \\
\left(A_{\infty}-z\right)^{-1}=(A-z)^{-1}-\frac{1}{F(z)}\left((A-\bar{z})^{-1} \varphi, \cdot\right)(A-z)^{-1} \varphi, & \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{array}
$$

Note that one can consider (2.6) as the generalization of the corresponding formula for the resolvent in the regular case $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ (see [8], [12]). In this situation $A_{\alpha}$ is a bounded rank one perturbation of $A$ and (2.6) is valid if one replaces $F(z)$ by $\Phi(z)$. Moreover, this regular variant of (2.6) remains true for $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ ([12]), in particular for the case $A_{\alpha} \geq A \geq 0$, since $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ with necessity ([10]).

Let $\mathcal{A}(\dot{A})$ denote the family of all self-adjoint extensions of the symmetric operator $\dot{A}$.
Proposition 2.1. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-2} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ and $\dot{A}$ is given by (1.1). Then each $\widetilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}(\dot{A})$, $\widetilde{A} \neq A$, is uniquely defined by Krein's formula (2.2) with $b_{\alpha}(z)$ given by (2.4) and (2.5), i.e., each $\widetilde{A}$ coincides with some $A_{\alpha}, 0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{R} \cup \infty$, where the resolvent of $A_{\alpha}$ has a form (2.6) or (2.7).
Proof. Let $\widetilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}(\dot{A})$. Then its resolvent has the form

$$
(\widetilde{A}-z)^{-1}=(A-z)^{-1}-\widetilde{b}^{-1}(z)\left(\eta_{\bar{z}}, \cdot\right) \eta_{z}, \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0
$$

with a scalar function $\widetilde{b}(z)$ which satisfies (2.3). Therefore

$$
\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{b}(z)=-\operatorname{Im} z\left\|\eta_{z}\right\|^{2}
$$

and we have

$$
\widetilde{b}(z)=c-\operatorname{Im} z\left\|\eta_{z}\right\|^{2}
$$

with some $c=c(z) \in \mathbf{R}$. We observe now that

$$
\widetilde{b}(z)=b_{\alpha}(z), \quad \text { if } \quad c=\frac{1}{\alpha}+\operatorname{Re} F(z)
$$

Let $\mathbf{E}(\cdot)$ be the operator spectral measure (the resolution of the identity) of $A$, and $\mu(\Delta) \equiv \mu_{\varphi}(\Delta)=(\varphi, \mathbf{E}(\Delta) \varphi)$ denote the scalar spectral measure of $A$ associated with $\varphi$. This measure is not finite as $\varphi \notin \mathcal{H}$. One can introduce a regularization of this measure by

$$
d \mu^{\mathrm{reg}}(x):=\frac{d \mu(x)}{1+x^{2}}
$$

so that $\mu^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbf{R})=\int d \mu^{\mathrm{reg}}(x)=1$. Clearly the measures $\mu$ and $\mu^{\mathrm{reg}}$ are equivalent. It is convenient to introduce the regularized version of the Borel transform of $\mu$ as follows (cf. (2.5))

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\int\left(\frac{1}{x-z}-\frac{x}{1+x^{2}}\right) d \mu(x)=\int \frac{1+z x}{x-z} d \mu^{\mathrm{reg}}(x) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the operator spectral measure $\mathbf{E}_{\alpha}(\cdot)$ for $A_{\alpha}$. Similarly to above constructions one can introduce

$$
\mu_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\Delta):=\left((A+i)^{-1} \varphi, \mathbf{E}_{\alpha}(\Delta)(A+i)^{-1} \varphi\right)
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mu_{\alpha}(x):=\left(1+x^{2}\right) d \mu_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{reg}}(x) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Henceforth, we assume that $\varphi$ is a cyclic vector for $A$, i.e. $\left\{(A-z)^{-1} \varphi: \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0\right\}$ is a total set of $\mathcal{H}$. In general, if $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}$ denotes the closed subspace in $\mathcal{H}$ generated by vectors from this set, then $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}$ is an invariant subspace for each $A_{\alpha}$ and $A_{\alpha}=A$ on the orthogonal complement to $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}$. Thus the extension from the cyclic to general case is trivial. It is easy to see that $(A+i)^{-1} \varphi$ is a cyclic vector for $A_{\alpha}$ (cf. [8]) and $\mu_{\alpha}$ is equivalent to the spectral measure $\mathbf{E}_{\alpha}(\cdot)$. In the following we shall say that $\mu_{\alpha}$ is a scalar spectral measure of $A_{\alpha}$ associated with $\varphi$.

Let $F_{\alpha}$ be the regularized Borel transform of $\mu_{\alpha}(c f .(2.8))$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha}(z):=\int\left(\frac{1}{x-z}-\frac{x}{1+x^{2}}\right) d \mu_{\alpha}(x)=\int \frac{1+z x}{x-z} d \mu_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{reg}}(x) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $F_{0}(z)=F(z)$. Note that one can rewrite (2.10) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\alpha}(z)=\left((A+i)^{-1} \varphi,\left(A_{\alpha}-z\right)^{-1}\left(1+z A_{\alpha}\right)(A+i)^{-1} \varphi\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
& =\left(1+z^{2}\right)\left((A+i)^{-1} \varphi,\left(A_{\alpha}-z\right)^{-1}(A+i)^{-1} \varphi\right)+z
\end{align*}
$$

We recall that the classical Aronszajn-Krein formula has the form (1.2) where $\Phi_{\alpha}$ is the Borel transform of the measure $\mu_{\alpha}$. In the considered situation where $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-2} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{-1}$, the Borel transform of $\mu_{\alpha}$ is not well defined and we have the following modification of (1.2).

Lemma 2.2. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-2} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ the function $F_{\alpha}(z)$ admits the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{F(z)-\alpha}{1+\alpha F(z)}, \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0,0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{R} \cup \infty \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. For $\alpha=\infty$ (2.12) means that

$$
F_{\infty}(z)=-\frac{1}{F(z)}
$$

Proof. By (2.11), (2.6)

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{\alpha}(z)=F(z)-\frac{\alpha\left(1+z^{2}\right)}{1+\alpha F(z)}\left((A-\bar{z})^{-1} \varphi,(A+i)^{-1} \varphi\right)\left((A+i)^{-1} \varphi,(A-z)^{-1} \varphi\right) \\
=F(z)-\frac{\alpha(F(z)-F(-i))(F(z)-F(i))}{1+\alpha F(z)}=\frac{F(z)-\alpha}{1+\alpha F(z)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here we have used the following simple identies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left((A-\bar{z})^{-1} \varphi,(A+i)^{-1} \varphi\right)=\frac{F(z)-F(-i)}{z+i}, \\
\left((A+i)^{-1} \varphi,(A-z)^{-1} \varphi\right)=\frac{F(z)-F(i)}{z-i}, \\
F(i)=i\left(\varphi,\left(A^{2}+1\right)^{-1} \varphi\right)=\|\varphi\|_{-2}=i, \quad F(-i)=-i .
\end{gathered}
$$

## 3. Spectral theory

Although the classical Aronszajn-Krein formula (1.2) in the considered case with $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-2} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ is changed into its modified version (2.12), the main features of Donoghue's spectral theory are preserved in the same form as for the case $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-1}$.

Recall that a holomorphic function $G: \mathbf{C}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{+}\left(\mathbf{C}^{+}\right.$denotes the open upper halfplane) is said to be an R-function (or Herglotz, or Nevanlinna function). Each R-function admits the following representation (see, e.g., $[5,6]$ ):

$$
G(z)=a+b z+\int\left(\frac{1}{x-z}-\frac{x}{1+x^{2}}\right) d \sigma(x), z \in \mathbf{C}^{+}
$$

Here $a \in \mathbf{R}, b \geq 0$, and $\sigma$ is a Borel measure on $\mathbf{R}$ such that

$$
\int \frac{d \sigma(x)}{1+x^{2}}<\infty
$$

First of all recall that $\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} G(x+i \varepsilon)$ exists and is finite for (Lebesgue) a.e. $x$. Moreover one can derive the properties of the measure $\sigma$ from the boundary behavior of the corresponding Herglotz function on the real axis. According to the Lebesgue-Jordan decomposition $\sigma=\sigma_{\mathrm{ac}}+\sigma_{\text {sing }}, \sigma_{\text {sing }}=\sigma_{\mathrm{sc}}+\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$, where $\sigma_{\mathrm{ac}}, \sigma_{\mathrm{sing}}, \sigma_{\mathrm{sc}}, \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ are the absolutely continuous, singular, singular continuous, and pure point parts of $\sigma$, respectively. We need the following well known result (see, e.g., $[5,6,8,7,12])$.

Lemma 3.1. Introduce the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(\sigma) & =\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}: \operatorname{Im} G(x+i 0)=\infty, \lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \operatorname{Im} G(x+i \varepsilon)=0\right\} \\
P(\sigma) & =\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}: \operatorname{Im} G(x+i 0)=\infty, \lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \operatorname{Im} G(x+i \varepsilon)>0\right\} \\
L(\sigma) & =\{x \in \mathbf{R}: 0<\operatorname{Im} G(x+i 0)<\infty\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then
(i) $\sigma_{a c}$ is supported on $L(\sigma)$,
(ii) $\sigma_{s c}$ is supported on $S(\sigma)$,
(iii) $\sigma_{p}$ is supported on $P(\sigma)$ and for each $x \in \mathbf{R}$ one has

$$
\sigma(\{x\})=\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \operatorname{Im} G(x+i \varepsilon)
$$

Let $\mu(\cdot)=(\varphi, \mathbf{E}(\cdot) \varphi)$ be the scalar spectral measure of $A$ associated with $\varphi$, $F(\cdot)$ be a regularized transform of $\mu$ (see (2.8)). Introduce the function

$$
H(x)=\int \frac{d \mu(y)}{(x-y)^{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}
$$

We remark that

$$
\operatorname{Im} F(x+i \varepsilon)=\int \frac{\varepsilon}{(x-y)^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}} d \mu(y)
$$

By the monotone convergence theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{Im} F(x+i \varepsilon)=H(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that if $H(x)<\infty$ then (cf. [12]) $\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} F(x+i \varepsilon)$ exists and is real. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}(i \varepsilon)^{-1}[F(x+i \varepsilon)-F(x+i 0)]=H(x) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main result is as follows:
Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-2} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ and $\mu_{\alpha}$ (see (2.9)) be the scalar spectral measure of $A_{\alpha}$ associated with $\varphi$. For $\alpha \neq 0$, define the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\alpha} & =\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}: F(x+i 0)=-\alpha^{-1}, H(x)=\infty\right\} \\
P_{\alpha} & =\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}: F(x+i 0)=-\alpha^{-1}, H(x)<\infty\right\} \\
L & =\{x \in \mathbf{R}: 0<\operatorname{Im} F(x+i 0)<\infty\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then
(i) $P_{\alpha}$ is the set of eigenvalues of $A_{\alpha},\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)_{a c}$ is supported on $L,\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)_{s c}$ is supported on $S_{\alpha}$.
(ii) $\left\{S_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \neq 0},\left\{P_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \neq 0}$ and $L$ are mutually disjoint.
(iii) For $\alpha \neq \beta$, $\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)_{\text {sing }}$ and $\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)_{\text {sing }}$ are mutually singular.

Proof. (ii) is obvious and (iii) follows from (i) and (ii). By the modified AronszainKrein formula (2.12) (cf. [12])

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Im} F_{\alpha}(z)=\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right) \frac{\operatorname{Im} F(z)}{|1+\alpha F(z)|^{2}}, \quad \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0, \quad \alpha \neq \infty  \tag{3.3}\\
\operatorname{Im} F_{\infty}(z)=\frac{\operatorname{Im} F(z)}{|F(z)|^{2}}, \quad \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then,

$$
L=\{x \in \mathbf{R}: \operatorname{Im} F(x+i 0) \neq 0\}=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}: \operatorname{Im} F_{\alpha}(x+i 0) \neq 0\right\}=L\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)
$$

This proves that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)_{\mathrm{ac}}$ is supported on $L$. By Lemma $3.1\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)_{\text {sing }}$ is supported by

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}: \operatorname{Im} F_{\alpha}(x+i 0)=\infty\right\}
$$

If we suppose that $F(x+i 0)=-\alpha^{-1}(0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{R} \cup \infty)$, then by (3.1) - (3.4)

$$
\mu_{\alpha}(\{x\})=\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \operatorname{Im} F_{\alpha}(x+i \varepsilon)=\frac{1+\alpha^{2}}{\alpha^{2} H(x)} \quad(0<|\alpha|<\infty)
$$

and for $\alpha=\infty$,

$$
\mu_{\infty}(\{x\})=\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \operatorname{Im} F_{\infty}(x+i \varepsilon)=\frac{1}{H(x)}
$$

Now the proof follows from the Lemma 3.1.
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