

Yu. A. Drozd, S. A. Ovsienko,
and V. M. Futorny

UDC 512.554.3

We define Harish-Chandra S-homomorphism which generalizes the classical Harish-Chandra homomorphism and study its properties. For \mathfrak{G} -modules ($\mathfrak{G} \neq E_7, E_8$), generated by semiprimitive elements we prove the existence of composition sequences.

In this article we construct a generalization of the classical Harish-Chandra homomorphism [1]. We then use our results to study the structure of modules generated by semiprimitive elements [2, 3].

1. The Harish-Chandra S-Homomorphism and Its Properties. Let \mathfrak{G} be a complex simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra of rank n , \mathfrak{H} its cartesian subalgebra, Δ a system of roots of \mathfrak{G} , π a basis of the system of roots Δ , $\Delta^+ = \Delta^+(\pi)$ the set of positive roots in Δ with respect to π , $W = W(\Delta)$ the Weyl group of the system Δ , and \mathfrak{G}^α the root subspace corresponding to a root α .

Let $\pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\pi_S = \{\alpha_i | i \in S\}$. Denote by $\Delta_S = \Delta_S(\pi_S)$ a subsystem of roots in Δ generated by π_S . Furthermore, let $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \pi\}$ be a basis of the Cartesian subalgebra \mathfrak{H} , such that $\alpha(H_\alpha) = 2$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$. Let \mathfrak{H}_S and \mathfrak{H}^S be subalgebras $\langle H_\alpha | \alpha \in \pi_S \rangle$ and $\langle H_\alpha | \alpha(H) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_S \rangle$, respectively.

For every $\alpha \in \Delta$ choose $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}^\alpha \setminus \{0\}$ and define the following subalgebras of \mathfrak{G} :

$$\mathfrak{G}_S = \langle X_{\pm\alpha} | \alpha \in \pi_S \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{N}_S^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_S} \mathfrak{G}^\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{N}_S^- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_S} \mathfrak{G}^{-\alpha}.$$

Let $U(\mathfrak{G})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the algebra \mathfrak{G} , and let $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ be the center of $U(\mathfrak{G})$. Let Q (respectively, Q_S) be the group of radical weights of the system (respectively, Δ_S). Then the \mathfrak{G} -module structure with respect to adjoint representation on $U(\mathfrak{G})$ defines a Q -graduation on it: $U(\mathfrak{G}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in Q} U(\mathfrak{G})_\lambda$.

LEMMA 1. Let $L_S = U(\mathfrak{G}) \mathfrak{N}_S^+ \cap U(\mathfrak{G})_0$. Then 1) L_S is a two-sided ideal in $U(\mathfrak{G})_0$, 2) $L_S = \mathfrak{N}_S^- U(\mathfrak{G}) \cap U(\mathfrak{G})_0$; 3) $U(\mathfrak{G})_0 = L_S \oplus U(\mathfrak{G}_S)_0 \otimes U(\mathfrak{H}^S)$.

The lemma is proven analogously to Lemma 7.4.2 in [1].

Definition: A Harish-Chandra S-homomorphism (with respect to a basis π) is a projection $\varphi_{S,\pi}: U(\mathfrak{G})_0 \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{G}_S)_0 \otimes S(\mathfrak{H}^S)$.

Remarks. 1. $\text{Ker } \varphi_{S,\pi} = L_S$. 2. A Harish-Chandra S-homomorphism $\varphi_{S,\pi}$ is uniquely defined by a set $\Delta^+(\pi) \setminus \Delta_S(\pi_S)$. 3. $\varphi_{\emptyset,\pi}$ is the classical Harish-Chandra homomorphism with respect to the basis π . 4. $\text{Ker } \times (\varphi_{S,\pi}|_{Z(\mathfrak{G})}) = 0$ and $\varphi_{S,\pi}(Z(\mathfrak{G})) \subset Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{H}^S)$ where $Z(\mathfrak{G}_S)$ is the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{G}_S)$.

It is known that Harish-Chandra ϕ -homomorphisms are in a one-to-one correspondence with bases of the system of roots Δ , i.e., their number is equal to $|W|$. Harish-Chandra S-homomorphisms are described similarly.

LEMMA 2. Let $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\Omega = \{\Delta^+(\omega\pi) \setminus \Delta_S(\omega\pi_S) \mid \omega \in W\}$. The group W acts on the set Ω transitively.

The proof is obvious, since $\Delta^+(\omega\pi) \setminus \Delta_S(\omega\pi_S) = \omega \times (\Delta^+(\pi) \setminus \Delta_S(\pi_S))$ for all $\omega \in W$.

Proposition 1. Let $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, W_S the Weyl group of the system of roots Δ_S , and $N(W_S)$ the normalizer of the group W_S in W . Then 1) Harish-Chandra S -homomorphisms are in one-to-one correspondence with cosets W/W_S , and 2) Harish-Chandra S -homomorphisms with a fixed root subsystem $\Delta_S(\pi)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with cosets $N(W_S)/W_S$.

Proof: Define a set $\Omega = \{\Delta^+(\omega\pi) \setminus \Delta_S(\omega\pi_S) \mid \omega \in W\}$. The number of different Harish-Chandra S -homomorphisms is equal to $|\Omega|$. Fix a set $\Delta^+(\pi) \setminus \Delta_S(\pi) \in \Omega$. Then $\text{st}(\Delta^+(\pi) \setminus \Delta_S(\pi)) = W_S$ with respect to the action of the group W on Ω . Therefore, assertion 1 follows from Lemma 2. Furthermore, every element $\Delta^+(\omega\pi) \setminus \Delta_S(\omega\pi_S)$ of the set Ω uniquely defines a set $\Delta_S(\omega\pi_S)$, but this correspondence is not injective. This means that there exist two distinct $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in W$ such that $\Delta_S(\omega_1\pi_S) = \Delta_S(\omega_2\pi_S)$. Consider the natural transitive action of the group W on the set of pairs $\Omega = \{(\Delta^+(\omega\pi) \setminus \Delta_S(\omega\pi_S), \Delta_S(\omega\pi_S)) \mid \omega \in W\}$. We see that the number of different Harish-Chandra S -homomorphisms with a fixed $\Delta_S(\pi)$ is equal to the number of different elements in Ω with $\Delta_S(\pi)$ at the second place, i.e., $(\text{st}(\Delta_S(\pi)) : \text{st}(\Delta^+(\pi) \setminus \Delta_S(\pi))) = (N(W_S) : W_S)$, which proves statement 2.

Let V be some weight \mathfrak{G} -module, i.e., $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{H}^*} V_\lambda$, where $V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid Hv = \lambda(H)v \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{H}\}$. Let $\text{supp } V = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{H}^* \mid V_\lambda \neq 0\}$. The elements of $\text{supp } V$ are called the weights of the module V .

The following proposition plays an important role in the theory of weight \mathfrak{H} -modules.

LEMMA 3. 1. Let V be an irreducible weight \mathfrak{G} -module and $\lambda \in \text{supp } V$. Then V_λ is an irreducible $U(\mathfrak{G})_0$ -module.

2. Let V' be an irreducible $U(\mathfrak{G})_0$ -module such that $Hv = \lambda(H)v$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{H}$, $v \in V'$. Then there exists a unique irreducible weight \mathfrak{G} -module V such that $V_\lambda \simeq V'$.

Proof: Let $V_\lambda \supset U$ be a proper $U(\mathfrak{G})_0$ -submodule. Then, $U(\mathfrak{G})U \not\subseteq V$, which contradicts the irreducibility of V . 2. A weight \mathfrak{G} -module $M = U(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{G})_0} V'$ has only one maximal submodule \mathfrak{m} and $(M/\mathfrak{m})_\lambda \simeq V'$. If L is an irreducible \mathfrak{G} -module and $L_\lambda \simeq V'$ then there exists an epimorphism $\chi: M \rightarrow L$. Therefore, $M/\mathfrak{m} \simeq L$. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 4. Every irreducible $U(\mathfrak{G}_S)_0 \otimes S(\mathfrak{H}^S)$ -module V' such that $Hv = \lambda(H)v$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{H}$, $v \in V'$ extends to an irreducible weight \mathfrak{G} -module V such that $V_\lambda \simeq V'$.

Proof: It suffices to use the Harish-Chandra S -homomorphism and Lemma 3 of section 2.

Lemma 4 allows us to extend irreducible weight \mathfrak{G} -modules to irreducible weight \mathfrak{G} -modules.

Definition: 1. An S -primitive element of weight λ with respect to a basis π is a non-zero element v such that $Hv = \lambda(H)v$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{N}_S^+ v = 0$. 2. An element $v \in V$ is called semi-primitive of weight λ if, for some basis π of the system of roots Δ and some $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, v is an S -primitive element of weight λ with respect to π [3].

Remark. The definition of S -primitive elements in the case where $S = \emptyset$ coincides with the well-known definition of primitive elements.

Proposition 2. Let V be some \mathfrak{G} -module generated by an S -primitive element v of weight λ with respect to a basis π , θ a central character of the module V , and $\theta_S: Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $zv = \theta_S(z)v$ for all $z \in Z(\mathfrak{G}_S)$. Let λ^S be the restriction of λ to \mathfrak{H}^S . Then $\theta(z) = (\theta_S \otimes \lambda^S)(\varphi_{S,\pi}(z))$ for all $z \in Z(\mathfrak{G})$.

Proof: For every $z \in Z(\mathfrak{G})$ there exist $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in U(\mathfrak{G})$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathfrak{N}_S^+$ such that $z = \varphi_{S,\pi}(z) + \sum_{i=1}^k u_i a_i$. Then $\theta(z)v = zv = \varphi_{S,\pi}(z)v + \sum_{i=1}^k u_i a_i v = \varphi_{S,\pi}(z)v + (\theta_S \otimes \lambda^S)(\varphi_{S,\pi}(z))v$. Since the module V is generated by the element v , we have $\theta(z) = (\theta_S \otimes \lambda^S)(\varphi_{S,\pi}(z))$.

Let $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$, $\delta_S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_S^+} \alpha$, $\Delta_S^+ = \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_S$. Let γ be an automorphism of the algebra $S(\mathfrak{h})$ acting as $\gamma(\rho)(\lambda) = \rho(\lambda - \delta)$, where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, and ρ is a polynomial function on \mathfrak{h}^* . We similarly define an automorphism γ_S of the algebra $S(\mathfrak{h}_S)$ by letting $\gamma_S(\rho)(\lambda) = \rho(\lambda - \delta_S)$. Let $\gamma^S = \gamma|_{S(\mathfrak{h}^S)}$.

LEMMA 5. Suppose $\varphi_{\emptyset, \pi_S} : U(\mathfrak{G}_S)_0 \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{h}_S)$ is a Harish-Chandra S -homomorphism with respect to a basis π_S . Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(\mathfrak{G})_0 & \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes \gamma^S) \circ \varphi_{S, \pi}} & U(\mathfrak{G}_S)_0 \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S) \\ \gamma \circ \varphi_{\emptyset, \pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow (\gamma_S \circ \varphi_{\emptyset, \pi_S}) \otimes 1 \\ S(\mathfrak{h}) & \xleftarrow{m} & S(\mathfrak{h}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S) \end{array}$$

where m is a natural isomorphism of $S(\mathfrak{h})$ onto $S(\mathfrak{h}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S)$.

To prove the above lemma it suffices to note that $\gamma|_{S(\mathfrak{h}_S)} = \gamma_S$. Thus, $\gamma \circ \varphi_{\emptyset, \pi} = m \circ ((\gamma_S \circ \varphi_{\emptyset, \pi_S}) \otimes \gamma^S) \circ \varphi_{S, \pi}$.

Let i be the restriction of a homomorphism $(1 \otimes \gamma^S) \circ \varphi_{S, \pi}$ to $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ and i_S the restriction of a homomorphism $(\gamma_S \circ \varphi_{\emptyset, \pi_S}) \otimes 1$ to $Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S)$. Let j be the natural imbedding of $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ into $U(\mathfrak{G})_0$, and j_S the natural embedding of $Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S)$ into $U(\mathfrak{G}_S)_0 \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S)$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z(\mathfrak{G}) & \xrightarrow{i} & Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S) & \xrightarrow{m \circ i_S} & S(\mathfrak{h}) \\ j \downarrow & & j_S \downarrow & & \parallel \\ U(\mathfrak{G})_0 & \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes \gamma^S) \circ \varphi_{S, \pi}} & U(\mathfrak{G}_S)_0 \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S) & \xrightarrow{m \circ ((\gamma_S \circ \varphi_{\emptyset, \pi_S}) \otimes 1)} & S(\mathfrak{h}) \end{array} \quad (1)$$

Lemma 5 implies that the image of the center $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ under the composition mapping $m \circ i_S \circ i$ is equal to $S(\mathfrak{h})^W$.

LEMMA 6. $Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S) \simeq S(\mathfrak{h})^{W_S}$.

Proof: The commutativity of diagram (1) implies that $Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S) \simeq S(\mathfrak{h}_S)^{W_S} \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S)$. However, for all $H \in \mathfrak{h}^S$, we have $w \in W_S$, $w(H) = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}(H)$, where s_{i_j} is a reflection by a root α_{i_j} , $j = 1, k$, where all $\alpha_{i_j} \in \Delta_S$. Consequently, $s_{i_j}(H) = H$ for all $j = 1, k$, so therefore $w(H) = H$. Thus, $S(\mathfrak{h}^S) = S(\mathfrak{h}^S)^{W_S}$ and $Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S) \simeq S(\mathfrak{h}_S)^{W_S} \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S)^{W_S} \simeq S(\mathfrak{h})^{W_S}$. Q.E.D.

Denote an isomorphism $Z(\mathfrak{h}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S) \simeq S(\mathfrak{h})^{W_S}$ by ψ_S . Let $N \times (W_S)$ be the normalizer of the group W_S in W . Since for every $w \in N \times (W_S)$ we have $w(S(\mathfrak{h})^{W_S}) \subset S(\mathfrak{h})^{W_S}$, for every $b \in Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S)$ we can define

$$wb = \psi_S^{-1}(w\psi_S(b)). \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) defines an action of $N(W_S)$ on $Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S)$

THEOREM 1. 1) $i(Z(\mathfrak{G})) \subset (Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S))^{N(W_S)}$; 2) $(1 \otimes \gamma^S) \circ \varphi_{S, \pi}|_{Z(\mathfrak{G})} = (i \otimes \gamma^S) \circ \varphi_{S, \pi}|_{Z(\mathfrak{G})}$ for all $w \in N(W_S)$.

Proof: Since $Z(\mathfrak{G}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{h})^W$, assertion 1 of theorem follows from Lemma 6 and Eq. (2). Furthermore, since an isomorphism $\gamma \circ \varphi_{\emptyset, \pi} : Z(\mathfrak{G}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{h})^W$ does not depend on the choice of basis π of the root system Δ and $(1 \otimes \gamma^S) \circ \varphi_{S, \pi}(Z(\mathfrak{G})) \subset Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^S)$ for all $w \in N(W_S)$, assertion 2 of

the theorem from the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Z(\mathfrak{G}) & \xrightarrow{i} & Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{F}^S) \\
 \gamma \circ \varphi_{\emptyset, \pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_S \\
 S(\mathfrak{F})^W & \longrightarrow & S(\mathfrak{F})^{W_S} \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{F}).
 \end{array} \tag{9}$$

Given an algebra A , let \hat{A} be the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of A . Define a natural mapping on characters $\hat{i}: Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{F}^S) \rightarrow \hat{Z}(\mathfrak{G})$.

Proposition 3. For every $\theta \in \hat{Z}(\mathfrak{G})$ the set $\hat{i}^{-1}(\theta)$ is finite and $|\hat{i}^{-1}(0)| \leq (W:W_S)$.

Proof: The proof follows from the commutativity of diagram (3) and the fact that the dimension of the quotient field $S(\mathfrak{F})^{W_S}$ over the quotient field $S(\mathfrak{F})^W$ is equal to $(W:W_S)$.

2. Properties of Weight \mathfrak{G} -Modules Generated by Semiprimitive Elements. In this section we use results of section 1 to study \mathfrak{G} -modules generated by semi-primitive elements.

Recall the construction of the universal \mathfrak{G} -module generated by an S -primitive element of weight λ [2]. In the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{G})$ define a subalgebra $\Lambda_S = U(\mathfrak{N}_S^+ \oplus \mathfrak{F}^S) + U(\mathfrak{G}_S)_0$.

Let (ρ_S, U) be an irreducible representation of the algebra Λ_S such that $\rho_S(a + H)u = \lambda(H)u$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{N}_S^+, H \in \mathfrak{H}, u \in U$. Defining an \mathfrak{G} -module $M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S) = U(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes_{\Lambda_S} U$. Clearly, the module $M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)$ is a weight \mathfrak{G} -module, $M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)_\lambda \simeq U$, and every element of this subspace is a generating S -primitive element of weight λ .

The universality of the module is characterized by the following proposition.

Proposition 4. Suppose V is a \mathfrak{G} -module generated by an S -primitive element v of weight λ with respect to a basis π and V_λ is an irreducible $U(\mathfrak{G}_S)_0$ -module. Then there exists a unique \mathfrak{G} -epimorphism $\chi: M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S) \rightarrow V$ such that $\chi(1 \otimes v) = v$, where (ρ_S, V_λ) is the corresponding representation of the algebra Λ_S .

The proof follows from universal properties of the tensor product.

Proposition 5. 1) In $M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)$ there exists a maximal \mathfrak{G} -submodule N which is different from $M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)$, and 2) if V is an irreducible \mathfrak{G} -module with an S -primitive element of weight λ with respect to a basis π then $V \simeq M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)/N$ where (ρ_S, V_λ) is the corresponding representation of the algebra Λ_S .

The proposition is proven in [3].

Let $L(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S) = M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)/N$.

Remark. If $S = \phi$ then $M(\emptyset, \pi, \lambda, \rho_\emptyset) = M(\lambda)$, where $M(\lambda)$ is a Verma module associated with either π or λ .

Proposition 6. Every simple subfactor of a module $M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)$ is isomorphic to $L(S, \pi, \mu + \delta, \tilde{\rho}_S)$ for some $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}^*$ and $\tilde{\rho}_S \in \hat{\Lambda}_S$.

The above proposition is proven analogously to a similar result for Verma modules cited in [1].

Fernando cites in [4] still another method of construction of \mathfrak{G} -modules generated by S -primitive elements. Let V be an irreducible weight $P = \mathfrak{G}_S \oplus \mathfrak{N}_S^+ \oplus \mathfrak{F}^S$ -module such that $\mathfrak{N}_S^+ v = 0$ for all $v \in V$. Clearly, V is irreducible as a \mathfrak{G}_S -module. We construct a \mathfrak{G} -module $M_1(S, \pi, V) = U(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes_{U(P)} V$, which also contains a maximal \mathfrak{G} -submodule N_1 . In addition, $M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)/N \simeq M_1(S, \pi, V)/N_1$, where $\lambda \in \text{supp } V, (\rho_S, V_\lambda)$ is the corresponding representation of Λ_S . Furthermore, Proposition 4 implies that there exists an epimorphism $\chi: M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S) \rightarrow M_1(S, \pi, V)$.

Suppose $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}^*$. Let $P_\mu = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^* \mid \lambda - \mu \in Q\}$. For every $\tau \in P_\mu$ let $P_{\tau,S} = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^* \mid \lambda - \tau \in Q_S\} \subset P_\mu$. Suppose $\theta \in Z(\mathfrak{G})$. Let $K_{\mu,\theta}$ be the category of weight \mathfrak{G} -modules V with a central character θ such that $\text{supp } V \subset P_\mu$. Clearly, every module of the form $M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)$ is contained in some category $K_{\lambda,\theta}$. Given $V \in K_{\mu,\theta}$, let $T_{S,\pi} \times (V) = \{\lambda \in \text{supp } V \mid \text{there exists an } S\text{-primitive element } v \in V \text{ of weight } \lambda \text{ with respect to } \pi\}$. Let

$$D(S, \pi, \mu, \theta) = \{P_{\tau,S} \subset P_\mu \mid \exists V \in K_{\mu,\theta} : T_{S,\pi}(V) \cap P_{\tau,S} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

LEMMA 7. $|D(S, \pi, \mu, \theta)| \leq (W : W_S)$ for all $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}^*$, $\theta \in \hat{Z}(\mathfrak{G})$.

Proof: Let V be an irreducible object of a category $K_{\mu,\theta}$ with an S -primitive element of weight λ with respect to the basis π . Then an algebra $Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{F}^S)$ acts on a $\mathfrak{G}_S \oplus \mathfrak{F}^S$ -module $\sum_{\lambda \in P_{\lambda,S}} V_\lambda$ by means of a certain character $\zeta \in Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \hat{\otimes} S(\mathfrak{F}^S)$. Using Proposition 3, we conclude the proof.

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 2. Suppose $\mathfrak{G} \neq E_7, E_8$ and (ρ_S, U) is a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of an algebra Λ_S . Then a \mathfrak{G} -module $M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)$ has a composition sequence.

Proof: The theorem is proved by induction on $|\pi|$ for all S simultaneously. In the case $|\pi| = 1$ the theorem coincides with the corresponding result for Verma modules [1]. Suppose $|\pi| > 1$ and $|S| = p$. Let N be a maximal submodule of $M(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)$. Then $N_\lambda = 0$. Since the algebra \mathfrak{G}_S is of type A_p, B_p, C_p , or D_p , a \mathfrak{G}_S -module $\sum_{\mu \in P_{\lambda,S}} N_\mu$ and all its submodules contain a semi-primitive element [3, Theorem 3.2]. Without loss of generality we can assume that all semi-primitive elements are S' -primitive if $|S'| = p - 1$ and are not S'' -primitive if $|S''| < p - 1$. Lemma 7 and the finite-dimensionality of subspaces N_λ imply that there exists an epimorphism from a finite direct sum of \mathfrak{G}_S -modules of the form $M(S', \pi', \lambda' + \delta_S, \rho_{S'})$ into

$\sum_{\mu \in P_{\lambda,S}} N_\mu$. Applying the induction hypothesis to every module $M(S', \pi', \lambda' + \delta_S, \rho_{S'})$, we obtain

the following composition sequence for the \mathfrak{G}_S -module $\sum_{\mu \in P_{\lambda,S}} N_\mu$: $\sum_{\mu \in P_{\lambda,S}} N_\mu \supset P_1 \supset \dots \supset P_k \supset 0$.

The corresponding chain of \mathfrak{G} -submodules of N is as follows: $N \supset N_1 \supset N_2 \supset \dots \supset N_k$, where

N_{i+1} is maximal in N_i and $\sum_{\mu \in P_{\lambda,S}} (N_i)_\mu = P_i$, $i = \overline{1, k}$. In a module N_k we choose a maximal \mathfrak{G} -submodule N_{k+1} . Since the \mathfrak{G}_S -module P_k is irreducible, we have $\text{supp } N_{k+1} \cap P_{\lambda,S} = \emptyset$. Now the assertion of the theorem follows from Lemma 7. Q.E.D.

Remark. The authors are convinced that above theorem holds even when $\mathfrak{G} \in \{E_7, E_8\}$.

Suppose $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $|S| = 1$. Then $\mathfrak{G}_S \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $\dim L(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)_\lambda = 1$. Given a module $L = L(S, \pi, \lambda + \delta, \rho_S)$, there is an associated character $\beta(L) \in Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \hat{\otimes} S(\mathfrak{F}^S)$ by a means of which $Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \otimes S(\mathfrak{F}^S)$ acts on a $\mathfrak{G}_S \oplus \mathfrak{F}^S$ -module $\sum_{\mu \in P_{\lambda,S}} L_\mu$. However, for every $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}^*$ and $\beta \in Z(\mathfrak{G}_S) \hat{\otimes} S(\mathfrak{F}^S)$ there exist no more than three irreducible weight \mathfrak{G} -modules V with S -primitive elements for which $\text{supp } V \subset P_\mu$ and $\beta(V) = \beta$ (see, for example, [5]). Therefore, Proposition 3 implies the following assertion.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}^*$, $\theta \in \hat{Z}(\mathfrak{G})$, $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and $|S| = 1$. Then the category $K_{\mu,\theta}$ contains no more than $3/2 |W|$ irreducible objects V such that $T_{S,\pi}(V) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark. 1) Theorem 3 is false in the case where $|S| > 1$. This follows, for example, from results cited in [6]. 2) Under the assumptions of Theorem 3 there are infinitely many irreducible modules V in $\bigcup_{\mu} K_{\mu,\theta}$ such that $T_{S,\pi}(V) \neq \emptyset$.

LITERATURE CITED

1. J. Dixmier, Universal Enveloping Algebras [Russian translation], Mir, Moscow (1978).
2. V. M. Futorny, "On a construction of irreducible representations of semisimple Lie algebras," *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen.*, 21, No. 2, 92-93 (1987).
3. V. M. Futorny, "Weight representations of semisimple finite-dimensional Lie algebras," *Algebraic Structures and Their Applications*, Vishcha Shk. Izdat. Kiev. Inst., Kiev, 142-155 (1988).
4. S. L. Fernando, Simple Weight Modules of Complex Reductive Lie Algebras, PhD Thesis, University of Wisconsin, Wisconsin (1983).
5. V. M. Futorny, "A generalization of Verma modules and irreducible representations of the algebra $sl(3)$," *Ukr. Mat. Zh.*, 38, No. 4, 492-497 (1986).
6. Yu. A. Drozd, S. A. Ovsienko, and V. M. Futorny, "Irreducible weight $sl(3)$ -modules," *Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.*, 23, No. 3, 57-58 (1989).