

ARITHMETIC-HARMONIC MEAN INEQUALITY FOR SYMMETRIZATIONS OF CONVEX SETS

RENÉ BRANDENBERG, KATHERINA VON DICHTER, AND BERNARDO GONZÁLEZ MERINO

The arithmetic-geometric-harmonic mean inequality states in the two-argument case

$$(1) \quad \min\{a, b\} \leq \left(\frac{a^{-1} + b^{-1}}{2} \right)^{-1} \leq \sqrt{ab} \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \leq \max\{a, b\}$$

for any $a, b > 0$, with equality in any of the inequalities if and only if $a = b$ (see [HLP, Sch]).

For any $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ let $\text{conv}(X)$ denote the *convex hull*, i.e., the smallest convex set containing X . A *segment* is the convex hull of $\{x, y\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which we abbreviate by $[x, y]$. For any $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ let $X + Y = \{x + y : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ be the *Minkowski sum* of X and Y , and $\rho X = \{\rho x : x \in X\}$ the ρ -*dilatation* of X . We abbreviate $(-1)X$ by $-X$. The family of all *convex bodies* (full-dimensional compact convex sets) is denoted by \mathcal{K}^n and for any $C \in \mathcal{K}^n$ we write $C^\circ = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^n : a^T x \leq 1, x \in C\}$ for the *polar* of C .

One may identify means of numbers by means of segments via associating $a, b > 0$ with $[-a, a]$ and $[-b, b]$. Thus, e.g., the arithmetic mean of a and b is identified with $[-\frac{1}{2}(a+b), \frac{1}{2}(a+b)] = \frac{1}{2}([-a, a] + [-b, b])$. In general, the *arithmetic mean* of $K, C \in \mathcal{K}^n$ is defined by $\frac{1}{2}(K + C)$, the *minimum* by $K \cap C$, and the *maximum* by $\text{conv}(K \cup C)$. Since polarity can be regarded as the higher-dimensional counterpart of the inversion operation $x \rightarrow 1/x$ (cf. [MR]), the *harmonic mean* of K and C is defined by $(\frac{1}{2}(K^\circ + C^\circ))^\circ$.

Firey's extension of the harmonic-arithmetic mean inequality ([Fi]) states

Proposition 0.1. *Let $C, K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with 0 in their interior. Then*

$$(2) \quad K \cap C \subset \left(\frac{K^\circ + C^\circ}{2} \right)^\circ \subset \frac{K + C}{2} \subset \text{conv}(K \cup C),$$

with equality between any of the means if and only if $K = C$.

We analyze sharpness of the set-containment inequalities w.r.t. optimal containment: For $C, K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ we say K is *optimally contained* in C ($K \subset^{opt} C$), if $K \subset C$ and $K \not\subset \rho C + t$ for any $\rho \in [0, 1)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 0.2. *Let $C, K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int}(K \cap C)$. Then*

$$K \cap C \subset^{opt} \text{conv}(K \cup C) \iff \left(\frac{1}{2}(K^\circ + C^\circ) \right)^\circ \subset^{opt} \frac{1}{2}(K + C).$$

If $C = -C + t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we say C is *symmetric*, and if $C = -C$, we say C is *0-symmetric*. The family of 0-symmetric convex bodies is denoted by \mathcal{K}_0^n .

We focus on optimal containments of means of C and $-C$ for a convex body C , which are all symmetrizations of C . Symmetrizations are frequently used in convex geometry, e.g., as extreme cases of a variety of geometric inequalities. Consider, e.g., the Bohnenblust inequality [Bo], which bounds the ratio of the circumradius and the diameter of convex bodies in arbitrary normed spaces. The equality case in this inequality is reached in normed spaces with $S \cap (-S)$ or $\frac{1}{2}(S - S)$ as their unit balls [BK], where S denotes an n -simplex with center of gravity in 0. These means also appear in characterizations of spaces for which C is complete or reduced [BGJM, Prop. 3.5 – 3.10].

The most common choice of an asymmetry measure and a corresponding center are the *Minkowski asymmetry* of $C \in \mathcal{K}^n$, which is defined by

$$s(C) := \inf\{\rho > 0 : C - c \subset \rho(C - c), c \in \mathbb{R}^n\},$$

and the (not necessarily unique) *Minkowski center* of C , which is any $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ fulfilling $C - c \subset s(C)(c - C)$ [Gr, BG]. If the Minkowski center is 0, we say C is *Minkowski centered*. Note that $s(C) \in [1, n]$, with $s(C) = 1$ if and only if C is symmetric, and $s(C) = n$ if and only if C is an n -dimensional simplex [Gr]. Moreover, the Minkowski asymmetry $s : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow [1, n]$ is continuous w.r.t. the Hausdorff metric (see [Gr], [Sch] for some basic properties) and invariant under non-singular affine transformations. We believe that the Minkowski asymmetry is most suitable for studying optimal containments and consequently focus on Minkowski centered convex sets.

The classical norm relations $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be naturally reversed by the inequalities $\|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n}\|x\|_2 \leq n\|x\|_\infty$, which both transfer to left-to-right optimal containments between the corresponding unit ball of these ℓ_p -spaces. Similarly, we consider the norms induced by the means of K and C . Doing so, (2) can be read as follows:

$$(3) \quad \|x\|_{\text{conv}(K \cup C)} \leq \|x\|_{\frac{K+C}{2}} \leq \|x\|_{\left(\frac{K^\circ+C^\circ}{2}\right)^\circ} \leq \|x\|_{K \cap C}.$$

In order to reverse this chain of inequalities, we need to provide a chain of (optimal) inclusions, which is reverse to (2). While this is not possible for general convex bodies, since the scaling factors of the reverse inclusions cannot be bounded, but assuming the Minkowski centeredness of the considered body, this problem can be fixed for the symmetrizations.

Theorem 0.3. *Let $C \in \mathcal{K}^n$ be Minkowski centered. Then*

- (i) $\text{conv}(C \cup (-C)) \subset^{opt} s(C)(C \cap (-C))$,
- (ii) $\text{conv}(C \cup (-C)) \subset^{opt} \frac{2s(C)}{s(C)+1} \frac{C-C}{2}$,
- (iii) $\left(\frac{C^\circ-C^\circ}{2}\right)^\circ \subset^{opt} \frac{2s(C)}{s(C)+1} (C \cap (-C))$,
- (iv) $\frac{C-C}{2} \subset^{opt} \frac{s(C)+1}{2} (C \cap (-C))$, and
- (v) $\text{conv}(C \cup (-C)) \subset^{opt} \frac{s(C)+1}{2} \left(\frac{C^\circ-C^\circ}{2}\right)^\circ$.
- (vi) $\frac{C-C}{2} \subset \frac{s(C)+1}{2} \left(\frac{C^\circ-C^\circ}{2}\right)^\circ$, and for all $s \in [n]$ there exists a Minkowski centered $C \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with $s(C) = s$, such that the containment is optimal.

We proceed with a stability result. First we introduce several parameters.

$$\begin{aligned}\psi &:= \psi(n, s) := \frac{(n-s+1)(s+1)}{1-n(n-s)(n+s(n+1))} - n, \\ \mu &:= \mu(n, s) = \frac{n+1}{s+1} \left(1 - \frac{s(n+1)(n-s)}{1-n(n-s)} \right), \\ \gamma_1 &:= \gamma_1(n) := \frac{1}{2}(n-1 + \sqrt{(n-2)n+5}), \\ \gamma_2 &:= \gamma_2(n) := \frac{n^4 + n^3 + 2n^2 + \sqrt{n^8 + 6n^7 + 17n^6 + 28n^5 + 28n^4 + 12n^3 - 4n^2 - 12n - 4}}{2(n^3 + 2n^2 + 3n + 1)}, \\ \gamma_3 &:= \gamma_3(n) := \frac{n^4 + 3n^3 + 2n^2 + 1 + \sqrt{n^8 + 6n^7 + 13n^6 + 8n^5 - 14n^4 - 22n^3 + 8n + 1}}{2(n^3 + 2n^2 + 2n)}.\end{aligned}$$

One can check that $n - \frac{1}{n} < \gamma_2 < \gamma_3 < n$ and that both ψ and μ become one in case $n = s$. Moreover, we will see that $\psi \frac{n}{n+1} > 1$ for all $s > \gamma_2$, while $\mu \psi \frac{n(n+2)}{(n+1)^2} < 1$ for all $s > \gamma_3$.

Theorem 0.4. *Let n be even and $C \in \mathcal{K}^n$ be Minkowski centered with $s(C) = s$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}(i) \quad & C \cap (-C) \subset \psi \frac{n}{n+1} \text{conv}(C \cup (-C)), \text{ if } s \geq \gamma_2(n), \text{ and} \\ (ii) \quad & \left(\frac{C^\circ + (-C)^\circ}{2} \right)^\circ \subset \mu \psi \frac{n(n+2)}{(n+1)^2} \frac{C - C}{2}, \text{ if } s \geq \gamma_3(n).\end{aligned}$$

We determine the smallest number $\gamma(n) \in [n-1, n]$ such that for every Minkowski centered $C \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with $s(C) \geq \gamma(n)$ the harmonic mean of C and $-C$ is not optimally contained in their arithmetic mean and call it the *asymmetry threshold of means*

Theorem 0.5. *Let n be even. Then*

$$n-1 < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma(n) \leq \gamma_2 < n.$$

The asymmetry threshold provides us with a lower bound for the values of s such that (2) cannot be left-to-right optimal. In the following we want to go one step further and determine the possible values for the contraction factors $\alpha(s)$, $\beta(s)$ for which the minimum is optimally contained in the according contraction of the maximum and for which the harmonic mean is optimally contained in the contraction of the arithmetic mean, respectively.

Theorem 0.6. *Let $C \in \mathcal{K}^n$ be Minkowski centered with $s(C) = s$.*

a) *Let $\alpha(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $C \cap (-C) \subset^{\text{opt}} \alpha(s) \text{conv}(C \cup (-C))$ and $\alpha_1(s)$, $\alpha_2(s)$ be the optimal lower and upper bounds on $\alpha(s)$, respectively. Then*

$$(i) \quad \alpha_1(s) \geq \frac{2}{s+1} \text{ with equality at least for } s \leq 2.$$

$$(ii) \quad \alpha_2(s) = 1 \text{ for } s \leq \gamma_1, \alpha_2(s) \leq \psi \frac{n}{n+1}, \text{ for } s > \gamma_2 \text{ and } \alpha_2(s) \geq \frac{s}{s^2-1} \text{ for } s \leq 2.$$

b) *Let $\beta(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left(\frac{1}{2}(C^\circ - C^\circ)\right)^\circ \subset^{\text{opt}} \beta(s) \frac{1}{2}(C - C)$ and $\beta_1(s)$, $\beta_2(s)$ be the optimal lower and upper bounds on $\beta(s)$, respectively. Then*

$$(i) \quad \beta_1(s) \geq \frac{4s}{(s+1)^2} \text{ with equality at least for } s \leq 2.$$

$$(ii) \quad \beta_2(s) = 1 \text{ for } s \leq \gamma_1, \beta_2(s) \leq \mu \psi \frac{n(n+2)}{(n+1)^2} \text{ for } s > \gamma_3 \text{ and } \beta_2(s) \geq \max \left\{ \frac{s}{s^2-1}, \frac{4s}{(s+1)^2} \right\} \text{ for } s \leq 2.$$

REFERENCES

- [AAGJV] D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, S. Artstein-Avidan, B. González Merino, C.H. Jiménez, R. Villa, Rogers-Shephard and local Loomis-Whitney type inequalities, *Math. Annalen*, 374 (2019), no. 3-4, 1719–1771.
- [AGJV] D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, B. González Merino, C. H. Jiménez, R. Villa, Rogers-Shephard inequality for log-concave functions, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 271 (2016), no. 11, 3269–3299.
- [AEFO] S. Artstein-Avidan, K. Einhorn, D.I. Florentin, Y. Ostrover, On Godbersens conjecture, *Geom. Dedicata*, 178 (2015), no. 1, 337–350.
- [Bo] H. F. Bohnenblust, Convex regions and projections in Minkowski spaces, *Ann. of Math.*, 39 (1938), no. 2, 301–308.
- [Boro] K. J. Böröczky, The stability of the Rogers-Shephard inequality and of some related inequalities, *Adv. Math.*, 190 (2005), no. 1, 47–76.
- [BLYZ] K. J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, The log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality, *Adv. Math.*, 231 (2012), no. 3-4, 1974–1997.
- [BDG] R. Brandenburg, K. von Dichter, B. González Merino, Relating Symmetrizations of Convex Bodies: Once More the Golden Ratio, to appear in *American Mathematical Monthly*.
- [BG] R. Brandenburg, B. González Merino, Minkowski concentricity and complete simplices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 454 (2017), no. 2, 981–994.
- [BG2] R. Brandenburg, B. González Merino, The asymmetry of complete and constant width bodies in general normed spaces and the Jung constant, *Israel J. Math.*, 218 (2017), no. 1, 489–510.
- [BGJM] R. Brandenburg, B. González Merino, T. Jahn, H. Martini, Is a complete, reduced set necessarily of constant width?, *Adv. Geom.*, 19 (2019), no. 1, 31–40.
- [BK] R. Brandenburg, S. König, No dimension-independent core-sets for containment under homothetics, *Discr. Comput. Geom.*, 49 (2013), no. 1, 3–21.
- [BK2] R. Brandenburg, S. König, Sharpening geometric inequalities using computable symmetry measures, *Mathematika*, 61 (2015), no. 3, 559–580.
- [DGK] L. Danzer, B. Grünbaum, and V. Klee, Helly’s Theorem and its Relatives, *American Mathematical Society*, 7(1963), 101–163.
- [Fi] W. J. Firey, Polar means of convex bodies and a dual to the Brunn-Minkowski theorem, *Canad. J. Math.*, 13 (1961), 444–453.
- [Fi2] W. J. Firey, Mean cross-section measures of harmonic means of convex bodies, *Pacific J. Math.*, 11(1961), 1263–1266.
- [Fi3] W. J. Firey, p -means of convex bodies, Polar means of convex bodies and a dual to the Brunn-Minkowski theorem, *Math. Scand.*, 10 (1962), 17–24.
- [Fi4] W. J. Firey, Some applications of means of convex bodies, *Pacific J. Math.*, 14 (1964), 53–60.
- [GrK] P. Gritzmann, V. Klee, Inner and outer j -radii of convex bodies in finite-dimensional normed spaces, *Discr. Comput. Geom.*, 7 (1992), 255–280.
- [Gr] B. Grünbaum, Measure of convex sets, Convexity, *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, 7, 233–270. American Math. Society, Providence (1963).
- [Guo] Q. Guo, Stability of the Minkowski measure of asymmetry for convex bodies, *Discr. Comput. Geom.*, 34 (2005), no. 2, 351–362.
- [HLP] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities: second Edition*, Cambridge University Press, 1952.
- [Ha] E. V. Haynesworth, Note on bounds for certain determinants, *Duke Math. J.*, 24 (1957), 313–320.
- [KI] V. Klee, Circumspheres and inner products, *Math. Scand.*, 8 (1960), 363–370.
- [Le] K. Leichtweiss, Zwei Extremalprobleme der Minkowski-Geometrie, *Math. Zeitschr.*, 62 (1955), 37–49.
- [Li] M. Livio, *The Golden Ratio: The Story of PHI, the World’s Most Astonishing Number*, Crown, 2008.
- [MR] V. Milman, L. Rotem, Non-standard Constructions in Convex Geometry: Geometric Means of Convex bodies, *Convexity and Concentration, the IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications*, vol 161. Springer, New York, NY, 2017, 361–390.

- [MR2] V. Milman, L. Rotem, Weighted geometric means of convex bodies, *Contemp. Math.*, 733 (2019), 233.
- [MMR] E. Milman, V. Milman, L. Rotem, Reciprocals and Flowers in Convexity, *Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol 2266. Springer, Cham (2020), volume 2266.
- [Mi] H. Minkowski, *Gesammelte Abhandlungen, Monatshefte fr Mathematik und Physik*, 25(1914), 30–31.
- [RS] C. A. Rogers, G. C. Shephard, Convex bodies associated with a given convex body. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 1 (1958), no. 3, 270-281.
- [Sch] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory. Second edition.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2014.
- [Sch2] R. Schneider, Stability for some extremal properties of the simplex, *J. Geom.*, 96 (2009), no. 1, 135–148.

E-mail address: brandenb@ma.tum.de

E-mail address: dichter@ma.tum.de

E-mail address: bgmerino@um.es