

**On Universality of Bulk Local Regime of the  
Deformed Laguerre Ensemble**

**Tatyana Shcherbina**

**Institute for Low Temperature Physics,  
Kharkov, Ukraine.**

**June 24, 2009**

## Examples:

### Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE):

$$M = n^{-1/2}W, \quad (1)$$

where  $W$  is a Hermitian  $n \times n$  matrix whose entries  $\Re W_{jk}$  and  $\Im W_{jk}$  are independent identically distributed Gaussian random variables with expectation 0 and dispersion  $1/2$ .

### Hermitian matrix model:

$$P(dM) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \exp\{-n \text{Tr} V(M)\} dM, \quad (2)$$

where  $V$  is some function and  $Z_n$  is a normalizing constant. If we take  $V(x) = x^2/2$  we obtain GUE.

**Deformed Laguerre ensemble:**

$$H_n = \frac{1}{n} A_{m,n}^* A_{m,n} + H_n^{(0)}, \quad (3)$$

where  $H_n^{(0)}$  is a Hermitian  $n \times n$  matrix (random or not random) with eigenvalues  $\{h_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1}^n$  and  $A_{m,n}$  is a  $m \times n$  matrix, whose entries  $\Re a_{\alpha j}$  and  $\Im a_{\alpha j}$  are independent Gaussian random variables such that

$$\mathbf{E}\{a_{\alpha j}\} = \mathbf{E}\{a_{\alpha j}^2\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{|a_{\alpha j}|^2\} = 1, \quad \alpha = \overline{1, m}, j = \overline{1, n}, \quad (4)$$

moreover  $m/n \rightarrow c > 1$  (as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

Denote by  $\lambda_1^{(n)}, \dots, \lambda_n^{(n)}$  the eigenvalues of the random matrix.

Define the normalized eigenvalue counting measure of the matrix as

$$N_n(\Delta) = \#\{\lambda_j^{(n)} \in \Delta, j = \overline{1, n}\}/n, \quad N_n(\mathbb{R}) = 1, \quad (5)$$

where  $\Delta$  is an arbitrary interval of the real axis.

For many known random matrices the expectation  $\overline{N}_n = \mathbf{E}\{N_n\}$  is absolutely continuous and its density  $\rho_n$  is called the density of states.

Let

$$N_n^{(0)}(\Delta) = \frac{1}{n} \#\{h_j^{(n)} \in \Delta, j = \overline{1, n}\},$$

be the Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues of  $H_n^{(0)}$ .

**The global regime for the ensemble (3) – (4):** It was shown in the paper of Marchenko, Pastur [3] that if  $N_n^{(0)}$  converges weakly with probability 1 to a non-random measure  $N^{(0)}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $N_n$  also converges weakly with probability 1 to a measure  $N$ . The measure  $N$  is normalized to unity and is absolutely continuous and its density  $\rho$  is called the limiting density of states of the ensemble.

It follows from the definition of  $N_n$  and the above result that any  $n$ -independent interval  $\Delta$  such that  $N(\Delta) > 0$  contains  $O(n)$

eigenvalues. Thus, to deal with a finite number of eigenvalues one has to consider spectral intervals, whose length tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is the local regime of the random matrix theory. In particular, in the local bulk regime we are about intervals of the length  $O(n^{-1})$ . Define also the **k-point correlation function**  $\mathbf{R}_k^{(n)}$  by the equality:

$$\mathbf{E} \left\{ \sum_{j_1 \neq \dots \neq j_k} \varphi_k(\lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_k}) \right\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) R_k^{(n)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) d\lambda_1, \dots, d\lambda_k, \quad (6)$$

where  $\varphi_k : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is bounded, continuous and symmetric in its arguments and the summation is over all  $k$ -tuples of distinct integers  $j_1, \dots, j_k = \overline{1, n}$ . We will call the spectrum the support of  $N$  and

define the bulk of the spectrum as

$$\text{bulk } N = \{\lambda | \exists (a, b) \subset \text{supp } N : \lambda \in (a, b), \inf_{\mu \in (a, b)} \rho(\mu) > 0\}. \quad (7)$$

**The bulk local regime for the ensemble (3) – (4):**

The universality hypothesis on the bulk of the spectrum says that for  $\lambda_0 \in \text{bulk } N$  we have:

(i) for any fixed  $k$  uniformly in  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  varying in any compact set in  $\mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(n\rho_n(\lambda_0))^k} R_k^{(n)} \left( \lambda_0 + \frac{x_1}{\rho_n(\lambda_0)n}, \dots, \lambda_0 + \frac{x_k}{\rho_n(\lambda_0)n} \right) = \det\{S(x_i - x_j)\}_{i,j=1}^k, \quad (8)$$

where

$$S(x_i - x_j) = \frac{\sin \pi(x_i - x_j)}{\pi(x_i - x_j)}; \quad (9)$$

(ii) if

$$E_n(\Delta) = \mathbf{P}\{\lambda_i^{(n)} \notin \Delta, i = \overline{1, n}\}, \quad (10)$$

is the gap probability, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n \left( \left[ \lambda_0 + \frac{a}{\rho_n(\lambda_0) n}, \lambda_0 + \frac{b}{\rho_n(\lambda_0) n} \right] \right) = \det\{1 - S_{a,b}\}, \quad (11)$$

where the operator  $S_{a,b}$  is defined on  $L_2[a, b]$  by the formula

$$S_{a,b}f(x) = \int_a^b S(x - y)f(y)dy,$$

and  $S$  is defined in (9).

The main result of the paper is following theorem

**Theorem 1** *Let  $c > 1$  and the eigenvalues  $\{h_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1}^n$  of  $H_n^{(0)}$  in (3) be a collection of random variables independent of  $A_n$ . Assume that there exists a non-random measure  $N^{(0)}$  of a bounded support such that  $N_n^{(0)}$  converges weakly with probability 1 to  $N^{(0)}$ . Then for any  $\lambda_0 \in \text{bulk } N$  the universality properties (8) and (11) hold.*

**Harish-Chandra/Itzykson-Zuber formula:**

$$\int \exp\{\text{Tr}AU^*BU\}d\mu(U) = \frac{\det[\exp\{a_i b_j\}]_{i,j=1}^n}{\Delta(A)\Delta(B)}, \quad (12)$$

where  $a_i, b_i$  are eigenvalues of matrices  $A$  and  $B$  correspondingly and  $\Delta(A)$  is a Van der Monde determinant of eigenvalues of matrix  $A$ .

**Proposition 1** Let  $H_n$  be the random matrix defined in (3) and  $R_k^{(n)}$  be the correlation function (6). Then we have

$$R_k^{(n)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) = \mathbf{E}^{(h)} \{ \det \{ K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) \}_{i,j=1}^k \}, \quad (13)$$

with

$$K_n(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{m}{4\pi^2} \oint_L \oint_\omega \frac{\exp \{ n(u-t) \} (t+\lambda)^{m-1}}{(u-t)(u+\mu)^{m+1}} \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{u+h_j^{(n)}}{t+h_j^{(n)}} \right) dt du, \quad (14)$$

where the contour  $L$  is a closed contour, encircling  $\{-h_j^{(n)} : h_j^{(n)} < \lambda\}$  and  $\omega$  is any closed contour encircling  $-\mu$  and not intersect  $L$ .

This proposition reduces (8) to the limiting transition in (14). The limiting transition is done using the steepest descent method.

- [1] *P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. McLaughlin, S. Venakides, X. Zhou*, Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions. - *Com. Pure Ap. Math.*(1999), 52
- [2] *L. Pastur, M. Shcherbina*, Bulk Universality and related properties of Hermitian matrix model.- *J.Stut.Phys.*(2007), 130, p.205-250
- [3] *V.A. Marchenko, L.A. Pastur*, Distribution of eigenvalues for some sets of random matrices. - *Math.USSR-Sb.*(1967), 1, p.457-483.
- [4] *E.Brezin, S. Hikami*, Extension of level-spacing universality.- *Phys.Rev. E*(1997),56, p.264-269