

# On Generalization of the Cuntz Algebras

Helen PAVLENKO <sup>†</sup> and Alexandra PIRYATINSKA <sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup> *Department of Functional Analysis, Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 3 Tereshchenkivs'ka Str., Kyiv, Ukraine*  
*E-mail: helen@imath.kiev.ua*

<sup>‡</sup> *Institute of Economics, Management and Business Laws, 30-32 Lagernaya Str., Kyiv, Ukraine*  
*E-mail: piryatin@ecolaws.freenet.kiev.ua*

We study the representations of generalisation of the Cuntz algebra  $O_n$ . The algebra  $O_{n, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^n}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra generated by isometries  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k s_k s_k^* = e$ , where  $0 < \alpha_k < 1, k = 1, \dots, n$ . The fact that some algebra is  $*$ -wild implies that the problem of unitary description of all representations of the algebra is very complicated. We show that the algebra  $O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4}$  is  $*$ -wild and establish the criterion of  $*$ -wildness of the algebra  $O_{3, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^3}$ .

This paper is concerned with the complexity problem of unitary description of representations for  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries connected with some relation on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. These algebras were suggested by Yuriï Samoilenko.

The fact that some algebra is  $*$ -wild implies that the problem of unitary description of all representations is very complicated.

## On $C^*$ -algebra $O_n, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^n$

As in [1, 2], we consider a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}_n = \mathfrak{B}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  generated by orthoprojectors  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k p_k = e, \tag{1}$$

where  $e$  is the identity of the algebra and  $0 < \alpha_k < 1, k = 1, \dots, n$ . Let us note that the condition  $0 < \alpha_k < 1, k = 1, \dots, n$  is not a restriction. It was shown in [6] that it is always possible to reduce the values of the coefficients  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  by a linear change of the variables  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  to this form.

For the same set  $\alpha_k$  we deal with the  $C^*$ -algebra  $O_{n, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^n}$  generated by isometries  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k s_k s_k^* = e. \tag{2}$$

For  $\alpha_k = 1, k = 1, \dots, n$  it is the Cuntz algebra  $O_n$ . The Cuntz algebra  $O_n$  is nuclear, simple and non type  $I$  (see [3]).

In this paper we prove that the algebra  $O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4}$  is  $*$ -wild and establish the criterion of  $*$ -wildness of the algebra  $O_{3, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^3}$ . In [4] we considered  $O_{3, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^3}$  when  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 2$ .

In [5, 6] all irreducible representations of the algebras  $\mathfrak{P}_3 = \mathfrak{P}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_4 = \mathfrak{P}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$  were described. The algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_3$  has one-dimensional and two-dimensional irreducible representations. All irreducible representations of the algebras  $\mathfrak{P}_4$  are finite-dimensional Jacobian matrices. In case  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 2$  all irreducible representations of the algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_4$  are one-dimensional and two-dimensional. It means that the algebras  $\mathfrak{P}_3$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_4$  are tame.

For  $n \geq 5$  and  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha$ ;  $\alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = \beta$ ,  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , the problem of description of the collection of orthoprojectors  $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^5$  such that

$$\alpha \sum_{k=1}^3 P_k + \beta \sum_{i=4}^5 P_i = I, \tag{3}$$

is \*-wild (see [7]).

### On majorization of $C^*$ -algebras

Let us give definitions of majorization of  $C^*$ -algebras and \*-wildness following to [1, 8].

The problem of description of pairs of self-adjoint (or unitary) operators up to unitary equivalence (representations of the \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  (or  $\mathfrak{U}_2$ ) generated by a pair of free self-adjoint (or unitary) generators) was chosen as the standard \*-wild problem in the theory of \*-representations in [7].

The problem of unitary classification of representation of pairs of self-adjoint operators contains as a subproblem the problem of unitary classification of representation of any \*-algebra with a countable number of generators (see [1]).

A problem containing the standard \*-wild problem is called \*-wild.

A number of \*-wild algebras have been studied in recent years ([1, 7, 9]).

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. We will denote by  $\text{Rep } \mathfrak{A}$  the category of representations of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The objects of this category are the representations  $\mathfrak{A}$  to  $L(H)$  (the algebra of linear bounded operators in a Hilbert space  $H$ ), the morphisms are intertwining operators. Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be a nuclear  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $L(H_0)$ . Let  $\pi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow L(H)$  be a representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . It induces the representation

$$\tilde{\pi} = \pi \otimes id: \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{N} \mapsto L(H \otimes H_0)$$

of the algebra  $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{N}$ .

**Definition 1.** We say that a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  majorizes a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  (and denote it by  $\mathfrak{B} \succ \mathfrak{A}$ ), if there exist a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{N}$  and a unital \*-homomorphism  $\psi: \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{N}$  such that the functor  $F: \text{Rep } \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \text{Rep } \mathfrak{B}$  defined by the following rule:

$$F(\pi) = \tilde{\pi} \circ \psi \quad \text{for any } \pi \in \text{Rep } \mathfrak{A}, \tag{4}$$

$$F(A) = A \otimes I \quad \text{for any operator } A \text{ intertwining } \pi_1 \text{ and } \pi_2, \tag{5}$$

is full.

Denote by  $\pi(\mathfrak{A})'$  a commutant of  $\pi(\mathfrak{A})$ .

**Remark 1.** In order to verify whether  $F$  is full it is enough to check for any representation  $\pi \in \text{Rep } (\mathfrak{A})$  in  $L(H)$  that the condition  $\mathcal{A} \in F(\pi)(\mathfrak{B})'$  implies  $\mathcal{A} = A \otimes I \in \pi(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $A \in \pi(\mathfrak{A})'$ .

**Remark 2.** To prove that functor  $F$  is full it is enough to show that the \*-homomorphism  $\psi$  is a surjection (see [1]).

The proofs of these remarks see in [1].

Let  $F_2$  denote the free group on two generators  $u, v$ . Denote by  $C^*(F_2)$  an enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra of  $F_2$ .

**Definition 2.** A  $C^*$ -algebra is called *\*-wild* if  $\mathfrak{A} \succ C^*(F_2)$ .

Let us repeat that the fact that some algebra is *\*-wild* implies that the problem of unitary description of all representations is very complicated.

### On representations of the algebra $O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4}$

The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_4 = \mathfrak{P}(1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$  has such irreducible representations (see [5]):

1) one-dimensional representation is

$$P_1 = P_2 = I, \quad P_3 = P_4 = 0;$$

2) two-dimensional representation is

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \phi & \cos \phi \sin \phi \\ \cos \phi \sin \phi & \sin^2 \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sin^2 \phi & -\cos \phi \sin \phi \\ -\cos \phi \sin \phi & \cos^2 \phi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

here  $0 < \phi < \pi/2$ .

Let us consider the corresponding  $C^*$ -algebra  $O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4}$ .

**Theorem 1.** The  $C^*$ -algebra  $O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4}$  is *\*-wild*.

We will prove three lemmas for the proof of this theorem. In accordance by the definition of *\*-wildness* to prove *\*-wildness* of the algebra  $O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4}$ , we give a *\*-homomorphism*

$$\psi : O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4} \rightarrow M_2(C^*(F_2)) \otimes \mathfrak{N}.$$

Here  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathfrak{N} \subset L(H_0)$ . As  $\mathfrak{N}$  we take the Cuntz algebra

$$O_2 = \mathbb{C}\langle T_1, T_2, T_1^*, T_2^* \mid T_1^*T_1 = T_2^*T_2 = I_0, T_1T_1^* + T_2T_2^* = I_0 \rangle.$$

We take the operators  $T_1, T_2$  acting in a separable Hilbert space  $H_0$  such that

$$T_1 : e_j \rightarrow e_{2j-1}, \quad T_2 : e_j \rightarrow e_{2j}, \tag{6}$$

where  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H_0$ .

We set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(s_1) = S_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} (\cos \phi)u & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ (\sin \phi)e & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & (\cos \phi)v & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & (\sin \phi)e & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & (\cos \phi)u & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & (\sin \phi)e & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (\cos \phi)v & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (\sin \phi)e & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \\
 \psi(s_2) = S_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} (\sin \phi)u & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ -(\cos \phi)e & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & (\sin \phi)v & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & -(\cos \phi)e & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & (\sin \phi)u & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & -(\cos \phi)e & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (\sin \phi)v & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -(\cos \phi)e & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \\
 \psi(s_3) = S_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ e & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & e & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & e & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \\
 \psi(s_4) = S_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & e & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & e & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix},
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

here  $0 < \phi < \pi/2$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The map  $\psi$  defined by (7) is a  $*$ -homomorphism from  $O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4}$  to  $M_2(C^*(F_2)) \otimes O_2$ .*

**Proof.** It is easy to check that  $S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4$  satisfy the relations of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4}$ .

One can see that the map  $\psi$  has the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} (\cos \phi)u & 0 \\ (\sin \phi)e & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\cos \phi)v \\ 0 & (\sin \phi)e \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_2, \\
 S_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} (\sin \phi)u & 0 \\ -(\cos \phi)e & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\sin \phi)v \\ 0 & -(\cos \phi)e \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_2, \\
 S_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ e & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_2, \quad S_4 = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_2,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{8}$$

here  $T_1, T_2$  are the same as in (6). ■

Let us note that  $M_2(C^*(F_2)) \otimes O_2 \simeq (C^*(F_2)) \otimes O_2$  because  $O_2 \simeq M_2(O_2)$  [10]. Therefore the  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi$  is the needed homomorphism for the proof of  $*$ -wildness of the algebra.

Let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $C^*(F_2)$  in a Hilbert space  $\hat{H}$ . Then the map  $\psi$  induces the representation  $F(\pi)$  of  $O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4}$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\pi \in \text{Rep } C^*(F_2)$  in  $L(\hat{H})$  and  $\mathcal{A} \in (F_\psi(\pi)(O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4}))'$  then  $\mathcal{A} = A \otimes I$  and  $A \in \pi(C^*(F_2))'$  (here  $I$  is the identity in  $L(H)$ ).*

The proof follows by direct computation.

**Lemma 3.** *The  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4} \rightarrow M_2(C^*(F_2)) \otimes O_2$  is a surjection.*

**Proof.** In the algebra  $M_2(C^*(F_2)) \otimes O_2$  we choose the following generators:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes I_0, & a_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes I_0, \\
 a_{21} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ e & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes I_0, & a_{22} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix} \otimes I_0, \\
 b &= \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \otimes I_0, & c_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_1, & c_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{9}$$

It is easy to see that the linear combinations of the generators  $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}, b, c_1, c_2$  give everywhere dense set of  $M_2(C^*(F_2)) \otimes O_2$ . The closure by norm gives our  $C^*$ -algebra. To prove that  $\psi$  is a surjection we point out the elements of the algebra  $O_{4, \{\alpha_k=1/2\}_{k=1}^4}$  which give the generators of  $M_2(C^*(F_2)) \otimes O_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_4 S_4^* &= a_{11}, & S_4 S_3^* &= a_{12}, & a_{21} &= a_{12}^*, & S_3 S_3^* &= a_{22}, \\
 S_4^* (S_1 + S_2) &= b, & S_4^2 S_4^* + S_3 S_4 S_3^* &= c_1, & S_3^2 S_3^* + S_4 S_3 S_4^* &= c_2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{10}$$

The proof of Theorem 1 follows from Remark 1 and Lemmas 1, 2. Another proof follows from Remark 2 and Lemmas 1, 3.

### The criterion of $*$ -wildness of the algebra $O_{3, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^3}$

For the algebras  $\mathfrak{P}_3 = \mathfrak{P}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$  all irreducible representations were described in [5]. The irreducible representations of these algebras exist only in the cases:

- 1)  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 1, 0 < \alpha_k < 1, k = 1, 2, 3, P_1 = P_3 = P_3 = I$ ;
- 2)  $\alpha_i \in R \setminus \{1\}, \alpha_j + \alpha_k = 1, 0 < \alpha_j < 1, 0 < \alpha_k < 1$ , here  $i, j, k$  are pairwise different integers from the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}, P_j = P_k = I; P_i = I$  if  $\alpha_i = 0$  and  $P_i = 0$  otherwise;

3)  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 2, 0 < \alpha_k < 1, k = 1, 2, 3, P_1, P_2, P_3$  are two-dimensional matrices:

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

$$P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \phi & \cos \phi \sin \phi \\ \cos \phi \sin \phi & \sin^2 \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \theta & \cos \theta \sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \sin \theta & \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

here

$$\cos \phi = \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - 1)}{\alpha_2(2 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)}}, \quad \sin \phi = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha_3}{\alpha_2(2 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)}}, \tag{11}$$

$$\cos \theta = \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - 1)}{\alpha_3(2 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)}}, \quad \sin \theta = -\sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha_2}{\alpha_3(2 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)}}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *The  $C^*$ -algebra  $O_{3, \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}}$  is  $*$ -wild if one of the following conditions holds:*

- 1)  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 1, 0 < \alpha_k < 1, k = 1, 2, 3;$
- 2)  $\alpha_i = 0, \alpha_j + \alpha_k = 1, 0 < \alpha_j < 1, 0 < \alpha_k < 1,$  here  $i, j, k$  are pairwise different integers from the set  $\{1, 2, 3\};$
- 3)  $\alpha_j + \alpha_k = 1, \alpha_i = \alpha_j$  or  $\alpha_i = \alpha_k, 0 < \alpha_l < 1, l = 1, 2, 3;$  here  $i, j, k$  are pairwise different integers from the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}.$

**Proof.** One-dimensional representations of the algebra  $\mathfrak{B}_3$  exist only when conditions 1, 2, 3 hold. In the first case we set the  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : O_{3, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^3} \rightarrow C^*(F_2)$  by the following way:  $\psi(s_1) = e, \psi(s_2) = u, \psi(s_3) = v$  and  $\psi(s_j) = u, \psi(s_k) = v, \psi(s_i) = e$  in the second case (here  $u, v$  are the generators of  $C^*(F_2)$ ). It is easy to see that the map  $\psi$  is a surjection.

In the third case we restrict ourselves the case  $\alpha_i = \alpha_j.$  We give a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : O_{3, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^3} \rightarrow M_2(C^*(F_2)) \otimes O_2$  in such a way:

$$\psi(s_i) = S_i = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_2, \tag{12}$$

$$\psi(s_j) = S_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ e & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_2, \quad \psi(s_k) = S_k = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \otimes I_0,$$

here  $T_1, T_2$  are the same as in (6). It is easy to check that the functor  $F$  induced by the  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi$  is full. Therefore the algebra  $O_{3, \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}}$  majorizes  $C^*(F_2)$  and is  $*$ -wild. ■

**Theorem 3.** *If  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 2, 0 < \alpha_k < 1, k = 1, 2, 3,$  then the  $C^*$ -algebra  $O_{3, \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}}$  is  $*$ -wild.*

**Proof.** We set the  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : O_{3, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^3} \rightarrow M_2(C^*(F_2)) \otimes O_2$  in such a way:

$$\psi(s_1) = S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_2,$$

$$\psi(s_2) = S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} (\cos \phi)u & 0 \\ (\sin \phi)e & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\cos \phi)v \\ 0 & (\sin \phi)e \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_2, \tag{13}$$

$$\psi(s_3) = S_3 = \begin{pmatrix} (\cos \theta)u & 0 \\ (\sin \theta)e & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\cos \theta)v \\ 0 & (\sin \theta)e \end{pmatrix} \otimes T_2,$$

here  $T_1, T_2$  are the same as in (6),  $\cos \phi, \sin \phi, \cos \theta, \sin \theta$  are such as in (11).

It is easy to verify that the functor  $F$  generated by the  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi$  is full. ■

**Remark 3.** One can see that these theorems together give also the needed conditions of  $*$ -wildness of  $O_{3, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^3}$  since either there are no representations of the corresponding algebra  $\mathfrak{P}_3$  for other  $\alpha_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$  (see [5]) or there are no representations of the algebra  $O_{3, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^3}$  (in the case  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ , if  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$  and  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_k$ , here  $i, j, k$  are pairwise different integers from the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ).

The criterion of  $*$ -wildness of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $O_{3, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^3}$  follows from Theorems 2, 3 and Remark 3.

**Theorem 4.** *The algebra  $O_{3, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^3}$  is  $*$ -wild if and only if  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- 1)  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 1$ ,  $0 < \alpha_k < 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ ;
- 2)  $\alpha_i = 0$ ,  $\alpha_j + \alpha_k = 1$ ,  $0 < \alpha_j < 1$ ,  $0 < \alpha_k < 1$ , here  $i, j, k$  are pairwise different integers from the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ;
- 3)  $\alpha_j + \alpha_k = 1$ ,  $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$  or  $\alpha_i = \alpha_k$ ,  $0 < \alpha_l < 1$ ,  $l = 1, 2, 3$ , here  $i, j, k$  are pairwise different integers from the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ;
- 4)  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 2$ ,  $0 < \alpha_k < 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ .

## References

- [1] Ostrovskiy V.L. and Samoilenko Yu.S., Introduction to the theory of representations of finitely presented  $*$ -algebras, *Rev. Math. and Math. Phys.*, 1999 (to appear).
- [2] Bespalov Yu.N., Collections of orthoprojections satisfying relations, *Ukr. Mat. Zhurn.*, 1992, V.44, N 3, 309–317 (in Russian).
- [3] Cuntz J., Simple  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 1977, V.57, 173–185.
- [4] Pavlenko H. and Piryatinska A., On representations of Cuntz type algebras, *Methods Funct. Anal. Topol.*, 1999, V.5, N 3, 35–39.
- [5] Galinsky D.V. and Muratov M.A., On representations of algebras generated by sets of three and four orthoprojections, in *Spectral and evolutionary problems*, Simferopol, Tavria, 1998, 15–22.
- [6] Galinsky D.V. and Kruglyak S.A., On representations of  $*$ -algebras generated by linearly related orthoprojectors, *Visnyk Kyiv University, Ser. Phys.-Math. Sciences*, 1999, Iss.2, 24–31 (in Ukrainian).
- [7] Kruglyak S.A. and Samoilenko Yu.S., On unitary equivalence of the family non self-adjoint operators, *Func. Anal. and Prilog.*, 1981, V.14, 52–61 (in Russian).
- [8] Kruglyak S.A., Piryatinska A.Yu. and Samoilenko Yu.S., On unitary classification of non self-adjoint operators (to appear).
- [9] Kruglyak S.A. and Piryatinskaya A.Yu., On “wild”  $*$ -algebras and the unitary classification of weakly centered operators, *Prepr. ser. of Mittag-Leffler Inst.*, N 11, 1995/96.
- [10] Choi M.D., A simple  $C^*$ -algebra generated by two finite-order unitaries, *Canad J. Math.*, 1979, V.31, 867–880.