

Twisted Product of Fock Spaces

Daniil P. PROSKURIN

Department of Cybernetics, Kyiv Taras Shevchenko University,
60 Volodymyrs'ka Str., 01033 Kyiv, Ukraine
E-mail: prosk@unicyb.kiev.ua

We discuss the construction of the twisted product of the interacting Fock spaces.

1 Introduction

Recall that the C^* -probability space is the pair (\mathcal{A}, ϕ) , where \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra and ϕ is a state. The self-adjoint element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is called a self-adjoint quantum random variable. The distribution of a with respect to ϕ is a probability measure μ on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\phi(a^k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k d\mu(t).$$

Remark 1. If \mathcal{A} is a $*$ -algebra or W^* -algebra then the corresponding spaces are called the $*$ -algebraic and W^* -algebraic quantum probability spaces respectively.

The notion of monotone independence was introduced by N. Muraki in [10].

Definition 1. The family $\{Y_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of random variables in (\mathcal{A}, ϕ) is called anti-monotone independent if the following two conditions hold

1. $Y_i^p Y_j^r Y_k^s = \phi(Y_j^r) Y_i^p Y_k^s$ for any $i > j < k$ and $p, s \in \mathbb{N}$.
2. For any $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s < j > j_t > \dots > j_2 > j_1$

$$\phi(Y_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots Y_{i_s}^{k_s} Y_j^l Y_{j_t}^{r_t} \dots Y_{j_1}^{r_1}) = \prod_{\nu=1}^s \phi(Y_{i_\nu}^{k_\nu}) \phi(Y_j^l) \prod_{\omega=1}^t \phi(Y_{j_\omega}^{k_\omega}).$$

The notation $i < j > k$ means $i < j, k < j$ and $i > j < k$ means $i > j, k > j$.

The notion of boolean independence was studied by many authors, see for example [11].

Definition 2. Let (\mathcal{B}, ϕ) be the $*$ -algebraic probability space. The family of elements $\{X_i, i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is called boolean independent if one has

$$\phi(X_{i_1}^{k_1} X_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots X_{i_n}^{k_n}) = \phi(X_{i_1}^{k_1}) \phi(X_{i_2}^{k_2}) \dots \phi(X_{i_n}^{k_n})$$

for any $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_n \in \mathcal{I}$.

The notion of the interacting Fock space was introduced by L. Accardi, Y. Lu and I. Volovich, see [2]. Let \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert space. Denote by $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})$ the full tensor space over \mathcal{H} and by ω the vacuum vector. Then construct operators of the creation $a(f), f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$a(f)f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n = f \otimes f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a(f)\omega = f.$$

Finally for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we supply $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ with some scalar product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_n$ and define the scalar product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ on the $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})$ by the rule

$$\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \perp \mathcal{H}^{\otimes m}, \quad m \neq n, \quad \langle x | y \rangle = \langle x | y \rangle_n, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}.$$

The operators $a^*(f)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}$ are called the annihilation operators. The following properties of the annihilation operators are obvious

$$a^*(f)\omega = 0, \quad a^*(f): \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition 3. The system $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{T}(H), \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, a(f), a^*(f), f \in \mathcal{H})$ is called the interacting Fock space.

The operators $X(f) = a(f) + a^*(f)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}$ are called the field operators and the operator N , defined by the rule

$$N\omega = 0, \quad N(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_k) = kf_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

is called the number operator. The vector state associated with vacuum vector ω is called Fock state. If $\dim \mathcal{H} = 1$ then the corresponding interacting Fock space is called a one-mode interacting Fock space.

The role of the one-mode interacting Fock spaces in quantum probability was clarified by L. Accardi and M. Bożejko in [1]. Namely, it was shown that any self-adjoint quantum variable can be realized in the form $a + a^* + f(N)$, where a , a^* , N are the creation, annihilation and the number operators acting on some one-mode interacting Fock space.

Let us discuss some type of general central limit theorem of the type considered by R. Speicher and W. von Waldenfels, see [15].

Theorem 1. Consider the $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} and state ϕ and the sequence of elements $a_i, a_i^* \in \mathcal{A}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $b_{2i-1} := a_i$, $b_{2i} := a_i^*$ and $X_i := a_i + a_i^*$. Put S_N to be

$$S_N = \frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_N}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

Suppose that the following assumptions are satisfied.

- (i) For any odd $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $\phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)}) = 0$.
- (ii) For even n the mixed moment $\phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)}) \neq 0$ only if $(\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n))$ is the permutation (with replications) of the collection

$$\{2i_1 - 1, 2i_1, 2i_2 - 1, 2i_2, \dots, 2i_k - 1, 2i_k, k \leq n/2\}.$$

- (iii) Let $(\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n))$ be the permutation of the collection

$$\{2i_1 - 1, 2i_1, 2i_2 - 1, 2i_2, \dots, 2i_k - 1, 2i_k, k \leq n/2\},$$

where $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$. Then for any $\{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k\}$ construct $(\tilde{\sigma}(1), \dots, \tilde{\sigma}(n))$ by the rule

$$\tilde{\sigma}(i) = 2j_s, \quad \text{if } \sigma(i) = 2i_s, \quad \tilde{\sigma}(i) = 2j_s - 1, \quad \text{if } \sigma(i) = 2i_s - 1.$$

Obviously the relation $\sigma \sim \tilde{\sigma}$ is equivalence. Then if σ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ are equivalent permutations with property $\sharp\sigma^{-1}(i) = 1$, we have the equality

$$\phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(b_{\tilde{\sigma}(1)} \cdots b_{\tilde{\sigma}(n)}).$$

(iv) For any even $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists the constant $C_n > 0$ such that

$$\forall \sigma \quad |\phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)})| < C_n.$$

Then for even n

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \phi(S_N^n) = \frac{1}{(n/2)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)}).$$

For odd n

$$\phi(S_N^n) = 0 \quad \forall N.$$

Proof. We use the standard arguments, see, for example [15]. For any even n one has

$$\phi(S_N^n) = N^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\sigma: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, 2N\}} \phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)}).$$

By the condition (ii) we have consider only σ which are the permutations of the collections

$$\{2i_1 - 1, 2i_1, 2i_2 - 1, 2i_2, \dots, 2i_k - 1, 2i_k, \quad k \leq n/2\}.$$

Denote by \mathcal{M} the union of the classes of equivalence of σ . Let \mathcal{M}_k be the set of classes of equivalence consisting of σ with $\#\{\sigma(i)\} = 2k$, $k = 1, \dots, n/2$. For any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_k$ there exists a unique $\sigma_{\mathbf{m}} \in \mathbf{m}$, which is the permutation with replications of the collection $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$. Obviously, for any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_k$ one has $\#\mathbf{m} = C_N^k$, since any $\sigma \in \mathbf{m}$ is uniquely determined by the ordered collection $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(S_N^n) &= N^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbf{m}} \phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &= N^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k < \frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbf{m}} \phi(b_{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(n)}) + N^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{n/2}} C_N^{n/2} \phi(b_{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the number of summands is finite and independent on N and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-\frac{n}{2}} C_N^k = 0, \quad k < \frac{n}{2}, \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-\frac{n}{2}} C_N^{n/2} = \frac{1}{(n/2)!}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| N^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k < \frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbf{m}} \phi(b_{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(n)}) \right| &\leq N^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k < \frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbf{m}} |\phi(b_{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(n)})| \\ &\leq C_n \sum_{k < \frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_k} N^{-\frac{n}{2}} C_N^k \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \phi(S_N^n) = \frac{1}{(n/2)!} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{n/2}} \phi(b_{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(n)}).$$

Evidently, the canonical representatives $\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}$ of classes $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{n/2}$ are the elements $\sigma_{\mathbf{m}} \in S_n$, where we denote by S_n the group of permutations on n symbols; please do not confuse with S_N . Finally

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \phi(S_N^n) = \frac{1}{(n/2)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)}).$$

The equality $\phi(S_N^n) = 0$ for odd n is evident. ■

Example 1. Consider the family of centered identically distributed elements $\{a_i, a_i^*, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfying the following conditions

1. $a_i^* a_j = q a_j a_i^*$, $a_j a_i = q a_i a_j$, $i < j$, $q \neq 0$.
2. If $\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(k)$, one has

$$\phi(y_{\sigma(1)} \cdots y_{\sigma(k)}) = \phi(y_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots \phi(y_{\sigma(k)}),$$

where $y_s \in \{a_s, a_s^*, a_s^* a_s, a_s a_s^*\}$.

Then the assumptions of the central limit theorem hold. Moreover, if we suppose that operators $a_i, a_i^*, i \in \mathbb{N}$ are the creation and annihilation operators acting on the interacting Fock space and ϕ is the Fock functional, then we additionally have the property $\phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(2k)}) \neq 0$, where $\sigma \in S_{2k}$, only if $\sigma^{-1}(2i) < \sigma^{-1}(2i-1)$ for any $i = 1, \dots, k$.

In particular, if a_i, a_i^* are the creation and annihilation operators on the twisted product of copies of the one-mode interacting Fock space, see next Section, and ϕ is the Fock state, the conditions above are satisfied.

Example 2. Suppose that $X_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ are anti-monotone independent centered symmetric identically distributed random variables with variance 1. Then one can realize them as the field operators acting on the monotone product of the one-mode interacting Fock spaces, i.e. suppose that $X_i = a_i + a_i^*$, a_i the creation and a_i^* the annihilation operators and ϕ is the Fock state. Let us find the measure given by the central limit theorem. To do it we note that $\phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(2m)})$ is either 1 or 0. Let us find the number of the non-zero summands in the sum

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{2m}} \phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(2m)}) \quad (1)$$

Firstly note that $\phi(Y_1 a_i Y_2 a_i^* Y_3) = 0$ if $Y_2 \neq 1$, here

$$Y_1 = \prod_{j < \sigma^{-1}(2i-1)} b_{\sigma(j)}, \quad Y_2 = \prod_{\sigma^{-1}(2i-1) < j < \sigma^{-1}(2i)} b_{\sigma(j)}, \quad Y_3 = \prod_{\sigma^{-1}(2i) < j} b_{\sigma(j)}.$$

Further, by definition of the anti-monotone independence if $Y_2 \neq 1$ we have $\phi(Y_1 a_1^* Y_2 a_1 Y_3) = \phi(a_1^*) \phi(Y_1 Y_2 a_1^* Y_3) = 0$, here

$$Y_1 = \prod_{j < \sigma^{-1}(2)} b_{\sigma(j)}, \quad Y_2 = \prod_{\sigma^{-1}(2) < j < \sigma^{-1}(1)} b_{\sigma(j)}, \quad Y_3 = \prod_{\sigma^{-1}(2i) < j} b_{\sigma(j)}.$$

Hence if $\phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)}) \neq 0$ we have $Y_2 = 1$ and

$$\phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(Y_1 a_1^* a_1 Y_3) = \phi(a_1^* a_1) \phi(Y_1 Y_3),$$

where $Y_1 Y_3$ is any product of $a_2, a_2^*, \dots, a_m, a_m^*$ where each term appears only once. Let k_m be the number of the non-zero summands in (1), then arguments presented above imply the following recurrent formula

$$k_m = (2m-1)k_{m-1}.$$

Indeed, we have $2m-1$ different positions for $a_1^* a_1$ in our permutation. Evidently, $k_1 = 1$, hence

$$k_m = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m!} \phi(S_N^{2m}) = \frac{(2m-1)!!}{m!} = \frac{C_{2m}^m}{2^m},$$

and these moments correspond to the arcsin distribution with density

$$d\mu(x) = 1/\pi \chi(-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2-x^2}}.$$

Example 3. Boolean central limit theorem. Let $\{a_i, a_i^*\}$ are boolean independent family of the creation and annihilation operators acting on the boolean Fock space. As above suppose that ϕ is the Fock state and $\phi(a_i^* a_i) = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Then all conditions of the central limit theorem are satisfied. Since for any i

$$\phi\left(Y_1 a_i^{(\varepsilon_i)} Y_2 a_i^{(-\varepsilon_i)} Y_3\right) = \phi(Y_1) \phi\left(a_i^{(\varepsilon_i)}\right) \phi(Y_2) \phi\left(a_i^{(-\varepsilon_i)}\right) \phi(Y_3) = 0, \quad \text{if } Y_2 \neq 1 \text{ or } \varepsilon_i = 1,$$

where $\varepsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}$ and $a_s^{(1)} = a_s$, $a_s^{(-1)} = a_s^*$. Hence, as in the monotone case, we have $\phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(2n)}) \neq 0$ only if

$$b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(2n)} = Y_1 a_1^* a_1 Y_3$$

and

$$\phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(2n)}) = \phi(a_1^* a_1) \phi(Y_1) \phi(Y_3),$$

where Y_1 is the word obtained by some permutation of the word $a_{i_1}^* a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k}^* a_{i_k}$, $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and analogously for Y_3 . The arguments presented above shows that $\phi(b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(2n)}) \neq 0$ if and only if

$$b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{\sigma(2n)} = a_{\pi(1)}^* a_{\pi(1)} \cdots a_{\pi(n)}^* a_{\pi(n)} = 1,$$

where $\pi \in S_n$ is any permutation. Hence we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \phi(S_n^{2n}) = \frac{1}{n!} n! = 1$$

so, $m_{2n-1} = 0$ and $m_{2n} = 1$ – the moments of the discrete measure concentrated on $\{-1, 1\}$.

2 Twisted product

In this Section we discuss the construction of the twisted product Fock space. This is the special kind of the interacting Fock space.

Let \mathcal{I} be totally ordered set. Consider the collection of the one-mode interacting Fock spaces

$$\{(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}_i), a_i, a_i^* \mid i \in \mathcal{I})\}.$$

Let $\Omega_i \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ be vacuum vector, consider the orthonormal system

$$\{e_i^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\},$$

such that $e_i^{(0)} := \Omega_i$ and $a_i e_i^{(n)} = \alpha_i^{(n)} e_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Denote by ϕ_i the Fock state on $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}_i)$. Then consider the Hilbert space \mathcal{T} with orthonormal basis

$$\Omega, \quad e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)}, \quad i_1 < \cdots < i_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i_s \in \mathcal{I}, \quad n_s \in \mathbb{N}, \quad s = 1, \dots, k.$$

Further define the creation operators \tilde{a}_j , $j \in \mathcal{I}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_j \Omega &= \alpha_j^{(0)} e_j^{(1)}, \\ \tilde{a}_j e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)} &= \mu^k \alpha_j^{(0)} e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)} \otimes e_j^{(1)}, \quad j > i_k, \\ \tilde{a}_j e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_s}^{(n_s)} \otimes e_{i_{s+1}}^{(n_{s+1})} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)} & \\ &= \mu^{n_1 + \cdots + n_s} \alpha_j^{(0)} e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_s}^{(n_s)} \otimes e_j^{(1)} \otimes e_{i_{s+1}}^{(n_{s+1})} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)}, \quad i_s < j < i_{s+1}, \\ \tilde{a}_j e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_s}^{(n_s)} \otimes e_{i_{s+1}}^{(n_{s+1})} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)} & \\ &= \mu^{n_1 + \cdots + n_{s-1}} \alpha_j^{(n_s)} e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_s}^{(n_s+1)} \otimes e_{i_{s+1}}^{(n_{s+1})} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)}, \quad j = i_s, \\ \tilde{a}_j e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)} &= \alpha_j^{(0)} e_j^{(1)} \otimes e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)}, \quad j < i_1. \end{aligned}$$

For the adjoint (annihilation) operators \tilde{a}_j^* one has the following

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_j^* \Omega &= 0, \\ \tilde{a}_j e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)} &= 0, \quad j \neq i_s, \quad s = 1, \dots, k, \\ \tilde{a}_j^* e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_s}^{(n_s)} \otimes e_{i_{s+1}}^{(n_{s+1})} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)} \\ &= (\bar{\mu})^{n_1 + \cdots + n_{s-1}} (\bar{\alpha}_j)^{(n_s-1)} e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_s}^{(n_s-1)} \otimes e_{i_{s+1}}^{(n_{s+1})} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)}, \quad j = i_s, \end{aligned}$$

where we identify $e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_s}^{(0)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}^{(n_k)}$ with $e_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{s-1}}^{(n_{s-1})} \otimes e_{i_{s+1}}^{(n_{s+1})}$.

We call the interacting Fock space $(\mathcal{T}, \tilde{a}_i, \tilde{a}_i^*, i \in \mathcal{I})$ the **twisted product** of the one-mode interacting Fock spaces $(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}_i), a_i, a_i^*), i \in \mathcal{I}$ with the twist parameter $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Below we denote by ϕ the Fock state, i.e. the vector state defined by Ω , on \mathcal{T} .

It is easy to verify that the operators \tilde{a}_i, \tilde{a}_j and $\tilde{a}_i^*, \tilde{a}_j^*, i > j$ satisfy the μ -commutation relations, i.e.

$$\tilde{a}_i^* \tilde{a}_j = \mu \tilde{a}_j \tilde{a}_i^*, \quad \tilde{a}_i \tilde{a}_j = \mu \tilde{a}_j \tilde{a}_i.$$

One can verify also that the joint distributions of a_i, a_i^* with respect to ϕ_i and $\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{a}_i^*$ with respect to ϕ coincide, i.e. for any non-commutative polynomial $p(x, y)$ one has

$$\phi_i(p(a_i, a_i^*)) = \phi(p(\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{a}_i^*)).$$

Finally note that for $\mu = 1$ one has the usual tensor product and for $\mu = 0$ the monotone product of interacting Fock spaces considered by N. Muraki, see [12].

When we have the finite set $\mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ the twisted product is just the twisted Fock space constructed by W. Pusz and S.L. Woronowicz, see [13]. In this case the orthonormal basis of \mathcal{T} has the form

$$e_1^{(n_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_d^{(n_d)}, \quad n_s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad s = 1, \dots, d,$$

where $\Omega := e_1^{(0)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_d^{(0)}$, i.e. $\mathcal{T} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}_i)$. In this case the operators $\tilde{a}_i, i = 1, \dots, d$ can be presented as tensor products

$$\tilde{a}_i = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{i-1} d(\mu) \otimes a_i \otimes \bigotimes_{j=i+1}^d 1,$$

where $d(\mu)e_j^{(n)} = \mu^n e_j^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j = 1, \dots, d$. If we consider the special case $\mu = 0$, we get the realization of the monotone independent non-commutative random variables constructed by U. Franz, see [6]

$$\tilde{a}_i = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{i-1} P_j \otimes a_i \otimes \bigotimes_{j=i+1}^d 1.$$

- [1] Accardi L. and Bożejko M., Interacting Fock spaces and Gaussianization of probability measures, *Inf. Dim. Anal., Quant. Prob. and Rel. Topics*, 1998, V.1, N 4, 663–670.
- [2] Accardi L., Lu Y. and Volovich I., Non-commutative (quantum) probability, master fields and stochastic bosonization, hep-th/9412241.
- [3] Bożejko M., Kummerer B., Speicher R., q -Gaussian processes: non-commutative and classical aspects, *Commun.Math.Phys.*, 1997, V.185, 129–154.

- [4] Bożejko M. and Speicher R., An example of a generalized Brownian motion, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 1991, V.137, 1991, 519–531.
- [5] Bożejko M. and Speicher R., An example of a generalized Brownian motion II, *Infin. Dim. Anal., Quant. Prob. and Rel. Topics*, 1992, V.7, 97–120.
- [6] Franz U., Monotone independence is associative, *Infin. Dim. Anal., Quant. Prob. and Rel. Topics*, 2001, V.4, N 3, 401–407.
- [7] Macfarlane A.J., On q -analogues of the quantum harmonic oscillator and the quantum group $SU(2)_q$, *J. Phys. A*, 1989, V.22, 4581–4588.
- [8] Marcinek W., On commutation relations for quons, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, 1998, V.41, 155–172.
- [9] Meyer P.-A., Quantum probability for probabilists, *Lect. Notes Math.*, Vol. 1538, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [10] Muraki N., Monotone independence, monotone central limit theorem and monotone law of small numbers, *Infin. Dim. Anal., Quant. Prob. and Rel. Topics*, 2001, V.4, N 1, 39–58.
- [11] Muraki N., The five independences as quasi-universal products, *Infin. Dim. Anal., Quant. Prob. and Rel. Topics*, 2002, V.5, N 1, 113–134.
- [12] Muraki N., The monotonic convolution and monotonic Lévy–Hinčin formula, *Prob. Rel. Fields*, to appear.
- [13] Pusz W. and Woronowicz S.L., Twisted second quantization, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, 1989, V.27, 251–263.
- [14] Proskurin D. and Samoilenko Yu., Stability of the C^* -algebra associated with the twisted CCR, *Algebras and Rep. Theory*, 2002, V.5, 433–444.
- [15] Speicher R. and von Waldenfels W., A general central limit theorem and invariance principle, in *Quantum Probability and Related Topics*, Editor L. Accardi, World Scientific, 1994, V.9, 371–387.
- [16] Voiculescu D., Dykema K. and Nica A., Free random variables. A noncommutative probability approach to free products with applications to random matrices, operator algebras and harmonic analysis on free groups, *CRM Monograph Series*, Vol. 1, Providence, RI, American Mathematical Society, 1992.