

Nahm's conjecture about modularity of q-series

(joint work with S. Zagier)

Masha Vlasenko

Oberwolfach

July 22, 2011

Let $r \geq 1$ and

$$F_{A,B,C}(q) = \sum_{n \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n^T A n + n^T B + C}}{(q)_{n_1} \cdots (q)_{n_r}}, \quad |q| < 1$$

where

$A \in M_r(\mathbb{Q})$ positive definite, symmetric

$$B \in \mathbb{Q}^n, \quad C \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad (q)_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^k)$$

Let $r \geq 1$ and

$$F_{A,B,C}(q) = \sum_{n \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n^T A n + n^T B + C}}{(q)_{n_1} \cdots (q)_{n_r}}, \quad |q| < 1$$

where

$A \in M_r(\mathbb{Q})$ positive definite, symmetric

$$B \in \mathbb{Q}^n, \quad C \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad (q)_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^k)$$

Problem (Werner Nahm): for which triples of parameters (A, B, C) the function $F_{A,B,C}$ is a modular form?

the case $r = 1$

Theorem(D. Zagier, M. Terhoeven, 2007) All triples (A, B, C) in $\mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ for which $F_{A,B,C}$ is modular are given in the following table.

A	B	C	$F_{A,B,C}(e^{2\pi iz})$
2	0	-1/60	$\theta_{5,1}(z)/\eta(z)$
	1	11/60	$\theta_{5,2}(z)/\eta(z)$
1	0	-1/48	$\eta(z)^2/\eta(\frac{z}{2})\eta(2z)$
	1/2	1/24	$\eta(2z)/\eta(z)$
	-1/2	1/24	$2\eta(2z)/\eta(z)$
1/2	0	-1/40	$\theta_{5,1}(\frac{z}{4})\eta(2z)/\eta(z)\eta(4z)$
	1/2	1/40	$\theta_{5,2}(\frac{z}{4})\eta(2z)/\eta(z)\eta(4z)$

Here $\eta(z) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$, $\theta_{5,j}(z) = \sum_{n \equiv 2j-1(10)} (-1)^{[n/10]} q^{n^2/40}$.

Lemma. Let $F(q)$ be a modular form of weight w for a subgroup of finite index $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then when $\varepsilon \searrow 0$

$$F(e^{-\varepsilon}) \sim b \varepsilon^{-w} e^{\frac{a}{\varepsilon}} \left(1 + o(\varepsilon^N)\right) \quad \forall N \geq 0$$

for appropriate numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, $a \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}$ here.

Lemma. Let $F(q)$ be a modular form of weight w for a subgroup of finite index $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then when $\varepsilon \searrow 0$

$$F(e^{-\varepsilon}) \sim b \varepsilon^{-w} e^{\frac{a}{\varepsilon}} \left(1 + o(\varepsilon^N)\right) \quad \forall N \geq 0$$

for appropriate numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, $a \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}$ here.

Strategy: compute the asymptotics of $F_{A,B,C}(e^{-\varepsilon})$ when $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

Lemma. The system of equations

$$1 - Q_i = \prod_{j=1}^r Q_j^{A_{ij}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

has a unique solution with $Q_i \in (0, 1)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Lemma. The system of equations

$$1 - Q_i = \prod_{j=1}^r Q_j^{A_{ij}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

has a unique solution with $Q_i \in (0, 1)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

$$F_{A,B,C}(q) = \sum_n a_n(q) \quad a_n(q) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n^T A n + n^T B + C}}{(q)_{n_1} \cdots (q)_{n_r}}$$

Lemma. The system of equations

$$1 - Q_i = \prod_{j=1}^r Q_j^{A_{ij}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

has a unique solution with $Q_i \in (0, 1)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

$$F_{A,B,C}(q) = \sum_n a_n(q) \quad a_n(q) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n^T A n + n^T B + C}}{(q)_{n_1} \dots (q)_{n_r}}$$

Let $q \rightarrow 1$ and $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ so that $q^{n_i} \rightarrow Q_i$. Then

$$\frac{a_{n+e_i}(q)}{a_n(q)} = \frac{q^{n^T A e_i + \frac{1}{2} e_i^T A e_i + e_i^T B}}{1 - q^{n_i+1}} \rightarrow \frac{Q_1^{A_{i1}} \dots Q_r^{A_{ir}}}{1 - Q_i} = 1.$$

Lemma. The system of equations

$$1 - Q_i = \prod_{j=1}^r Q_j^{A_{ij}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

has a unique solution with $Q_i \in (0, 1)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

$$F_{A,B,C}(q) = \sum_n a_n(q) \quad a_n(q) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n^T A n + n^T B + C}}{(q)_{n_1} \dots (q)_{n_r}}$$

Let $q \rightarrow 1$ and $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ so that $q^{n_i} \rightarrow Q_i$. Then

$$\frac{a_{n+e_i}(q)}{a_n(q)} = \frac{q^{n^T A e_i + \frac{1}{2} e_i^T A e_i + e_i^T B}}{1 - q^{n_i+1}} \rightarrow \frac{Q_1^{A_{i1}} \dots Q_r^{A_{ir}}}{1 - Q_i} = 1.$$

For fixed small ε terms $a_n(e^{-\varepsilon})$ are maximal around

$$n \approx \left(-\frac{\log(Q_1)}{\varepsilon}, \dots, -\frac{-\log(Q_r)}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

Theorem. There is an asymptotic expansion

$$F_{A,B,C}(e^{-\varepsilon}) \sim \beta e^{\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon} - \gamma\varepsilon} \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m \varepsilon^m \right), \quad \varepsilon \searrow 0$$

with the coefficients given as follows:

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^r (L(1) - L(Q_i)) > 0,$$

$$\beta = \det \tilde{A}^{-1/2} \prod_i Q_i^{B_i} (1 - Q_i)^{-1/2}, \quad \gamma = C + \frac{1}{24} \sum \frac{1 + Q_i}{1 - Q_i},$$

where $L(x) = Li_2(x) + \frac{1}{2} \log(x) \log(1 - x)$ is the Rogers dilog,

$$\tilde{A} = A + \text{diag}\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r\}, \quad \xi_i = \frac{Q_i}{1 - Q_i} > 0$$

and

$$c_m = \det \tilde{A}^{1/2} (2\pi)^{-r/2} \int C_{2m}(B, \xi, t) e^{-\frac{1}{2} t^T \tilde{A} t} dt$$

where C_{2m} are certain polynomials in $3r$ variables.

and

$$c_m = \det \tilde{A}^{1/2} (2\pi)^{-r/2} \int C_{2m}(B, \xi, t) e^{-\frac{1}{2} t^T \tilde{A} t} dt$$

where C_{2m} are certain polynomials in $3r$ variables. Namely, we define polynomials in 3 variables $D_m \in \mathbb{Q}[B, X, T]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left[\left(B + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q}{1-Q}\right) T \varepsilon^{1/2} - \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} B_m\left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\right) Li_{2-m}(Q) \varepsilon^{m-1}\right] \\ = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} D_m\left(B, \frac{Q}{1-Q}, T\right) \varepsilon^{m/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$C_m(B, \xi, t) = \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_r = m} \prod_{i=1}^r D_{m_i}(B_i, \xi_i, t_i).$$

Corollary. If $F_{A,B,C}$ is modular then

- its weight $w = 0$
- $\alpha \in \pi^2\mathbb{Q} \iff \sum_{i=1}^r L(Q_i) \in \pi^2\mathbb{Q}$
- $e^{-\gamma\varepsilon} \left(1 + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} c_p \varepsilon^p\right) = 1 \iff c_p = \frac{\gamma^p}{p!} \quad \forall p$

Corollary. If $F_{A,B,C}$ is modular then

- its weight $w = 0$
- $\alpha \in \pi^2\mathbb{Q} \iff \sum_{i=1}^r L(Q_i) \in \pi^2\mathbb{Q}$
- $e^{-\gamma\varepsilon} \left(1 + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} c_p \varepsilon^p\right) = 1 \iff c_p = \frac{\gamma^p}{p!} \quad \forall p$

Since

$$c_m = \det \tilde{A}^{1/2} (2\pi)^{-r/2} \int C_{2m}(B, \xi, t) e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^T \tilde{A}t} dt$$

are polynomials in the entries of B, ξ and \tilde{A}^{-1} , we have infinitely many polynomial equations:

$$\left(c_m - \frac{1}{m!} c_1^m\right)(B, \xi, \tilde{A}^{-1}) = 0, \quad m = 2, 3, \dots$$

Back to the case $r = 1$: let

$$\tilde{c}_m(B, \xi, A) = (A + \xi)^{3m} \left[c_m - \frac{1}{m!} c_1^m \right] \left(B, \xi, \frac{1}{A + \xi} \right), \quad m = 2, 3, \dots$$

Back to the case $r = 1$: let

$$\tilde{c}_m(B, \xi, A) = (A + \xi)^{3m} \left[c_m - \frac{1}{m!} c_1^m \right] \left(B, \xi, \frac{1}{A + \xi} \right), \quad m = 2, 3, \dots$$

Using *Magma* we have got the following decomposition of the radical of

$$I = \langle \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3, \tilde{c}_4, \tilde{c}_5 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}[B, \xi, A]$$

into prime ideals

$$\text{Rad}(I) = \mathcal{P}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{P}_{14}$$

where the generators of \mathcal{P}_i are given below:

i	generators of \mathcal{P}_i		
1		ξ	
2		$\xi + 1$	
3	$B - 1/2,$	$\xi + 2,$	A
4	$B - 1,$	$\xi + 2,$	A
5	$B,$	$\xi + 2,$	A
6	$B + 1/2,$	$\xi^2 + 3\xi + 1,$	$A + 1$
7	$B - 1/2,$	$\xi^2 + 3\xi + 1,$	$A + 1$
8	$B + 1/2,$	$\xi - 1,$	$A - 1$
9	$B,$	$\xi - 1,$	$A - 1$
10	$B - 1/2,$	$\xi - 1,$	$A - 1$
11	$B - 1,$	$\xi^2 - \xi - 1,$	$A - 2$
12	$B,$	$\xi^2 - \xi - 1,$	$A - 2$
13	$B - 1/2,$	$\xi^2 + \xi - 1,$	$A - 1/2$
14	$B,$	$\xi^2 + \xi - 1,$	$A - 1/2$

A	B	C	$F_{A,B,C}(e^{2\pi iz})$
2	0	$-1/60$	$\theta_{5,1}(z)/\eta(z)$
	1	$11/60$	$\theta_{5,2}(z)/\eta(z)$
1	0	$-1/48$	$\eta(z)^2/\eta(\frac{z}{2})\eta(2z)$
	$1/2$	$1/24$	$\eta(2z)/\eta(z)$
	$-1/2$	$1/24$	$2\eta(2z)/\eta(z)$
$1/2$	0	$-1/40$	$\theta_{5,1}(\frac{z}{4})\eta(2z)/\eta(z)\eta(4z)$
	$1/2$	$1/40$	$\theta_{5,2}(\frac{z}{4})\eta(2z)/\eta(z)\eta(4z)$

Theorem. Consider $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 1-a \\ 1-a & a \end{pmatrix}$, $a > \frac{1}{2}$, $a \neq 1$. Then all pairs (B, C) such that $F_{A,B,C}$ is modular are:

B	C	$F_{A,B,C}(e^{2\pi iz})$
$\begin{pmatrix} b \\ -b \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{b^2}{2a} - \frac{1}{24}$	$\frac{1}{\eta(z)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{b}{a}} q^{an^2/2}$
$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8a} - \frac{1}{24}$	$\frac{2}{\eta(z)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2a}} q^{an^2/2}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{a}{2} \\ \frac{a}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{2} \\ 1 - \frac{a}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{a}{8} - \frac{1}{24}$	$\frac{1}{2\eta(z)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} q^{an^2/2}$

Theorem. Modular functions $F_{A,B,C}(z)$ with the matrix A being of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & \frac{1}{2} - a \\ \frac{1}{2} - a & a \end{pmatrix}$ exist if and only if $a = 1$, $a = 3/4$ or $a = 1/2$. Below is the list of all such modular functions.

A	B	C	$F_{A,B,C}(e^{2\pi iz})$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$	$(\theta_{5,\frac{3}{4}}(2z) + \theta_{5,\frac{13}{4}}(2z))\eta(z)/\eta(2z)\eta(z/2)$ $+ 2\theta_{5,2}(2z)\eta(2z)/\eta(z)^2$ $2\theta_{5,1}(2z)\eta(2z)/\eta(z)^2$ $+ \theta_{5,\frac{3}{2}}(z)\theta_{5,2}(2z)\eta(z)^3/\eta(z/2)^2\eta(2z)^2\eta(10z)$
$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{80}$ $\frac{1}{80}$	$\theta_{5,1}(\frac{z}{8})\eta(z)/\eta(\frac{z}{2})\eta(2z)$ $\theta_{5,2}(\frac{z}{8})\eta(z)/\eta(\frac{z}{2})\eta(2z)$
$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{20}$ 0 $\frac{1}{20}$	$(\theta_{5,1}(\frac{z}{4})\eta(2z)/\eta(z)\eta(4z))^2$ $\theta_{5,1}(\frac{z}{4})\theta_{5,2}(\frac{z}{4})(\eta(2z)/\eta(z)\eta(4z))^2$ $(\theta_{5,2}(\frac{z}{4})\eta(2z)/\eta(z)\eta(4z))^2$

The Bloch group $B(K)$ of a field K is defined as the quotient of the kernel of the map

$$\mathbb{Z}[K] \rightarrow \Lambda^2 K^*$$

$$[x] \mapsto x \wedge (1 - x), \quad [0], [1] \mapsto 0$$

by a subgroup generated by the elements of the form

$$[x] + [y] + [1 - xy] + \left[\frac{1 - x}{1 - xy} \right] + \left[\frac{1 - y}{1 - xy} \right].$$

The Bloch group $B(K)$ of a field K is defined as the quotient of the kernel of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}[K] &\rightarrow \Lambda^2 K^* \\ [x] &\mapsto x \wedge (1-x), \quad [0], [1] \mapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

by a subgroup generated by the elements of the form

$$[x] + [y] + [1-xy] + \left[\frac{1-x}{1-xy} \right] + \left[\frac{1-y}{1-xy} \right].$$

If K is a number field then

$$B(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong K_3(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

The Bloch group $B(K)$ of a field K is defined as the quotient of the kernel of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}[K] &\rightarrow \Lambda^2 K^* \\ [x] &\mapsto x \wedge (1-x), \quad [0], [1] \mapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

by a subgroup generated by the elements of the form

$$[x] + [y] + [1-xy] + \left[\frac{1-x}{1-xy} \right] + \left[\frac{1-y}{1-xy} \right].$$

If K is a number field then

$$B(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong K_3(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

and the regulator map is given explicitly on $B(K)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} B(K) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_2} \\ [x] &\mapsto (D(\sigma_1(x)), \dots, D(\sigma_{r_2}(x))) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D(x) = \Im(Li_2(x) + \log(1-x) \log|x|)$$

is the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm function.

If

$$1 - Q_i = \prod_{j=1}^r Q_j^{A_{ij}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

then $[Q_1] + \dots + [Q_r] \in B(K)$:

If

$$1 - Q_i = \prod_{j=1}^r Q_j^{A_{ij}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

then $[Q_1] + \dots + [Q_r] \in B(K)$:

$$\sum_i Q_i \wedge (1 - Q_i) = \sum_i Q_i \wedge \prod_j Q_j^{A_{ij}} = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} Q_i \wedge Q_j = 0.$$

If

$$1 - Q_i = \prod_{j=1}^r Q_j^{A_{ij}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

then $[Q_1] + \dots + [Q_r] \in B(K)$:

$$\sum_i Q_i \wedge (1 - Q_i) = \sum_i Q_i \wedge \prod_j Q_j^{A_{ij}} = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} Q_i \wedge Q_j = 0.$$

If $F_{A,B,C}$ is modular for some B, C then we must have

$$L(Q_1) + \dots + L(Q_r) \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}$$

for the distinguished solution with all $Q_i \in (0, 1)$.

Conjecture.(Werner Nahm) For a positive definite symmetric $r \times r$ matrix with rational coefficients A the following are equivalent:

- There exist $B \in \mathbb{Q}^r$ and $C \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $F_{A,B,C}$ is a modular function.
- The element $[Q_1] + \cdots + [Q_r]$ is torsion in the corresponding Bloch group for every solution of “Nahm’s equation”.

Conjecture.(Werner Nahm) For a positive definite symmetric $r \times r$ matrix with rational coefficients A the following are equivalent:

- There exist $B \in \mathbb{Q}^r$ and $C \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $F_{A,B,C}$ is a modular function.
- The element $[Q_1] + \cdots + [Q_r]$ is torsion in the corresponding Bloch group for every solution of "Nahm's equation".

True when $r = 1$: one can prove that all solutions of

$$1 - Q = Q^A$$

are totally real if and only if $A \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1, 2\}$, and for the element $[Q]$ the condition of being torsion is equivalent to being totally real since

$$D(z) = 0 \iff z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 1-a \\ 1-a & a \end{pmatrix}$ all solutions are zero in the Bloch group:

$$\begin{cases} 1 - Q_1 = Q_1^a Q_2^{1-a}, \\ 1 - Q_2 = Q_1^{1-a} Q_2^a, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$\frac{1 - Q_1}{Q_2} = \left(\frac{Q_1}{Q_2}\right)^a = \frac{Q_1}{1 - Q_2},$$

$$(1 - Q_1)(1 - Q_2) = Q_1 Q_2,$$

$$Q_1 + Q_2 = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad [Q_1] + [Q_2] = 0 \text{ in } B(\mathbb{C}).$$

A counterexample to the conjecture:

$$F\left(\begin{pmatrix} 3/4 & -1/4 \\ -1/4 & 3/4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 \\ -1/4 \end{pmatrix}, -\frac{1}{80}\right) = \frac{\theta_{5,1}\left(\frac{z}{8}\right)\eta(z)}{\eta\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\eta(2z)}$$

but there are non-torsion solutions to

$$\begin{cases} 1 - Q_1 = Q_1^{3/4} Q_2^{-1/4}, \\ 1 - Q_2 = Q_1^{-1/4} Q_2^{3/4}. \end{cases}$$

A counterexample to the conjecture:

$$F\left(\begin{pmatrix} 3/4 & -1/4 \\ -1/4 & 3/4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 \\ -1/4 \end{pmatrix}, -\frac{1}{80}\right) = \frac{\theta_{5,1}\left(\frac{z}{8}\right)\eta(z)}{\eta\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\eta(2z)}$$

but there are non-torsion solutions to

$$\begin{cases} 1 - Q_1 = Q_1^{3/4} Q_2^{-1/4}, \\ 1 - Q_2 = Q_1^{-1/4} Q_2^{3/4}. \end{cases}$$

Let $t = Q_1^{1/4} Q_2^{-1/4}$, then

$$\frac{1 - Q_1}{Q_2^{1/2}} = t^3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad Q_2^{1/2} = t^{-3}(1 - Q_1),$$

$$\frac{1 - Q_2}{Q_1^{1/2}} = t^{-3} \quad \Rightarrow \quad Q_1^{1/2} = t^3(1 - Q_2).$$

We substitute these equalities into $Q_1^{1/2} = t^2 Q_2^{1/2}$ and get

$$\begin{aligned}t^3(1 - Q_2) &= t^2 t^{-3}(1 - Q_1), \\t^4(1 - Q_2) &= 1 - Q_1 = 1 - t^4 Q_2, \\t^4 &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore all solutions are $(Q_1, Q_2) = (x, x)$ where

$$1 - x = tx^{1/2}, t^4 = 1$$

or

$$(1 - x)^4 = x^2 \Leftrightarrow (x^2 - 3x + 1)(x^2 - x + 1) = 0.$$

Hence $(Q_1, Q_2) = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right)$ is a solution, and $2\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right]$ is not torsion because

$$D\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}\right) = 1.01494\dots$$

Counterexample with integer entries:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \frac{1}{15},$$

$$F_{A,B,C}(q) = \frac{\eta(2z)^2 \theta_{5,1}(z)}{\eta(z)^3}.$$

Problem: find a correct formulation of Nahm's conjecture.

Problem: find a correct formulation of Nahm's conjecture.

For a positive definite symmetric $r \times r$ matrix with rational coefficients A the following are equivalent:

- There exist $B \in \mathbb{Q}^r$ and $C \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $F_{A,B,C}$ is a modular function.
- The element $[Q_1] + \cdots + [Q_r]$ is torsion in the corresponding Bloch group for *EVERY* (?) solution of

$$1 - Q_i = \prod_{j=1}^r Q_j^{A_{ij}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$