▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Apéry's constant and other "geometric" numbers: towards understanding the motivic Galois group

Masha Vlasenko (Trinity College)

UCD/TCD Mathematics Summer School May 28, 2012

The story is based on the papers

 Yves André, Galois theory, motives and transcendental numbers, 2008

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Maxim Kontsevich and Don Zagier, Periods, 2001

Basic question	Periods	Algebraic numbers revisited:	Galois theory	Homology	Motivic Galois group	Motives
----------------	---------	------------------------------	---------------	----------	----------------------	---------

$$\mathbb{N} = \left\{ 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{Z} = \left\{ \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}, q \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ g.c.d.}(p, q) = 1 \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{R}$$

$$\mathbb{C} = \left\{ x + i \cdot y \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆■ ▶ ◆ ■ ◆ ● ◆ ● ◆ ● ◆

A number $x \in \mathbb{C}$ is called *algebraic* if it satisfies a polynomial equation with rational coefficients:

$$x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} x + a_n = 0, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Notation: $x \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$

Choose the equation of minimal possible degree. Its complex roots are then called the *conjugates* of *x*:

$$x_1 = x, x_2, \ldots x_n$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Example 1:
$$x^2 - x - 1 = 0$$
, $x_{1,2} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Example 2:
$$x = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}} = \cos(72^\circ) + i\sin(72^\circ)$$

= $\frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{4} + i\sqrt{\frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{8}}$

$$\begin{aligned} x^5 &= 1 \\ x^5 - 1 &= (x - 1)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1) = 0 \\ x_{1,2} &= \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{4} \pm i\sqrt{\frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{8}}, \quad x_{3,4} = -\frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{4} \pm i\sqrt{\frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{8}} \end{aligned}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

Example 3: There are three sets of conjugates among 9th roots of 1.

$$x^{9}-1 = (x-1)(x^{2}+x+1)(x^{6}+x^{3}+1)$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

$$\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$$

 \cap \cap
 $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$

Numbers which are not algebraic are called transcendental.

 $\pi = 3.141592653589793238462643383...$ is transcendental (F. Lindeman, 1882)

$$e = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n = 2.718281828459045235360287471...$$

is transcendental (Ch. Hermite, 1873)

Basic question: Is there anything analogous to *conjugates* for (some) transcendental numbers?

Naive approach: look for a formal power series with rational coefficients as a substitute for the minimal polynomial.

E.g.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} x^{2n} = 1 - \frac{1}{6}x^2 + \frac{1}{120}x^4 + \dots = \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$$

vanishes at $x = \pi$, but also at

$$x = m\pi$$
 for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0$.

A.Hurwitz:

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists a power series with rational coefficients which defines an entire function of exponential growth, and vanishes at α .

However, it turns out that there are uncountably many such series. In fact, such a series can be found which vanishes not only at α , but also at any other fixed number β .

Naive approach fails.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Periods

A *period* is a complex number whose real and imaginary parts are values of absolutely convergent integrals of rational functions with rational coefficients, over domains in \mathbb{R}^n given by polynomial inequalities with rational coefficients.

Examples:
$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0 \le x^2 \le 2} dx$$
, $\log(2) = \int_1^2 \frac{dx}{x}$.

All algebraic numbers are periods. Logarithms of algebraic numbers are periods. Periods form an algebra, i.e. the sum and the product of two periods is a period again.

$$\pi = \int_{x^2+y^2 \le 1} dx \, dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^2} \in \mathcal{P}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Many infinite sums of elementary expressions are periods. E.g. all values of the Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

at integer arguments $s \ge 2$ are periods. E.g.

$$\int_{0 < x < y < z < 0} \int_{0 < x < y < z < 0} \frac{dxdydz}{(1 - x)yz} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{yz} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{y} x^{n} dxdydz$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{yz} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{n+1}}{n+1} dydz$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n+1}}{(n+1)^{2}} dz = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{3}} = \zeta(3)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Values of the gamma function

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$$

are closely related to periods:

$$\Gamma\left(rac{p}{q}
ight)^q\in\mathcal{P}\qquad p,q\in\mathbb{N}$$

For instance,

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \pi, \qquad \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^3 = 2^{\frac{4}{3}} 3^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^3}}.$$

Identities between periods

(1) additivity (in the integrand and in the domain of integration)

$$\int_a^b (f(x) + g(x)) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx + \int_a^b g(x) dx$$
$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^c f(x) dx + \int_c^b f(x) dx$$

(2) change of variables

$$\int_{f(a)}^{f(b)} F(y) \, dy = \int_a^b F(f(x)) f'(x) \, dx$$

(3) Newton-Leibniz formula

$$\int_{a}^{b} f'(x) dx = f(b) - f(a)$$

Conjectural principle: if a period has two integral representations, then one can pass from one formula to another using only (multidimensional generalizations) of the rules (1)-(3).

As an example, let us proof the identity

$$\zeta(2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

The following proof is originally due to E.Calabi: we start with the integral

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 - xy} \frac{dxdy}{\sqrt{xy}} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (n + \frac{1}{2})^{-2} = 3\zeta(2)$$

Periods Algebrai

On the other hand, the change of variables

$$x = \xi^2 \frac{1+\eta^2}{1+\xi^2}, \qquad y = \eta^2 \frac{1+\xi^2}{1+\eta^2}$$

has the Jacobian

$$\left|\frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(\xi,\eta)}\right| = \frac{4\xi\eta(1-\xi^2\eta^2)}{(1+\xi^2)(1+\eta^2)} = 4\frac{(1-xy)\sqrt{xy}}{(1+\xi^2)(1+\eta^2)}$$

and therefore

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1 - xy} \frac{dx \, dy}{\sqrt{xy}} = 4 \int_{\xi, \eta > 0, \xi \eta \le 1} \frac{d\xi}{(1 + \xi^{2})} \frac{d\eta}{(1 + \eta^{2})}$$
$$= 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{(1 + \xi^{2})} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\eta}{(1 + \eta^{2})} = \frac{\pi^{2}}{2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

For a normal extension of fields $K \subset L$ the *Galois group* is defined as

 $Gal(L/K) = \{ \text{ authomorphisms of } L \text{ that preserve } K \}.$

For an algebraic number x with the conjugates $x_1 = x, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ one considers the field

$$\mathbb{Q}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

and the group

$$G = Gal(\mathbb{Q}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)/\mathbb{Q}).$$

Fundamental observations of Galois theory:

- Elements of *G* permute the numbers x_1, \ldots, x_n .
- An element y ∈ Q(x₁,...,x_n) is preserved by all automorphisms g ∈ G if and only if y ∈ Q.

It follows that G is a subgroup of the group of permutations of x_1, \ldots, x_n . Regarding $V = \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space, we then have that at the same time

 $G \subset S_n$ (the group of permutations of *n* elements) $G \subset GL(V)$ (the group of linear transformations of *V*)

Finally, every algebraic number $x \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ comes along with the following structure:

- the set of conjugates x_1, \ldots, x_n
- ▶ a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space $V = \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$
- ► a finite group G, which is a subgroup of permutations of the above set and acts in the above vector space by Q-linear transformations:

$$G \subset S_n$$
, $G \subset GL(V)$

 ${\cal P}$ appears to be a natural set of numbers for which one could expect to generalize this structure.

- $\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{integrals of rational functions with algebraic coefficients} \\ \text{over domains given by polynomial inequalities} \\ \text{with rational coefficients} \end{array} \right\}$
 - $= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{integrals of rational differential forms } \omega \\ \text{on smooth algebraic varieties } X \text{ defined over } \mathbb{Q} \\ \text{integrated over relative topological chains } \sigma \\ \text{with the boundary on a subvariety } D \subset X \text{ of codimension } 1 \right\} \right\}$

$$2\pi i = \oint \frac{dx}{x} \qquad X = \mathbb{C}^{\times} \cong \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid xy = 1\}$$
$$\omega = \frac{dx}{x}$$
$$\sigma = \text{ a counterclockwise loop}$$
around the puncture
$$D = \emptyset$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$\int_{\sigma} \int_{\sigma} dx \, dv = 2 \int_{1}^{2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^{3} - 3x^{2} + 2x}} = \int_{\sigma} \omega$$
$$v^{2}(x^{3} - 3x^{2} + 2x) \le 1$$
$$1 \le x \le 2$$

$$X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid y^2 = x^3 - 3x^2 + 2x\}$$

$$\omega = \frac{dx}{y}$$

$$\sigma = \text{ a loop through the points (1, 0) and (2, 0)}$$

$$D = \emptyset$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

Homology and Cohomology

X a smooth manifold of dimension n

k-chains in X: formal linear combinations with rational coefficients of smooth embeddings of the *k*-dimensional simplex Δ_k into X Notation: $C_k(X)$

The boundary map: $\partial : C_k(X) \to C_{k-1}(X)$.

A simple computation shows that $\partial \circ \partial = 0$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Homology and Cohomology (continuation)

The k-th homology

$$H_k(X) = \frac{\operatorname{Kernel}(\partial : C_k(X) \to C_{k-1}(X))}{\operatorname{Image}(\partial : C_{k+1}(X) \to C_k(X))} = \frac{k - \operatorname{cycles}}{k - \operatorname{boundaries}}$$

is a finite-dimensional (!) vector space over $\mathbb{Q}.$

Its dual vector space is called the *k*-th *cohomology*:

$$H^k(X) = H_k(X)^* = \{$$
linear functionals on $H_k(X) \}$.
 $eta_k(X) = \dim H^k(X)$ the *Betti numbers* of X

$$X = \mathbb{C}^*$$

$$\beta_0 = \beta_1 = 1, \ \beta_2 = 0$$

 $X = \text{compactification of } \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid y^2 = x^3 - 3x^2 + 2x\}$ $\equiv 2\text{-dimensional torus}$ $\beta_0 = 1, \ \beta_1 = 2, \ \beta_2 = 1$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

With a period

$$w = \int_{\sigma} \omega, \qquad \sigma \in H_k(X)$$

we associate a finite-dimensional Q-vector space

$$V = H_{\bullet}(X) = \oplus_{r=0}^n H_r(X)$$

and a subgroup of the group of linear transformations of this space

$$G = Gal_{mot}(X) = \left\{ \text{linear transformations of } V \text{ which preserve} \right.$$

all elements in the tensor algebra $\bigotimes_{m=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes m}$
which correspond to algebraic cycles in
multiple products $X \times \cdots \times X \left. \right\} \subset \operatorname{GL}(V)$

Künneth formula:

$$H_r(X \times Y) = \bigoplus_{i+j=r} H_i(X) \otimes H_j(Y)$$

Algebraic subvariety $Z \subset X$ of dimension k can be triangulated into a chain $\sigma_Z \in C_{2k}(X)$ without a boundary, i.e. $\partial(\sigma_Z) = 0$, and its class in the homology group $[Z] \in H_{2k}(X)$ is independent of the triangulation.

A k-dimensional algebraic subvariety $Z \subset \underbrace{X \times \cdots \times X}$ then m

defines a class

$$[Z] \in \bigoplus_{i_1 + \dots + i_m = 2k} H_{i_1}(X) \otimes \dots \otimes H_{i_m}(X) \subset H_{\bullet}^{\otimes m}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The motivic Galois group of an algebraic variety X is

$$Gal_{mot}(X) = \left\{ \text{linear transformations of } H_{ullet} \text{ which preserve}
ight.$$

all classes of algebraic cycles
in the tensor algebra $\bigotimes_{m=0}^{\infty} H_{ullet}^{\otimes m} \right\} \subset GL(H_{ullet}(X))$

The conjugates of a period $w = \int_{\sigma} \omega$ are then all periods

$$w^g = \int_{g\sigma} \omega, \qquad g \in Gal_{mot}(X).$$

For example, for an elliptic curve

$$X: y^2 = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c$$

= $(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)(x - \alpha_3) \quad \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$

we consider

$$H_{ullet}(X) \;=\; H_0(X) \oplus H_1(X) \oplus H_2(X) \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Q} \,.$$

Both $H_0(X) = \mathbb{Q} \cdot [pt]$ and $H_2(X) = \mathbb{Q} \cdot [X]$ are spanned by

algebraic classes [pt] and [X] correspondingly. For a *generic* elliptic curve there are no nontrivial algebraic cycles in $X \times \cdots \times X$, and therefore

$$Gal_{mot}(X) = GL(H_1(X)) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Q}).$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

The period

$$w_1 = \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c}}$$

has a conjugate

$$w_2 = \int_{\alpha_2}^{\alpha_3} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c}},$$

and the whole set of its Galois conjugates is given by

$$\left\{\alpha_1 w_1 + \alpha_2 w_2 \mid \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Q}, \text{ not both zero}\right\}$$

It remains to consider also "nongeneric" elliptic curves. For any curve one can show that $w_1/w_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. In particular, the ratio of two periods w_1/w_2 is never rational. "Nongeneric" curves are those for which w_1/w_2 satisfies a quadratic equation with rational coefficients, so called *curves with complex multiplication*. These have extra algebraic cycles in $X \times X$, which the motivic Galois group must preserve.

Consider the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(w_1/w_2)$. It is a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} and $Gal_{mot}(X)$ in this case is the normalizer N_K of a Cartan subgroup of $GL(H_1(X)) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ isomorphic to the multiplicative group $K^{\times} = K \setminus \{0\}$ (vieved as a 2-dimensional torus over \mathbb{Q}). The answer for the set of conjugates of a period in this case is exactly the same.

Motives

 $Var(\mathbb{Q})$ the category of algebraic varieties defined over \mathbb{Q} One expects existence of an *abelian* category $MM = MM_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q})$ of mixed motives over \mathbb{O} with rational coefficients, and of a functor

 $h: Var(\mathbb{O}) \to MM$

which plays a role of universal cohomology theory. Its full subcategory NM (pure or numerical motives) has a simple description in terms of enumerative projective geometry: up to inessential technical modifications (idempotent completion and inversion of the reduced motive $\mathbb{Q}(-1)$ of the projective line), its objects are smooth projective varieties and morphisms are given by algebraic correspondences up to numerical equivalence.

Motivic Galois group

Cartesian product on $Var(\mathbb{Q})$ corresponds via h to a certain tensor product \otimes on *MM*, which makes *MM* into a *tannakian category*. There is a \otimes -functor

$H: MM \rightarrow Vec_{\mathbb{O}}$

such that $H(h(X)) = H^{\bullet}(X)$. For any motive M one denotes by $\langle M \rangle$ the tannakian subcategory of *MM* generated by a motive *M*: its objects are given by algebraic construction on M (sums, subquotients, duals, tensor products). The motivic Galois group is the group-scheme

$$Gal_{mot}(M) = Aut^{\otimes}H\Big|_{\langle M \rangle}$$

of automorphisms of the restriction of the \otimes -functor *H* to $\langle M \rangle$. < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < $2\pi i$ is a period of so-called *Lefschetz motive* $\mathbb{Q}(-1) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^1)$. $Gal_{mot}(\mathbb{Q}(-1)) = \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ and the conjugates are all nonzero rational multiples of $2\pi i$.

log q for $q \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is a period of so-called Kummer 1-motive M_q . Grothendieck's conjecture for M would imply that log q and π are algebraically independent. If so, the conjugates are log $q + \mathbb{Q}\pi i$.

 $\zeta(s)$ for an odd integers s > 1 is a period of so-called mixed Tate motive over \mathbb{Z} . Grothendieck's conjecture would imply that $\zeta(3), \zeta(5), \ldots$ are algebraically independent and the conjugates are $\zeta(s) + \mathbb{Q}(\pi i)^s$.